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## Ciris 218: An Emendation

Boris Kayachev*<br>Trinity College (Dublin)

Late at night Scylla goes to her father's chamber to cut off his magical lock of purple hair, but pauses in the forecourt (217-218):
uestibulo in thalami paulum remoratur et alte suspicit ad $\dagger$ caeli nutantia $\dagger$ sidera mundi.
217 alte Hertzberg : alti HAR : altum $\rho$
218 nutantia $A_{\rho}$ : mutantia $H R$
She lingers in the chamber's forecourt for a little while and looks high up at the $\% * *$ stars of the $\% * *$ sky.

There are two problems with 218 . First, many editors are unhappy with nutantia and replace it with Scaliger's nictantia. ${ }^{1}$ Second, either caeli or mundi must be redundant, and 7 altius ad magni suspexit sidera mundi ('[my mind] has looked high up at the stars of the great sky') protects mundi; ${ }^{2}$ of the large number of corrections proposed for caeli, the following may be mentioned: celsi (Scaliger), ${ }^{3}$ clari (Goodyear), ${ }^{4}$ magni (Courtney), ${ }^{5}$ nitidi (Lyne). ${ }^{6}$

[^0]I think nutantia is right: it refers to the stars' downward motion towards the horizon in the eastern half of the visible hemisphere. ${ }^{7}$ Choosing a suitable epithet for mundi is more difficult. Scaliger's celsi may seem attractive because of its closeness to the paradosis, but it is somewhat superfluous after 217 alte, and celsus is not normally paired with either mundus or caelum. Goodyear's clari is likewise reasonably close to the paradosis, and finds a parallel in Sen. Phaedr. 1144 hic qui clari sidera mundi; but I doubt that it is contextually appropriate: in a context speaking of the slow motion of the stars the emphasis on the sky's brightness would not be particularly pointed. ${ }^{8}$ The same objection applies to Lyne's proposal (which also departs from the paradosis). ${ }^{9}$ Courtney may well be right: "it is pointless to attempt to restore a palaeographically plausible adjective for caeli, as that word is probably not a corruption but a gloss on mundi [...] and may well have replaced something as remote as magni, which is suggested by 7 ". ${ }^{10}$ Yet adopting Courtney's magni may make 7 and 218 a bit too similar to each other; and if we should find an apposite epithet that would also happen to be plausible palaeographically, this can hardly be considered a drawback.

I propose taciti, suggested to me by Verg. Aen. 3.514-15 explorat uentos atque auribus aera captat; | sidera cuncta notat tacito labentia caelo ("he inspects the winds and checks the air with his ears, he considers all the constellations as they glide across the quiet sky"). ${ }^{11}$ It seems likely that Virgil's auribus aera captat is intertextually related to Ciris 210-11 auribus arrectis nocturna silentia temptat | et pressis tenuem singultibus aera captat ("with ears upright, she checks the night's

[^1]silence and, with suppressed sobbing, gulps after thin air"), only a few lines before our passage. ${ }^{12}$ If so, Aen. 3.515 may be related to Ciris 218 ; the two lines certainly express more or less the same idea in similar terms. Is caeli a plausible error for taciti? The corruption will have involved tac- being misread as cae- and -it- as $-l i$, which is very easy in a minuscule script. This would have left a spare $-i$, which of course might simply have disappeared. But I suspect that it survives in HR's mutantia (< inutantia), which is as likely as Ap's nutantia to be the reading of the archetype. ${ }^{13}$

[^2]
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    ${ }^{8}$ In Seneca the emphasis on the sky's brightness is by contrast highly appropriate, since the context speaks of Theseus seeing the sky again on his return from the underworld.
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    ${ }^{10}$ COURTNEY (n. 5), 45.
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[^2]:    ${ }^{12}$ Note that the exact collocation aera captat is not attested elsewhere; though cf. aera captant at Luc. 4.329.
    ${ }^{13}$ In other words, the corruption apparently involved misdividing tacitinutantia as tacit inutantia while misreading tacit as caeli and inutantia as mutantia.

