# Hormonal receptors, cell proliferation fraction (Ki-67) and c-erbB-2 amplification in breast cancer. Relationship between differentiation degree and axillary lymph node metastases

**J.J. Sirvent<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Santafé<sup>2</sup>, M.T. Salvadó<sup>1</sup>, T. Alvaro<sup>1</sup>, A. Raventós<sup>1</sup> and J. Palacios<sup>3</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, Verge de la Cinta Hospital, Tortosa, Tarragona, <sup>2</sup>Histology Unit, School of Medicine, Rovira i Virgili University, Reus, Tarragona and <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, La Paz Hospital, Madrid, Spain

**Summary.** In view of the limitations of conventional prognostic factors such as differentiation degree, metastatic lymph nodes, hormonal receptors and others, especially when early lesions are found, additional new markers have been studied, such as gene amplification and cell proliferation index, in order to choose the appropriate treatment. Primary breast carcinoma tumors from 97 patients were examined for differentiation degree, metastatic lymph nodes, hormonal receptors, c-erbB-2 amplification and cell proliferation index (Ki-67).

A negative relationship with hormonal receptors and c-erbB-2 amplification, Ki-67 and differentiation degree was found, whereas the relationship between c-erbB-2, Ki-67 and differentiation degree was positive.

No relationship was found between these factors and metastatic lymph nodes.

The concurrence of high cell proliferation index, cerbB-2 amplification and negative hormonal receptor presence would indicate a subpopulation with a high risk of recurrence. But a larger survival study is necessary to correlate these factors with clinical outcome.

Key words: Breast cancer, c-erbB-2, Hormonal receptors, Immunohistochemistry, Ki-67

#### Introduction

Breast carcinoma is the most frequent malignant tumor in women (26%). Despite hormonal and chemotherapy advances, the mortality rate has not diminished. It would be interesting, through further studies, to find some prognostic factors which could help us choose the best therapy in each case, such as the relationship between classical prognostic factors (i.e. tumor size, differentiation degree, histological type, lymph node metastases) and new ones (i.e. cell proliferation factor and oncogene amplification (Elledge et al., 1992)). The determination of hormonal receptors (HR), cell proliferation and oncogene expression was initially performed using techniques difficult to apply in routine pathology work (biochemical determination, S phase in flow cytometry and Southern or Northern Blot, respectively). Today, such determinations can be performed using immunohistochemical techniques with monoclonal antibodies (MAb).

In recent years these immunohistochemical techniques have been used to find estrogen (ER) and progesterone (PR) receptor activity, in good correlation with biochemical methods (Parl and Posey, 1988).

The amplification of c-erbB-2, a gene which is probably involved in tumor growth (i.e. breast cancer), may increase protein expression. This protein can be detected with MAb and polyclonal antibodies and has been associated with poor prognosis. It is found in 20-30% of breast cancers (Slamon et al., 1987; Venter et al., 1987; Barnes, 1989).

Tumor proliferative activity (TPA), as defined by the proportion of cycling cells in the total cell population, has been shown to be of prognostic value in various solid neoplasms. Ki-67 Mab immunohistochemical assays in varios tumors have yielded TPA values comparable to the number of cells in S phase obtained by flow cytometric measurement (Gerdes et al., 1983, 1984; Schrape et al., 1987).

The aim of the present study is to evaluate the relationship between some different classical and recent prognostic factors in breast carcinoma.

#### Materials and methods

A total of 97 breast carcinoma samples from 32- to

*Offprint requests to:* Dr. Juan J. Sirvent, Servicio de Anatomía Patológica, Hospital Verge de la Cinta, C/ Esplanetes 44-58, 43500 Tortosa, Tarragona, Spain

88-year-old women was studied between 1988 and 1992. The material was obtained from the files of the Pathology Department of the Virgen de la Cinta Hospital (Tortosa, Spain).

Some of the human breast tissue samples (surgical specimens) were frozen immediately and stored at -70 °C for Ki-67 and RH determination. The rest of the samples were formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded; 4-5  $\mu$ m-thick tissue sections were prepared for c-erbB-2 determination. One of each was stained by haematoxylin-eosin to study differentiation degree, following standard criteria (Bloom and Richardson, 1957) modified by Elston (1988), and histological type following WHO criteria (1981).

70 patients were studied for axillary metastatic lymph nodes (LN). Metastatic LN presence was classified into four different groups according to the number (0, 1-3, 4-10, more than 10).

# Hormonal receptors

The immunohistochemical staining procedure for ER and PR was performed using ER-ICA and PgR-ICA monoclonal Kits (Abbott RFA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Semiquantitative evaluations were made based on the intensity (I) of staining, evaluated from 1 to 3, and the percentage of positive cells. Histocore =  $(I+1) \times$  (percentage positive cells) (range 0-400). Cases with a histocore value of over 100 were considered positive (McCarty et al., 1985).

#### C-erbB-2

The immunohistochemical staining procedure for c-erbB-2 oncogene expression was performed as follows. Sections were incubated overnight with 1:40 concentration of MAb NCL-CB11 (Novocastra, UK) a monoclonal antibody against the internal domain of the human c-erbB-2 protein. After that, the method was completed with an avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC) (Vector, USA) (Hsu et al., 1981) and later revealed with DAB. The intensity of staining (I= 0-2) and the number of positive cells (1= 0-24%, 2= 25-49%, 3= 50-74%, and 4= 75-100%) were evaluated as follows: I x number of positive cells (range 0-8) (Dykins et al., 1991). Positivity was essentially evaluated from the infiltrative component.

#### Ki-67

4-6  $\mu$ m cryostat sections mounted on glass slides were air dried for an hour and placed in acetone at -20 °C for 5 to 10 minutes. After fixation the slides were incubated with 1:50 concentration of MAb Ki-67 (Dako, Denmark) for an hour, and the method was completed with the ABC mouse kit (Vector, USA). Positive cells were estimated as a percentage of the total number of counted cells (500 cells for each sample), in the areas of maximum positivity, including both the intraductal and infiltrative components.

#### Statistical analysis

Spearman's test was used to analyze the data, with statistical significance for p < 0.05.

#### Results

#### Menstrual cycle and histological findings

As shown in Table 1, 79.4 per cent of the women were menopausal patients (6 months or more since the last menstruation). Of these, 3/4 had an invasive ductal carcinoma. If those cases corresponding to invasive ductal carcinoma with a predominant intraductal component (intraductal image in addition to the infiltrative component) were included, this percentage increased to 81.4.

# Differentiation degree

About 40 per cent of the cases studied were grade 1; a

Table 1. Breast cancer. Menopausal status, histological type, differentiation grade, positive axillary nodes, hormonal receptors, Ki-67 and c-erbB-2.

Menopausal status         20 (20.6%)           Postmenopausal women         77 (79.4%)           Histological type         Invasive ductal carcinoma with a predominant intraductal component         4 (4.12%)           Invasive ductal         75 (77.32%)           Lobular         7 (7.22%)           Medullar         5 (5.15%)           Mucinous         3 (3.10%)           Tubular         1 (1.03%)           Papillar         1 (1.03%)           Ductal + Mucinous         1 (1.03%)           Differentiation grade         38 (39.2%)           II         39 (40.2%)           III         39 (40.2%)           III         20 (20.6%)           Positive axillary nodes         0           0         35 (50.0%)           1-3         16 (22.9%)           4-10         11 (15.7%)           More than 10         8 (11.4%)           Not known         27           Estrogen receptors         1           Histocore < 100 (+)         63 (64.9%)           Histocore < 100 (-)         37 (58.8%)           C-erbB-2         Positive         26 (26.8%)           Negative         71 (73.2%)           Ki-67         26 (26.8%)			
Postmenopausal women         77 (79.4%)           Histological type Invasive ductal carcinoma with a predominant intraductal component         4 (4.12%)           Invasive ductal         75 (77.32%)           Lobular         7 (7.22%)           Medullar         5 (5.15%)           Mucinous         3 (3.10%)           Tubular         1 (1.03%)           Papillar         1 (1.03%)           Ductal + Mucinous         1 (1.03%)           Duttal + Mucinous         1 (1.03%)           Differentiation grade         38 (39.2%)           II         39 (40.2%)           III         20 (20.6%)           Positive axillary nodes         0           0         35 (50.0%)           1-3         16 (22.9%)           4-10         11 (15.7%)           More than 10         8 (11.4%)           Not known         27           Estrogen receptors         1           Histocore < 100 (+)	Menopausal status		
Histological type Invasive ductal carcinoma with a predominant intraductal component       4 (4.12%)         Invasive ductal       75 (77.32%)         Lobular       7 (7.22%)         Medullar       5 (5.15%)         Mucinous       3 (3.10%)         Tubular       1 (1.03%)         Papillar       1 (1.03%)         Ductal + Mucinous       1 (1.03%)         Differentiation grade       1         I       38 (39.2%)         II       39 (40.2%)         III       20 (20.6%)         Positive axillary nodes       0         0       35 (50.0%)         1-3       16 (22.9%)         4-10       11 (15.7%)         More than 10       8 (11.4%)         Not known       27         Estrogen receptors       1         Histocore < 100 (+)	Premenopausal women	20 (20.6%)	
Invasive ductal carcinoma with a       4 (4.12%)         Invasive ductal       75 (77.32%)         Lobular       7 (7.22%)         Medullar       5 (515%)         Mucinous       3 (3.10%)         Tubular       1 (1.03%)         Papillar       1 (1.03%)         Ductal + Mucinous       1 (1.03%)         Ductal + Mucinous       1 (1.03%)         Ductal + Mucinous       1 (1.03%)         Differentiation grade       1         I       39 (40.2%)         III       39 (40.2%)         III       20 (20.6%)         Positive axillary nodes       0         0       35 (50.0%)         1-3       16 (22.9%)         4-10       11 (15.7%)         More than 10       8 (11.4%)         Not known       27         Estrogen receptors       1         Histocore < 100 (+)	Postmenopausal women	77 (79.4%)	
predominant intraductal component         4 (4.12%)           Invasive ductal         75 (77.32%)           Lobular         7 (7.22%)           Medullar         5 (5.15%)           Mucinous         3 (3.10%)           Tubular         1 (1.03%)           Papillar         1 (1.03%)           Ductal + Mucinous         1 (1.03%)           Ductal + Mucinous         1 (1.03%)           Differentiation grade         1           I         39 (40.2%)           II         39 (40.2%)           III         20 (20.6%)           Positive axillary nodes         0           0         35 (50.0%)           1-3         16 (22.9%)           4-10         11 (15.7%)           More than 10         8 (11.4%)           Not known         27           Estrogen receptors         1           Histocore > 100 (+)         63 (64.9%)           Histocore < 100 (-)	Histological type		
Invasive ductal       75 (77.32%)         Lobular       7 (7.22%)         Medullar       5 (5.15%)         Mucinous       3 (3.10%)         Tubular       1 (1.03%)         Papillar       1 (1.03%)         Ductal + Mucinous       1 (1.03%)         Dutal + Mucinous       1 (1.03%)         Differentiation grade       38 (39.2%)         II       39 (40.2%)         III       20 (20.6%)         Positive axillary nodes       0         0       35 (50.0%)         1-3       16 (22.9%)         4-10       11 (15.7%)         More than 10       8 (11.4%)         Not known       27         Estrogen receptors       1         Histocore > 100 (+)       43 (35.1%)         Progesterone receptors       40 (41.2%)         Histocore < 100 (-)			
Lobular         7 (7.22%)           Medullar         5 (5.15%)           Mucinous         3 (3.10%)           Tubular         1 (1.03%)           Papillar         1 (1.03%)           Ductal + Mucinous         1 (1.03%)           Differentiation grade         38 (39.2%)           I         39 (40.2%)           II         39 (40.2%)           II         39 (40.2%)           II         20 (20.6%)           Positive axillary nodes         0           0         35 (50.0%)           1-3         16 (22.9%)           4-10         11 (15.7%)           More than 10         8 (11.4%)           Not known         27           Estrogen receptors         4 (35.1%)           Histocore > 100 (+)         40 (41.2%)           Histocore < 100 (-)		· /	
Medullar         5 (5.15%)           Mucinous         3 (3.10%)           Tubular         1 (1.03%)           Papillar         1 (1.03%)           Ductal + Mucinous         1 (1.03%)           Differentiation grade         38 (39.2%)           I         39 (40.2%)           II         39 (40.2%)           II         20 (20.6%)           Positive axillary nodes         35 (50.0%)           1-3         16 (22.9%)           4-10         11 (15.7%)           More than 10         8 (11.4%)           Not known         27           Estrogen receptors         41500(-)           Histocore < 100 (+)			
Mucinous       3 (3.10%)         Tubular       1 (1.03%)         Papillar       1 (1.03%)         Ductal + Mucinous       1 (1.03%)         Differentiation grade       1         I       38 (39.2%)         II       39 (40.2%)         III       39 (40.2%)         III       20 (20.6%)         Positive axillary nodes       0         0       35 (50.0%)         1-3       16 (22.9%)         4-10       11 (15.7%)         More than 10       8 (11.4%)         Not known       27         Estrogen receptors       63 (64.9%)         Histocore > 100 (+)       63 (64.9%)         Histocore < 100 (-)		1 1	
Tubular       1 (1.03%)         Papillar       1 (1.03%)         Ductal + Mucinous       1 (1.03%)         Differentiation grade       1         I       38 (39.2%)         II       39 (40.2%)         III       39 (40.2%)         III       20 (20.6%)         Positive axillary nodes         0       35 (50.0%)         1-3       16 (22.9%)         4-10       11 (15.7%)         More than 10       8 (11.4%)         Not known       27         Estrogen receptors       1         Histocore < 100 (+)			
Papillar       1 (1.03%)         Ductal + Mucinous       1 (1.03%)         Differentiation grade       38 (39.2%)         I       39 (40.2%)         II       39 (40.2%)         III       20 (20.6%)         Positive axillary nodes         0       35 (50.0%)         1-3       16 (22.9%)         4-10       11 (15.7%)         More than 10       8 (11.4%)         Not known       27         Estrogen receptors       1         Histocore < 100 (+)		· · · · ·	
Ductal + Mucinous         1 (1.03%)           Differentiation grade         38 (39.2%)           I         39 (40.2%)           III         39 (40.2%)           III         20 (20.6%)           Positive axillary nodes           0         35 (50.0%)           1-3         16 (22.9%)           4-10         11 (15.7%)           More than 10         8 (11.4%)           Not known         27           Estrogen receptors         -           Histocore > 100 (+)         63 (64.9%)           Histocore < 100 (-)			
Differentiation grade       38 (39.2%)         I       39 (40.2%)         II       39 (40.2%)         III       20 (20.6%)         Positive axillary nodes       0         0       35 (50.0%)         1-3       16 (22.9%)         4-10       11 (15.7%)         More than 10       8 (11.4%)         Not known       27         Estrogen receptors       63 (64.9%)         Histocore > 100 (+)       63 (64.9%)         Histocore < 100 (-)			
I       38 (39.2%)         II       39 (40.2%)         III       20 (20.6%)         Positive axillary nodes       0         0       35 (50.0%)         1-3       16 (22.9%)         4-10       11 (15.7%)         More than 10       8 (11.4%)         Not known       27         Estrogen receptors       -         Histocore < 100 (+)	Ductal + Mucinous	1 (1.03%)	
II $39 (40.2\%)$ III $20 (20.6\%)$ Positive axillary nodes $20 (20.6\%)$ 0 $35 (50.0\%)$ 1-3 $16 (22.9\%)$ 4-10 $11 (15.7\%)$ More than 10 $8 (11.4\%)$ Not known $27$ Estrogen receptors $Histocore > 100 (+)$ Histocore < 100 (-)	Differentiation grade		
III       20 (20.6%)         Positive axillary nodes       35 (50.0%)         0       35 (50.0%)         1-3       16 (22.9%)         4-10       11 (15.7%)         More than 10       8 (11.4%)         Not known       27         Estrogen receptors       63 (64.9%)         Histocore > 100 (+)       63 (64.9%)         Histocore < 100 (-)		, ,	
Positive axillary nodes         35 (50.0%)           1-3         16 (22.9%)           4-10         11 (15.7%)           More than 10         8 (11.4%)           Not known         27           Estrogen receptors         63 (64.9%)           Histocore > 100 (+)         63 (64.9%)           Histocore < 100 (-)	11		
0         35 (50.0%)           1-3         16 (22.9%)           4-10         11 (15.7%)           More than 10         8 (11.4%)           Not known         27           Estrogen receptors         63 (64.9%)           Histocore > 100 (+)         63 (64.9%)           Histocore < 100 (-)	111	20 (20.6%)	
1-3       16 (22.9%)         4-10       11 (15.7%)         More than 10       8 (11.4%)         Not known       27         Estrogen receptors       63 (64.9%)         Histocore > 100 (+)       63 (64.9%)         Histocore < 100 (-)	Positive axillary nodes	×	
4-10       11 (15.7%)         More than 10       8 (11.4%)         Not known       27         Estrogen receptors       63 (64.9%)         Histocore < 100 (+)			
More than 10         8 (11.4%)           Not known         27           Estrogen receptors         63 (64.9%)           Histocore > 100 (-)         34 (35.1%)           Progesterone receptors         40 (41.2%)           Histocore < 100 (-)		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Not known         27           Estrogen receptors         Histocore > 100 (+)         63 (64.9%)           Histocore < 100 (-)			
Estrogen receptors         63 (64.9%)           Histocore > 100 (+)         63 (64.9%)           Histocore < 100 (-)		( )	
Histocore > 100 (+)       63 (64.9%)         Histocore < 100 (-)	Not known	27	
Histocore < 100 (-)	Estrogen receptors		
Progesterone receptors         40 (41.2%)           Histocore > 100 (+)         57 (58.8%)           c-erbB-2         26 (26.8%)           Positive         26 (26.8%)           Negative         71 (73.2%)           Ki-67         38 (39.2%)			
Histocore > 100 (+)       40 (41.2%)         Histocore < 100 (-)	Histocore < 100 (-)	34 (35.1%)	
Histocore < 100 (-)			
c-erbB-2         26 (26.8%)           Positive         71 (73.2%)           Ki-67         38 (39.2%)			
Positive         26 (26.8%)           Negative         71 (73.2%)           Ki-67         38 (39.2%)	Histocore < 100 (-)	57 (58.8%)	
Negative         71 (73.2%)           Ki-67 Positive cells > 10%         38 (39.2%)			
Ki-67 Positive cells > 10% 38 (39.2%)			
Positive cells > 10% 38 (39.2%)	Negative	71 (73.2%)	
Positive cells < 10% 59 (60.8%)			
	Positive cells < 10%	59 (60.8%)	

similar percentage were grade 2, and 20 per cent were grade 3 (Table 1). Table 2 shows the mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum values of the parameters studied. Differentiation degrees were positively associated with c-erbB-2 and Ki-67, and negatively associated with ER and PR. There was no association between differentiation degree and the number of metastatic LN (Table 3).

# Metastatic lymph node

Only one half of all the cases evaluated had metastatic LN (Table 1). Nevertheless, there was no statistical significance between this and the other variables studied (Table 3), regardless of whether individual or group comparisons were made (as shown in Table 1).

# Estrogen and progesterone receptors

Specific staining was confined exclusively to the nuclei, showing different degrees of intensity and different numbers of positive cells (Figs. 1, 2). Those cases with the highest intensity had the highest number of positive cells.

65 per cent of ER and 41 per cent of PR were found in positive cases (Histocore > 100) (Table 1). ER and PR showed a negative association with c-erbB-2 and Ki-67 (Table 3). 

PARAMETER	X	SD	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM
Differentiation grade			1	3
LNM	2.81	4.62	0	22
ER	169.58	138.46	0	400
PR	118.22	140.41	0	400
c-erbB-2	1.38	2.49	0	8
Ki-67	11.49	13.80	1	63

Table 3. Relationship among parameters.

PARAMETERS COMPARED	SPEARMAN VALUE	Р
Differentiation/LNM	0.099	0.333 (NS) <sup>a</sup>
Differentiation/ER	-0.570	0.000
Differentiation/PR	-0.413	0.000
Differentiation/c-erbB-2	0.254	0.012
Differentiation/Ki-67	0.514	0.000
LNM/ER	-0.060	0.555 (NS)
LNM/PR	-0.083	0.418 (NS)
LNM/c-erbB-2	-0.136	0.183 (NS)
LNM/Ki-67	0.001	0.991 (NS)
ER/PR	0.434	0.000
ER/c-erbB-2	-0.403	0.000
ER/Ki-67	-0.425	0.000
RP/c-erbB-2	-0.363	0.000
RP/Ki-67	-0.315	0.004
Ki-67/c-erbB-2	0.262	0.009

<sup>a</sup>NS: not significant.



Fig. 1. Invasive lobular carcinoma. Estrogen receptors. Intensity 3 in almost all the cells. PAP. x 250

# C-erbB-2

Samples were classified as immunoreactive when distinct cell membrane staining was observed (Fig. 3). Different degrees of positivity were observed in 27 per cent of the cases. The seven cases of lobular carcinoma were negative. Of the 4 cases of invasive ductal carcinoma with a predominant intraductal component, two were positive, basically in the intraductal component, corresponding to comedocarcinoma; the other two, with an intraductal cribriform pattern, were negative.

C-erbB-2 is positively associated with differentiation degree and negatively associated with hormonal receptors.

# Ki-67

Specific staining was confined exclusively to the nuclei (Figs. 4, 5). Staining results were recorded as low cell proliferation index when the number of positive cells was less than 10 per cent (40 per cent of the cases). The cell proliferation index < 1 was found in 22.6 per cent of the cases. According to the histological type the range for this rate in lobular carcinoma was between 1 and 4 per cent, while in medullar carcinoma this index was higher than 15 per cent. Ductal carcinoma presented variable values.

Ki-67 is negatively associated with ER and PR and positively associated with c-erbB-2.

#### Discussion

Few studies have been made to establish the relationship between classical prognostic factors (differentiation degree, metastatic lymph node presence, and HR) and some new prognostic factors (TPA and c-erbB-2 gene amplification). In one of them, 1073 cases were studied using different determination techniques (for c-erbB-2 using Southern and Western Blot, HR through biochemical procedures and cell proliferation using S phase). The same conclusions were reached (Ciocca et al., 1992). Bacus et al. (1989) studied a series of 50 cases using a similar methodology: ER and PR showed a weak correlation with histological grades, and quantification of Ki-67-positive nuclear area provided an objective method for assessing tumor cell growth fraction.

Differentiation degree is one of the most important histological parameters in breast cancer prognosis. In our study, Ki-67 and c-erbB-2 showed a significant correlation (positive association), just as hormonal receptors (negative association) did with differentiation degree. All of these factors together (i.e., a high differentiation degree, ER and PR absence, high positivity of Ki-67 and c-erbB-2 expression) indicate patients with a poor prognosis. Even though the presence of metastatic LN is a poor prognostic factor, there seems to be no relationship between it and ER and PR, Ki-67 or c-erbB-2. The reason for this could be that the presence of metastatic LN is just a time indicator of tumor evolution,



Fig. 2. Invasive ductal carcinoma. Progesterone receptors. Intensity 3 in 75% of the cells. PAP. x 250

566



Fig. 3. Invasive ductal carcinoma. c-erbB-2. High positivity (2) membrane staining. ABC. x 400

Fig. 4. Invasive ductal carcinoma. Ki-67. High proliferation factor (48%) ABC. x 250



Fig. 5. Invasive ductal carcinoma. In situ component. Low cell proliferation index (4%). ABC. x 250

rather than a biological state (Mittra and MacRal, 1991).

Thirty per cent of the patients with negative metastatic axillary LN eventually relapse, and occasionally die of the illness (Van de Velde et al., 1986; Fisher et al., 1990). In recent years efforts have been made in breast cancer treatment to choose that subpopulation whose lesions are likely to recur or metastasize, requiring appropriate hormonal therapy. ER and PR determinations have been widely used to this end (Sigurdsson et al., 1990). Immunohistochemical assays with MAb methods have been accepted as an alternative to ER and PR determinations (McCarty et al., 1985; Von Kleist et al., 1988).

Twenty five to 30 per cent of the cases are positive to c-erbB-2 depending on the case and histological type (Schimmelpenning et al., 1992). The results according to histological type are different. While ductal carcinoma in situ shows positivity in 44 per cent of cases, infiltrating ductal carcinoma is only positive in 16-20 per cent, and lobular carcinoma is almost always negative (Gusterson et al., 1988).

C-erbB-2 over-expression has been noted to be a poor prognostic factor, especially when there is metastatic LN presence (Dykins et al., 1991), but not always (Rilke et al., 1991). There is also a relationship between c-erbB-2 and differentiation degree (Corbett and Dykins, 1991; Rilke et al., 1991). Over-expression of c-erbB-2 in a group of breast cancers with other markers of good prognosis (tumor size of less than 3 cms, positive HR and negative LN) presages early relapse (McGuire et al., 1990) and responds less well to conventional chemotherapy (CMF) (Gusterson, 1992).

Ki-67 is not only a good tumor proliferation indicator, but a good parameter of possibility of relapse (Veronese et al., 1993). Cases with a positivity of over 10 per cent have a high rate of recurrence, and when this percentage rises to more than 15, patients usually die from this illness. Patients with a percentage of less than 4 do not usually relapse (Sahin et al., 1991). These comments do not apply to medullary carcinoma. Ki-67 shows a positive association with differentiation degree and negative association with ER and PR (Raymond and Leong, 1989; Leonardi et al., 1992).

Although an appropriate semiquantitative evaluation is a method which is reproducible, easy to apply and extremely informative for any pathology laboratory, there is no doubt that the introduction of Image Analysis can provide a more objective quantification of these markers (Esteban et al., 1993).

In conclusion, there is a group of patients with a high differentiation degree, without ER and PR, an overexpression of c-erbB-2 and a high cell proliferation index whose lesions are likely to recur or metastasize, but further clinical studies to determine the survival rate should be made.

Acknowledgements. We thank Rosa M. Risa, M. Mar Barberá and Marc Iniesta for performing the immunohistochemistry and for their technical assistance.

#### References

- Bacus S.S., Goldschmidt R., Chin D., Moran G., Weinberg D. and Bacus J.W. (1989). Biological grading of breast cancer using antibodies to proliferating cells and others markers. Am. J. Pathol. 135, 783-792.
- Barnes D.M. (1989). Breast cancer and a proto-oncogene. BMJ 299, 1061-1062.
- Bloom H.J.G and Richardson W.W. (1957). Histological grading an prognosis in breast cancer. Br. J. Cancer 11, 359-377.
- Ciocca D.R., Fijimura F.K., Tandon A.K., Clark G.M., Mark C., Lee-Chen G.J., Pounds G.W., Vendely P., Owens M.A. and Pandian M.R. (1992). Correlation of HER-2/neu amplification with expression and with other prognostic factors in 1103 breast cancers. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 84, 1279-1282.
- Corbett I.P. and Dykins R. (1991). Long-term survival in breast cancer related to overexpression of the c-erbB-2 oncoprotein: an immunohistochemical study using monoclonal antibody NCL-CB11. J. Pathol. 163, 105-110.
- Dykins R., Corbett I.P., Henry J.A., Wright C., Yuan J., Hennessy C., Lennard T.J.W., Angus B. and Horne C.H.W. (1991). Long-term survival in breast cancer related to overexpression of the c-erbB-2 oncoprotein: an immunohistochemical study using monoclonal antibody NCL-CB11. J. Pathol. 163, 105-110.
- Elledge R.M., McGuire W.L. and Osborne C.K. (1992). Prognostic factors in breast cancer. Sem. Oncol. 19, 244-253.
- Elston C.W. (1988). Grading of invasive carcinoma of the breast. In: Diagnostic histopathology of the breast. Page D.L. and Anderson T.J. (eds). Churchill Livingstone. Edinburgh. pp 300-311.
- Esteban J.M., Kandalaft P.L., Mehta P., Odom-Maryon T.L., Bacus S. and Battifora H. (1993). Improvement of the quantification of estrogen and progesterone receptors in paraffin-embedded tumors by image analysis. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 99, 32-38.
- Fisher E.R., Redmond C., Fisher B., Bass G., BS and Contributing NSABP investigators (1990). Prognostic discriminants for 8-year survival for node-negative invasive breast cancer patients. Cancer 65, 2121-2128.
- Gerdes J., Schwab U., Lemke H. and Stein H. (1983). Production of a mouse monoclonal antibody reactive with a human nuclear antigen associated with cell proliferation. Int. J. Cancer 31, 13-20.
- Gerdes J., Lemke H., Baisch H., Wecker H.H., Schwab U. and Stein H. (1984). Cell cycle analysis of a cell proliferation-associated human nuclear antigen defined by the monoclonal antibody Ki-67. J. Immunol. 133, 1710-1715.
- Gusterson B.A., Machin L.G., Gullick W.J., Gibbs N.M., Powles T.J., Price P., McKinna A. and Harrison S. (1988). Immunohistochemical distribution of c-erbB-2 in infiltrating and in situ breast cancer. Int. J. Cancer 42, 842-845.
- Gusterson B.A., Gelber R.D., Goldhirsh A., Price K.N., Save-Soderborgh J., Anbazhagan R., Styles J., Rudenstam C.M., Golouh R. and Read R. (1992). Prognostic importance of c-erbB-2 expression in breast cancer. International (Ludwig) breast cancer study group. J. Clin. Oncol. 10, 1049-1056.
- Hsu S.M., Raine L. and Fanger H. (1981). Use of avidin-biotinperoxidase complex (ABC) in immunoperoxidase techniques: A comparison between ABC and nonlabeled antibody (PAP) procedures. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 29, 577-580.

Leonardi E., Girlando S., Serio G., Mauri F.A., Perrone C., Scampini S.,

Dalla Palma P. and Babareschi M. (1992). PCNA and Ki-67 expression in breast carcinomas: Correlations with clinical and biological variables. J. Clin. Pathol. 45, 416-419.

- McCarty Jr.K.S., Miller L.S., Cox E.B., Conrath J. and McCarty Sr.K.S. (1985). Estrogen receptor analysis: correlation of biochemical and immunohistochemical methods using monoclonal antireceptor antibodies. Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med. 109, 716-721.
- McGuire W.L., Tandon A.K., Allred D.C. and Chamness G.C. (1990). How to use prognostic factors in axillary node-negative breast cancer patients. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 82, 1006-1015.
- Mittra L. and MacRal K.D. (1991). A meta-analysis of reported correlations between prognostic factors in breast cancer: does axillary lymph node metastasis represent biology or cronology? Eur. J. Cancer 27, 1554-1583.
- Parl F.F. and Posey Y.F. (1988). Discrepancies of the biochemical and immunohistochemical estrogen receptor assays in breast cancer. Hum. Pathol. 19, 960-966.
- Raymond W.A. and Leong A.S-Y. (1989). The relationship between growth fractions and oestrogen receptors in human breast carcinoma, as determined by immunohistochemical staining. J. Pathol. 158, 203-211.
- Rilke F., Colnaghi M.I., Cascinelli N., Andreola S., Baldini M.T., Bufalino R., Della-Porta G., Menart S., Pierotti M.A. and Testori A. (1991). Prognostic significance of HER-2/Neu expression in breast cancer and its relationship to other prognostic factors. Int. J. Cancer 49, 44-49.
- Sahin A.A., Ro J., Ro J.Y., Blick M.B., El-Naggar A.K., Ordonez N.G., Fritsche H.P., Smith T.L., Hortobagyi G.N. and Ayala A.G. (1991). Ki-67 immunostaining in node-negative stage I/II breast carcinoma. Cancer 68, 549-557.
- Schimmelpenning H., Erikson E.T., Falkmer U.G., Azavedo E. and Svane G. (1992). Expression of the c-erbB-2 proto-oncogene product and nuclear DNA content in benign and malignant human breast parenchyma. Virchows Arch. (A) 420, 433-440.
- Schrape S., Jones D.B. and Wright D.H. (1987). A comparison of three methods of determination of growth fraction in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Br. J. Cancer 55, 283-286.
- Sigurdsson H., Baldetorp B., Borg A., Dalbert M., Fernö M., Killander D. and Olsson H. (1990). Indicators of prognosis in node-negative breast cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 322, 1045-1053.
- Slamon D.J., Clark G.M., Wong S.G., Levin W.J., Ullrich A. and McGuire W.L. (1987). Human breast cancer: correlation of relapse and survival with amplification of the HER-2/neu oncogene. Science 253, 177-182.
- Van de Velde C.J.H., Gallager S.H. and Giacco G.G. (1986). Prognosis in node-negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res. Treat 8, 189-196.
- Venter D.J., Kumar S., Tuzi N.L. and Gullick W.J. (1987). Overexpression of the c-erbB-2 oncoprotein in human breast carcinomas: immunohistological assessment correlates with gene amplification. Lancet ii, 69-72.
- Veronese S.M., Gambacorta M., Gottardi O., Scanzi F., Ferrari M. and Lampertico P. (1993). Proliferation index as a prognostic marker in breast cancer. Cancer 71, 3926-3931.
- Von Kleist S., Berling J., Koltzenburg J. and Gropp H. (1988). Estrogen receptor determinations in primary breast cancer. A comparison of a biochemical dextran-coated charcoal and a immunohistological technique. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 114, 623-

# Prognostic factors in breast cancer

627.

World Health Organization (1981). Histological typing of breast tumours. 2nd ed. International Histological Classification of Tumours No.2. World Health Orgnization. Geneva.

Accepted April 11, 1994

570