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Summary: The author discusses and explains the text of several epigrams, namely A.P. 5.62, $7.459,12.171,6.329,9.235,7.645,9.267,9.25,7.78$, $7.440,7.648,5.163,9.16,6.282 .6 .294,6.296,6.300,7.410,7.425,7.651$, 7.732, 7.733.

## Another Epigram by Rufinus

A.P. 5.62:






Translation by W.R. Paton (The Greek Anthology, Loeb edition, London, 1969, repr., vol. 1, p. 159):
"Time has not yet quenched your beauty, but many relics of your prime survive. Your charm has not aged, nor has the loveliness departed from your bright apples or your rose. Ah! How many hearts did that once god-like beauty burn to ashes!".

This epigram concerns an ageing hetaera who has retained her beauty. The expression $i \lambda \alpha \rho \omega \nu \mu \eta \lambda \omega \nu$, in line 4 , has puzzled the critics: cf. B. Baldwin, Phoenix 1980, p. 344. I would like to point out that Rufinus has made use of adjectival enallage. Thus the adjective $i \lambda \alpha \rho \bar{\omega} v$ refers to the hetaera who is

[^0]described as "merry"1. For a similar case of adjectival enallage in Latin poetry, cf. Tibullus 1.3.6 maestos sinus and K. Flower Smith's note ad loc.. Cf. furthermore A.P. 10.56.9ff. where "merry" women are compared to "serious" ones:

Translation by Paton (op.cit., vol. 4, p. 33):
> "Nor if a woman is always frowning and is never seen to laugh, and avoids showing herself to men, is this behaviour a pledge of chastity. On the contrary, the most grave of them may turn out to be whores in secret, and the merry ones who are amiable to everyone may be virtuous, if any woman is entirely virtuous".

It should, moreover, be noted that Rufinus has employed the nouns $\mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ ("apples") and pó $\delta o \nu$ ("rose") with an obscene double meaning. For the meaning of $\mu \eta \lambda \omega \nu$, cf. LSJ s.v. II: "pl., metaph., of a girl's breasts, Ar. Lys. 155, Ec. 903 , Theocr. 27.50 ". For the obscene meaning of pó $\delta o v$, cf. LSJ s.v. III: "pudenda muliebria, Pherecr. $108.29{ }^{2}$. In other words, Rufinus means that the beauty of the woman's breasts and "pudenda muliebria" is undiminished. Similarly at A.P. 5.36 Rufinus admires the beauty of a girl's "feminal":


 ह̌ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha i ́, \nu \varepsilon ́ \kappa \tau \alpha \rho ı ~ \lambda \varepsilon ı ß o ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha ı$.





[^1]


Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 1, p. 147):
> "Rhodope, Melita, and Rhodoclea strove with each other, quaenam habeat potiorem Merionem, and chose me as judge, and like those goddesses famous for their beauty, stood naked, dipped in nectar. Et Rhodopes quidem inter femora fulgebat Polyphemus velut rosarium cano scissum amne. . . Rhodocleae vero feminal vitro simile erat, udaque eius superficies velut in templo statuae recens sculptae. But as I knew well what Paris suffered owing to his judgment, I at once gave the prize to all the three goddesses".'

## Epitaph for a Samian Hetaera

A.P. 7. 459 :





Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 2, p. 249):
> "Often do the daughters of Samos miss prattling Crethis who could sport so well. their sweetest workmate, never silent; but she sleeps here the sleep that is the portion of all".

In their discussion of this epigram Gow-Page ${ }^{4}$ argued that the noun ouvépl日ov ("helpmate"), in line 3, suggests that Crethis was a woolworker. They added, however, that the wool of Samos, although mentioned at Theocritus 15.126, was "not widely celebrated". I would like to point out that Crethis was not a woolworker but a hetaera. This is obvious from the words of the epigram.

The fact that Samian ${ }^{5}$ women had a reputation in antiquity for lascivious behaviour is alluded to at A.P. 5.207:

[^2]




Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 1, p. 231):
"Bitto and Nannion of Samus will not go to the house of Cypris by the
road the goddess ordains, but desert to other things which are not
seemly. O Lady Cypris, look with hate on the truants from thy bed".
In line I Crethis is said to "know how to play well" ( $\varepsilon \pi \imath \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha$ $\pi \alpha i \zeta \varepsilon ı \nu)$. The words $\kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \pi \alpha i \zeta \varepsilon ı \nu$ contain an allusion to sexual intercourse. For the erotic meaning of the verb $\pi \alpha i \zeta \omega$ ("make love"), cf. MPhL 4, p. 193.

Cf. also A.P. 5.61:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Tท̣ кv } \alpha \nu \circ \beta \lambda \varepsilon \phi \dot{\alpha} \rho \varphi \pi \alpha i \zeta \omega \nu \kappa о \nu \delta \alpha \kappa \alpha \quad \Phi i \lambda i \pi \pi \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 1, p. 159):

> "Playing at Condax with dark-eyed Philippa I made her laugh sweetly with all her heart. 'I have thrown you' I said 'twelve, and to-morrow I will throw you another twelve or even more, as I know how'. Then when she was told she came, and laughing I said to her 'I wish I had called you at night too when you were coming'.".

In lines I and 3 Callimachus stresses the fact that Crethis was talkative. For the fact that hetaerae liked to chatter, cf. A.P. 7.221 where it is noted that the hetaera Patrophila used to chatter in a charming way:




"Aı $\delta \eta \delta v \sigma \kappa i v \eta \tau \varepsilon$, $\tau i ́ \tau \eta ̀ \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \circ \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha i \rho \eta \nu$


Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 2, p. 127):
> "Patrophila, ripe for love and the sweet works of Cypris, thou hast closed thy gentle eyes; gone is the charm of thy prattle, gone thy singing and playing, and thy eager pledging of the cup. Inexorable Hades, why didst thou steal our loveable companion? Hath Cypris maddened thee too?".

For the noun $\sigma v \nu \varepsilon p i \theta o s$ employed in an erotic context, cf. Apollonius Rhodius, Arg. 3.942 (Kúrpıऽ) $\sigma v \nu \dot{\rho} \rho \theta_{0} \circ \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ ("Cypris, your helpmate in the contest"). For other epitaphs on hetaerae, cf. A.P. 7.218 ff ., 262 and 476. Cf. also Musaeus 11 .

## A Textual Problem in Dioscorides

A.P. 12.171:

Еüф $\alpha \gamma \circ \rho \eta \nu, \alpha \nu \varepsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu \pi \rho \eta u ̈ \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ Z $\varepsilon \phi \cup \rho \varepsilon$,




Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 4, p. 371):

> "Zephyr, gentlest of the winds, bring back to me the lovely pilgrim Euphragoras, even as thou didst receive him. not extending his absence beyond a few months' space; for to a lover's mind a short time is as a thousand years".

Previous critics have been puzzled by the word $\tau i v a 5$, in line 3. Hence Gow suggested the alteration $\sigma \tau \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha \varsigma$ which he argued ${ }^{6}$ "would mean gathering the measure of the months into short compass". There is, however, no need to alter the mss. reading. Dioscorides asks the wind to "atone" ( $\tau i v \alpha 5$ ) for all the time that he has been separated from his lover by bringing him back home safely. Line 3 means: "within a little ( $\varepsilon i \varsigma \dot{\partial} \lambda i \gamma \circ \nu$ ) having atoned ( $\tau i v \propto \varsigma$ ) for the measure of the months". Cf. LSJ s.v. $\tau i v \omega$ I, 5: "pay or atone for a thing, $\tau$. ט̋ßpıv Od.

[^3] $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota \tau \cup \pi \tau \circ \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ ("your belly alone gets many smacks to pay for the sins of your gullet"). Evidently, the wind is judged guilty of causing the separation between the poet and his beloved, because this latter (cf. line $1,{ }_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{\varepsilon}} \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) had sailed away with the help of the wind. For $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ o $\lambda i \gamma o v$ ("within a little"), cf. LSJ s.v. $\quad$ i $\mathrm{i} \gamma \circ \mathrm{I}$ IV, 5 quoting Thucydides $4.129,5$.

An Epigram for Agrippina
A.P. 6.329:
 $\pi \varepsilon \mu \mu \nsim \cup \sigma \iota \nu, \pi \lambda \circ u ́ \tau \circ \cup \delta \hat{\omega} \rho \alpha, \gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \theta \lambda i \delta \iota \alpha$.



Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 1, p. 475):
"One will send crystal, another silver, a third topazes, rich birthday gifts. But l, look, having merely made two 'isopsephon' distiches for Agrippina, am content with this my gift that envy shall not damage".

This epigram was written by Leonides for Agrippina, the mother of the emperor Nero. The critics have been puzzled by the meaning of lines 3-4 of the epigram ${ }^{7}$. I would like to point out that the poet is alluding to Callimachus. At A.P. 7.525 Callimachus states that his poetry is greater than envy:







Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 2, p. 283):
Know thou who passest my monument that I am the son and father of Callimachus of Cyrene. Thou wilt have heard of both; the one once held the office of general in his city and the other sang songs which overcame

[^4]envy. No marvel, for those on whom the Muses did not look askance in boyhood they do not cast off when they are grey".

Leonides alludes to Callimachus' words by stating that he is satisfied with gifts which envy will not conquer. He means that his poetry, like that of Callimachus, is beyond the reach of envy. In other words, although Leonides is not a rich man and therefore not able to send expensive gifts to Agrippina, nevertheless he is able to send her a gift of poetry which is superior to that of any other poet. Thus just as the rich man sends the most expensive presents that he can afford, so the poet sends the best poetry that a poet can produce to Agrippina. It should, moreover, be noted that Leonides alludes to Callimachus Hymn to Apollo line 113 in another of his epigrams: cf. A.P. $9.356^{8}$.

## An Epigram for Juba

A.P. 9.235:
$\pi \iota \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon ́ \mu \nu \varepsilon \iota \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mathrm{Ai} \theta$ ıó $\pi \omega \nu$,

Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 3, p. 123):
"Great bordering regions of the world which the full stream of Nile separates from the black Aethiopians, ye have by marriage made your sovereigns common to both, turning Egypt and Libya into one country. May the children of these princes ever again rule with unshaken dominion over both lands".

This epigram concerns the marriage of Cleopatra-Selene and Juba II. Cleopatra-Selene was the daughter of Antony and Cleopatra. Juba II is called
 text of lines 1-2. It should be noted, however, that Paton's translation of these lines is correct. According to the ancients, the Nile "separated Africa from

[^5]Ethiopia" ${ }^{10}$. The Ethiopians were imagined to live on the southern frontier of Egypt: cf. Theocritus, Idyll 7, lines 113 ff . It is therefore quite logical for the poet to state that the river Nile divides the two lands of Libya and Egypt from the Ethiopians.

## An Epitaph for Philostratus

A.P. 7.645:
$<\eta \pi \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ Iou>
line $4 \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ ' Iov $\alpha \alpha i o 1 \varsigma ~ C i c h o r i u s ~: \delta \alpha i o 1 \varsigma, ~ s p a t . ~ V a c . ~ R e l i c t o, ~ P P l, ~ к \varepsilon i ̂ \sigma \alpha ı ~ ' ~ I o u ~ s u p p l . ~$ man. rec. in P

Translation by Gow-Page (The Garland of Philip, vol. I, p. 211 ):
"Ill-starred in your prosperity, Philostratus, where are those sceptres and abundant princely blessings on which you ever made your life depend. a man of eminence whether on the Nile or within the boundaries of Judaea? Strangers have shared out the fruits of your labour, and your corpse shall lie in sandy Ostracina".

This epigram concerns Philostratus, who died in a foreign land. The text of line 4 has puzzled the critics ${ }^{11}$. It should be noted, however, that the mss. reading $\kappa \varepsilon i ̄ \sigma \alpha t$ ' Iov $\delta \alpha i o r s$ makes perfect sense. A full stop should be placed after ßiov, in line 3, and lines 3-4 should be printed as follows:


"In truth (i) you lie by the Nile, a man of eminence within the boundaries of.Judaea".

[^6]The poet means that Philostratus was a man from Judaea who was buried in Egypt. Ostracina was, in fact, east of Pelusium in Egypt. For $\hat{\eta}$, cf. LSJ s.v. I,
 similar examples of repetition in epigrams, cf. G. Giangrande, Scripta Minora Alexandrina, II, p. 313.

> An Epitaph by Philip
A.P. 9.267:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { line I ' Iк } \alpha \text { рí } \nu \nu \pi \lambda \omega \omega \nu \mathrm{P} \text { : ' Iк. тò } \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \circ \varsigma \pi \lambda \text {. Pl }
\end{aligned}
$$

Translation by Gow-Page (op. cit., vol. I, p. 317):

> "Sailing the Icarian sea < $\quad$, falling from his ship, Damis the son of Nicaretus tumbled into the ocean. Many a prayer to the Immortals his father uttered, and called on the waters, imploring the waves for his son's sake. But he perished most pitiably, swallowed by the sea. In time past too that ocean was deaf to a father's prayers".

The text of line I has puzzled the critics ${ }^{12}$. It should be noted, however, that it is possible to restore perfect sense to the epigram if we accept the reading of $\mathrm{Pl}^{13}$. The present participle ${ }^{14} \pi \lambda \omega \omega \nu$ has been used here with a sense of anteriority. Thus Damis is said to have sailed formerly ( $\tau \dot{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) over the Icarian sea. We are probably meant to understand that Damis was a fisherman who had sailed for some time over the Icarian sea before he fell from a ship and was drowned. It is worth noting that the island of "Iк $\alpha \rho \circ s$ was once called I $\chi \theta$ vóe $\sigma \sigma \alpha$ because of the great number of fish which were to be found in the area: cf. Thes. Gr. Ling., s.v. ${ }^{\text {T I }}$ крро૬.

[^7]For a similar epitaph for a fisherman who had drowned, cf. A.P. 7.287:









Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 2, p. 157):
"Even in death shall the unappeased sea vex me, Lysis, buried as I am beneath this desert rock, sounding ever harshly in my ears close to my deaf tomb. Why, O men, did ye lay me next to her who reft me of breath, who wrecked me not trading on a merchantman, but embarked on a little rowingboat? From the sea I sought to gain my living, and from the sea I drew forth death".

An Epigram for Aratus
A.P. 9.25:






line 3 oíoí $\tau$ Kaibel : oíoıv PPI
Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 3, p. 15):
"This is the book of learned Aratus, whose subtle mind explored the long-lived stars, both the fixed stars and the planets with which the bright revolving heaven is set. Let us praise him for the great task at which he toiled; let us count him second to Zeus, in that he made the stars brighter".

In line 3 Gow-Page printed Kaibel's alteration oifi $\tau$ '. They noted ${ }^{15}$ that Leonidas "is evidently referring to the Great Circles shown on armillary spheres and described by Aratus in 462 ff .". According to Gow-Page, the meaning will be "and the circles with which the bright revolving heaven is bound".

It is, however, possible to make sense of the transmitted text if we understand that the poet has made use of synonyms. Thus the word кúk $\lambda 015$ refers to the stars which are mentioned in lines 2 ff .; cf. LSJ s.v. кúк ${ }^{2} \circ$ II, 5: "heavenly bodies". Aratus is said to have described the fixed stars and the planets, "in which heavenly bodies the bright sky is set". For the employments of synonyms in Hellenistic literature, cf. MPhL vol. 4, p. 200.

## An Epitaph for Eratosthenes

## A.P. 7.78:

line $4 \mu \alpha i ̄ \alpha$ Eldick $\sigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ Brunck $\mu \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \varsigma \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho v i \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{CPl}$

Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 2, p. 47):

> "A mild old age, no darkening disease, put out thy light, Eratosthenes son of Aglaus, and, thy high studies over, thou sleepest the appointed sleep. Cyrene thy mother did not receive thee into the tombs of thy fathers, but thou art buried on this fringe of Proteus' shore, beloved even in a strange land".

In line 4 Gow-Page ${ }^{16}$ printed the textual alterations $\mu \alpha i ̂ \alpha \quad \sigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \rho \omega \omega \nu$. There is however, no need for us to alter the mss. reading $\mu \alpha ı \nu \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho u ́ \tau \omega \nu$. The adjective $\mu \alpha \omega \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ refers to the nymph Cyrene ${ }^{17}$, who is described as "raging" with grief: cf. Nonnus, Dion. 47.214ff. The adjective $\dot{\alpha} \tau \rho u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ describes Eratosthenes' tomb which is imagined to be "huge": cf. Theocritus, Idyll 15.7

[^8]where the scholia explain that $\alpha \tau \rho v \tau \circ \varsigma$ means $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}$. The point is that a large tomb would have been erected at Cyrene in order to reflect the greatness of its occupant. Finally, it should be noted that the poet has employed tmesis ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ '... $\varepsilon \delta \delta \varepsilon \tau \circ$ ): cf. LSJ s.v. $\varepsilon \pi \imath \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$ (2): "receive, welcome". Thus lines 3-4 should be translated as follows: "Raging ( $\mu \alpha \nu \alpha \varsigma$ ) Cyrene did not receive you in a huge tomb". In other words, the poet stresses that Eratosthenes was buried at Alexandria instead of his native Cyrene.

## An Epitaph for Aristocrates

A.P. 7.440:
o $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \circ \nu$, oí $\eta \nu, \gamma \alpha \hat{\imath}, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \dot{\chi} \alpha \nu \varepsilon \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$,
१ु $\delta \varepsilon ⿺$ ' $A \rho ı \sigma \tau о к р \alpha ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu \varepsilon i ́ \lambda l \chi \alpha ~ \delta \eta \mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta ̄ \sigma \alpha ı$,
$\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$ оúк òфрùv $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \lambda$ о̀ $\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \phi \varepsilon \lambda \kappa о ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$.

Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 2, p. 241):
"O tomb, what a man was he, the dead whose bones thou dost hide in the night: O earth, what a head thou hast engulphed! Very pleasing was Aristocrates to the flaxen-haired Graces; much is his memory treasured by all. Aristocrates could converse sweetly, without a frown, and over the wine he could guide well the convivial flow of talk; and well he knew how to confer kindness on compatriots and strangers. Such, beloved earth, is the dead who is thine".

This epigram concerns Aristocrates, who is described by the lemma as a "self-controlled and well-behaved man": Eis 'Apı $\sigma \tau о к \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$, $\sigma \omega \dot{\phi} \rho о \nu \alpha$ $\tau ı \nu \alpha$ $\kappa \alpha i$ кó $\sigma \mu \iota \nu \alpha \not ้ \nu \delta \rho \alpha$. The critics have been puzzled by the text of line $6^{18}$. I would like to point out that the noun óфpúv means here "pride". Cf. LSJ s.v. oфpús

[^9](2): "scorn, pride, A.P. 7.409". Cf. also LSJ s.v. óфpvóouкı: "to be supercilious"


Aristocrates is a good man ( $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \lambda \circ \varsigma)$ and he therefore does not behave in a rude and arrogant manner towards other people. For the meaning of the
 Mov̂ $\sigma \alpha \nu \dot{\partial} \theta v \varepsilon i \nsupseteq \nu$ A.P. 9.434". I thus suggest the following translation for lines 56: "Aristocrates knew how to speak sweetly in public, a good (man) who did not assume perverse pride".

The adjective $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \lambda$ ós is not, as Gow-Page argued, "irrelevant" but instead very apposite. Cf. moreover A.P. 7.648, 1' E $\sigma \theta \lambda$ os ' Apıoтoкр $\alpha$ т $\eta s$.

Another Epigram for Aristocrates
A.P. 7.648:
عi каí $\mu \mathrm{\imath} \nu \delta \alpha \kappa v o l$ סvбßíotos $\pi \varepsilon v i ́ \eta$ -
$\omega \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi^{\prime}, \eta ้ \chi \theta \propto ı \rho \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \tau 0 ф \rho \circ \sigma v \dot{\nu} \eta \nu$.

Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 2, p. 347):
> "Good Aristocrates, as he was taking ship for Acheron, resting his doomed head on his hand, said: 'Let every man seek to have children and get him a wife, even if miserable poverty pinch him. Let him support his life with pillars; a house without pillars is ill to look on. Nay! What is best, may the room where his hearth is have many fair columns, and shining with the luxury of many lights, illumine the log that burns on the hearth'. Aristocrates knew what was best, but, O man, he hated the evilmindedness of women".

This epigram by Leonidas also concerns Aristocrates. The critics have, however, been puzzled by the metaphors which are employed by the poet in lines
$6-8^{19}$. It should be noted that perfect sense can be restored to the text of the epigram if we understand that the noun $\delta \alpha \lambda \dot{\sigma} \nu$, in line 8 , refers to an old man, who is described as sitting "by the hearth" ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha ́ \rho 1 \circ \nu)$. Aristocrates states that it is best when a man's hearth ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \omega \nu$ ) has fine columns (i.e. children) and illuminates $(\alpha \dot{v} \gamma \zeta \omega \nu)$ the old man when he sits by the hearth ( $\delta \alpha \lambda \delta \nu$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \rho ı \nu$ ). This is explained by the scholia on lines 4-6. Cf. Stadtmueller,

 $\delta \alpha \lambda \iota_{\varsigma}$ II: "burnt-out torch: metaph. of an old man, A.P. 12.41 (Mel.)".

Two Epigrams by Meleager

A.P. 5.163:
$\sigma \tau \varepsilon \hat{i} \chi \varepsilon \cdot \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha 1 ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu \sigma \grave{\eta} \nu$ oí $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i ́ \eta \nu$.
line $3 \delta v \sigma \pi \imath \sigma \tau 0 v \mathrm{Pl}$

Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 1, p. 205):
"O flower-nurtured bee, why dost thou desert the buds of spring and light on Heliodora's skin.' Is it that thou wouldst signify that she hath both sweets and the sting of Love, ill to bear and ever bitter to the heart? Yea, meseems, this is what thou sayest. 'Off with thee back to thy flowers, thou flirt! It is stale news thou bringest me'.".

The reader will note that Gow-Page ${ }^{20}$ placed the word $\delta v v^{\circ} \sigma \sigma \tau \circ v$, in line 3 , between cruces. It should be noted, however, that Planudes ${ }^{21}$ provided the reading $\delta u ́ \sigma \pi ı \sigma \tau 0 v$ which makes perfect sense.

On the basis of the Wortstellung, Giangrande ${ }^{22}$ has conjectured $\delta v \sigma \alpha \pi i \sigma \tau \omega$ : his conjecture is very attractive, because it refers to the topos of the

[^10]mind of the poet not being "gehorsam", and keeps the opposition between $\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa$ v and $\pi \iota \kappa \rho o v$; it is also palaeographically convincing, because it presupposes that, in the word $\delta v \sigma \alpha \pi i \sigma \tau \omega$, the supralinear signs for $\alpha$ and for $-\omega$ were respectively omitted and misread for $-\circ \nu$, thereby creating the reading $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \circ \nu$, which is in Planudes.
 by Stadtmüller and Paton, who, however, cannot fully account for the text which they print. Gow-Page ${ }^{23}$ hastily maintain that the Wortstellung proposed by Salmasius is "ungainly", but Prof. Giangrande makes me observe that in reality such Wortstellung is perfectly normal: $\pi$ וкрóv is attributive, whereas the two adjectives connected by $\kappa \alpha^{\prime}$... к $\alpha$ í are predicative ${ }^{24}$. I should like to point out that Planudes' $\delta$ viбđıozov is clearly sound: the sense is "are you telling me that she has the bitter goad of Eros which is both sweet and treacherous?". The spondee in the fifth foot of the hexameter presents no problem ${ }^{25}$. The adjective $\delta v i \sigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \varsigma ~ o c c u r s ~ a t ~ O r a c . ~ S i b . ~ 4.40 ; ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ f a c t ~ t h a t ~ E r o s ~ i s ~ t r e a c h e r o u s, ~ c f . ~ e . g . ~$. Nonnus, Dionys. 15.220 ס0 $\lambda$ ó 15 ..." Ep $\omega \varsigma$.
A.P. 9.16:

Translation by Paton (op. cit, vol. 3, p. 11):
"The Graces are three, and three are the sweet virgin Hours, and three fierce girl Loves cast their arrows at me. Yea, verily, three bows hath Love prepared for me, as if he would wound in me not one heart, but three".

The text of line 3 has puzzled the critics. It is, though, possible to restore good sense to the epigram if we accept the readings of the apographa in line 3. Meleager states that Eros has "drawn three bows" and thus shot him three times.

[^11]For another case where the correct reading has been preserved by the apographa,
 as follows: "Love has drawn three bows, as if he intends to wound in me not one heart, but three". In this article I shall argue that the apographa often preserved for us the correct reading and that these readings were found in manuscripts which are now lost.

An Epigram by Theodorus

A.P. 6.282:
Ери $\alpha, K \alpha \lambda \lambda l \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̇ к \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \nu \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \sigma о \nu$

Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 1, p. 451):
> "To thee, Hermes, did Calliteles suspend his felt hat made of wellcarded sheep's wool, his double pin, his strigil, his unstrung bow, his worn chlamys soaked with sweat, his arrows (?), and the ball he never tired of throwing. Accept, I pray thee, friend of youth, these gifts, the souvenirs of a well-conducted adolescence".

Gow-Page ${ }^{26}$ were puzzled by the text of line 6 and placed the word $\delta \bar{\omega} p o v$ between cruces. There is, however, no need for us to alter the transmitted text. Gow-Page failed to understand that the poet has purposely repeated the noun $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho^{2-27}$. It should also be noted that the reading $\varepsilon \phi \eta \beta \circ \sigma \dot{v} \nu \alpha \varsigma$, in line 6 , has been preserved by the apographa. For another case where the correct reading has been preserved by the apographa, cf. A.P. 6.294, line 3 к $\varepsilon$ ркоv $\tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu \dot{\mu} \lambda \pi \alpha \nu$.

The poet mentions, in line 6 , that Calliteles has led a "well-conducted youth" ( $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \cup \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \circ v . . . \varepsilon \notin \eta \beta \circ \sigma u ́ v \alpha \varsigma)$. Similary at A.P. 5.40, line 7 the poet urges a woman to behave "with propriety" ( $\varepsilon$ ป่ $\tau \alpha \kappa \tau \varepsilon i v ~ \pi \varepsilon \imath \rho \omega)$ ):

[^12]


 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \lambda i \theta \circ \nu \kappa i ́ \nu \varepsilon \downarrow \cdot \sigma \alpha \cup \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \phi \varepsilon$ каі $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \varepsilon \pi \rho o ́ \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon$,






Translation by Paton (op.cit., vol. 1, p. 149):
"Don't listen to your mother, Philumena; for once I am off and out of the town, pay no attention to those who make fun of us, but give them tit for tat, and try to be more successful than I was. Leave no stone unturned, make your own living, and write and tell me what pleasances you have visited. Try and behave with propriety. If you have anything over, pay the rent and get a coat for me. If you get with child, bring it to the birth, I entreat you. Don't be troubled about that: when it grows up it will find out who its father was".

## An Epigram by Phanias

A.P. 6.294:
 $\nu \alpha ́ p \theta \eta \kappa \alpha, \kappa р о \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \tau о р \alpha \nu \eta \pi 1 \alpha \chi \chi \omega \nu$


 $\pi \alpha ı \delta \varepsilon i ́ o v, \pi \circ \lambda 1 \omega \hat{\omega} \gamma v i ̄ \alpha \delta \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma \varsigma \kappa \mu \alpha \tau \omega$.
line 3 ع $\dot{\jmath} \mu \dot{\circ} \lambda \pi \alpha \nu$ ap. L marg.
Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 1, p. 457):
"Callon, his limbs fettered by senile fatigue, dedicates to Hermes the Lord these tokens of his career as a schoolmaster: the staff that guided his feet, his tawse, and the fennel-rod that lay ever ready to his hand to tap little boys with on the head, his lithe whistling bull's pizzle, his onesoled slipper, and the skull-cap of his hairless pate".

The text of line 3 has puzzled the critics ${ }^{28}$. I would like to point out that good sense is provided by the reading $\varepsilon \dot{u} \mu o ́ \lambda \pi \alpha \nu$, which was printed by Paton. The adjective $\varepsilon \dot{u} \mu o ́ \lambda \pi \alpha \nu$ ("sweetly singing") underlines the fact that the schoolmaster enjoyed hearing the sound of the whistling lash as he beat the boys. For the fact that the bull's penis was used as a tawse, cf. Herodas 3.68:



Translation by Knox (Loeb edition):
"Bring hither the smarting lash, the bull's pizzle wherewith I flay the gyved and unruly".

The epithet $\varepsilon \dot{u} \mu$ ó $\lambda \pi \alpha \nu$ refers to the topos whereby the whip makes a noise ( $\lambda \imath \gamma \cup \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \tau \imath \xi$ Soph., Aj. 242; $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \imath \gamma \circ \varsigma \mathfrak{\imath} \omega \mathfrak{\eta} v$ Nonn., Dionys. 37.288; $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau_{i} \zeta \omega$ "faire claquer son fouet" Thes., s.v. $\left.\mu \alpha \sigma \tau_{i}^{\prime} \zeta \omega\right)$. Of course $\mu 0 \lambda \pi \dot{\eta}$ could denote the sound emitted by inanimate objects (cf. Thes., s.v.).

Accordingly, it would appear that the correct text has been preserved by the apographa. On the other hand, Giangrande (Hermes 1974, p. 371) has shown that the mss. reading evo $\lambda \pi \alpha \nu$ also has a contextually suitable meaning. From these considerations we may conclude that $\varepsilon \dot{\prime} o ́ \lambda \pi \alpha \nu$ and $\varepsilon \dot{u} \mu o ́ \lambda \pi \alpha \nu$ probably constitute what Pasquali calls "varianti d'autore".

## A Dedication to Hermes

A.P. 6.296:
' А $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \mu ф \bar{\eta} \pi о \delta \alpha \gamma \rho \eta \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \delta о и ́ v \propto \kappa \alpha \varsigma ~ † \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau к \tau \bar{\eta} \rho \alpha \varsigma$ коі גíva коi $\gamma \cup \rho o ̀ v ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau о ~ \lambda \alpha \gamma \omega о ß o ́ \lambda о v, ~$


' Epu iṇ $\Sigma \omega ́ \sigma ı \pi \pi o \varsigma, ~ ' \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon i ~ \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon v \eta ́ \xi \alpha \tau o ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \varepsilon \bar{v} \nu$ ŋ̈ßŋऽ, غєк $\gamma \eta \rho \omega \varsigma \delta^{\prime} \alpha \delta \rho \alpha \nu i ́ \eta ~ \delta \varepsilon ́ \delta \varepsilon \tau \alpha u$.


Translation by Paton (op.cit., vol. 1, p. 459):

[^13]> "Sosippus gives to Hermes, now that he has out-swum the greater part of his strength and the feebleness of old age fetters him, his securely fixed trap, his cane springes, his nets, this curved hare-club, his quiver, this quail-call, and the well-woven net for throwing over wild fowl".

The text of line 1 has puzzled the critics ${ }^{29}$. I would like to suggest that the correct text has been preserved for us by Salmasius. The words $\delta$ oivacos $\alpha i \theta u \kappa \tau \bar{\eta} \rho \alpha \varsigma$ mean "rushing (i.e. swift) arrows" ${ }^{30}$. The hunter is said to dedicate to Hermes both his arrows and his quiver (ioסóкпレ, line 3): cf. Iliad 1.45 and Odyssey 21.11 where there is a reference to the quiver ( $\phi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta$ ) and to arrows ('oiotoí). Cf. LSJ s.v. סóva\} II: "anything made of reed, 1. shaft of an arrow, Il. 11.584". Cf. also Oppian, Cyn. 3.488 סıпєрínv $\delta \delta ́ v \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \alpha \tau \alpha р \pi o ́ v ~$ ("reeds that tread an airy path" i.e. arrows). Cf. moreover Lewis and Short, $A$ Latin Dictionary, s.v. harundo, D: "an arrow" Ovid, Met. 5.384. Cf. finally Oppian, Cyn. 1.147ff. where the poet mentions that hunters are armed with arrows:

Translation by A.W. Mair (Oppian, Loeb edition, London, 1928, p. 17):
"And these are the weapons of the glorious chase which the stalwart hunters should carry to hill and wood, these their arms breathing of the

[^14]blood of beast: purse-nets and well-twisted withes and long sweep-net and hayes and net-props and grievous fettering nooses, three-pronged spear, broad-headed hunting lance, hare-stick and stakes and swift winged arrow, swords and axes and hare-slaying trident, bent hooks and lead-bound crooks, cord of twisted broom and the well-woven foot-trap, and ropes and net-stogs and the many-meshed seine".

## An Epigram by Leonidas

A.P. 6.300:
 $\kappa \eta \xi ่ \quad \lambda l \gamma \eta \sigma \imath \pi v o v \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \circ \quad \Lambda \varepsilon \omega v i ́ \delta \varepsilon \omega$
 каì тоиิто $\chi \lambda \omega \rho$ о̀v $\sigma u ̂ \kappa о \nu ~ \alpha ̇ \pi о к \rho \alpha ́ \delta ı \nu \nu ~$ кยv่oívov $\sigma \tau \alpha \phi \cup \lambda \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ้ \chi ’ \dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \gamma \circ \nu$,




Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 1, p. 461):
> "Lathrian goddess, accept these offerings from Leonidas the wanderer, the pauper, the flour-less: rich barley-cakes, olives easy to store, and this green fig from the tree. Take, too, lady, these five grapes picked from a rich cluster, and this libation of the dregs of the cup. But if, as thou hast saved me from sickness so thou savest me from hateful penury, await a sacrifice of a kid".

Leonidas addresses in this epigram "the Lathrian goddess", i.e Aphrodite. The text of line 1 has puzzled the critics ${ }^{31}$. Once again, however, the correct text has been preserved for us by Salmasius ${ }^{32}$, who provided the reading $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \nu i \eta s$ instead of ' $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \zeta$. Leonidas' epigram was imitated by Cornelius Longus at A.P. 6.191:
 Kv́ $\rho \rho 1 \varsigma, \tau \alpha \bar{v} \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon v \delta \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha \Lambda \varepsilon \omega v i \delta \varepsilon \omega$. $\pi о \rho \phi \cup \rho \varepsilon ́ \eta \nu \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi ı \phi \nu \lambda \lambda i \delta \alpha \tau \eta \theta^{\prime} \alpha \lambda i \pi \alpha \sigma \tau \circ \nu$ $\delta р и ́ \pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \kappa \alpha i \quad \psi \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \nu о \mu i ́ \mu \eta \nu \theta v \sigma i ́ \eta \nu$

[^15]$\sigma \pi \circ \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \nu \theta^{\prime}, \eta \geqslant \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon v \tau \circ \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \cup ́ \lambda ı \sigma \alpha, \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \lambda \imath \chi p \alpha$

 $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v ́ \delta o \iota \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau \iota \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \imath ̂ \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \hat{v} \chi \alpha ́ p ı \tau \alpha$.

Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 1, p. 397):
"Receive, Cypris, these gifts of Leonidas out of a poverty which is, as thou knowest, untempered but honest, these purple gleanings from the vine, this pickled olive, the prescribed sacrifice of barley-cake, a libation of wine which I strained off without shaking the vessel, and the sweet figs. Save me from want, as thou hast saved me from sickness, and then thou shalt see me sacrificing cattle. But hasten, goddess, to earn and receive my thanks".
 an obvious allusion to Leonidas' epigram. For other cases in Leonidas where the correct text has been preserved for us by Salmasius, cf. A.P. $7.726^{33}$.

## An Epigram for Thespis

A.P. 7.410:
 $\kappa \omega \mu \eta \tau \alpha ⿺ \varsigma \nu \varepsilon \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma \varsigma \kappa \alpha ı \nu о \tau о \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \alpha ́ \rho ı \tau \alpha \varsigma$,


 $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \rho \eta ́ \sigma \varepsilon ı \chi \ddot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \cdot \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \dot{\alpha}$.
line $3 \tau \rho \tau \tau \tau \nu$ apogr. $\tau p . \alpha \theta \lambda \circ \nu$ ap. B.

Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 2, p. 221):
"I am Thespis, who first modelled tragic song, inventing a new diversion for the villagers, at the season when Bacchus led in the triennial chorus whose prize was still a goat and a basket of Attic figs. Now my juniors remodel all this; countless ages will beget many new inventions, but my own is mine".

[^16]The epigram concerns Thespis, the inventor of Tragedy. The reader will note that Paton printed Wilamowitz's alteration $\tau \rho \imath \varepsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$, in line 3. I would like to point out that the correct text has been preserved for us by the apographa ${ }^{34}$. As Bentley has already explained, the poet is referring here to the "three Dionysiac festivals, City, Rural, and Lenaea" ${ }^{255}$. The poet has used the Attic form $\tau \rho 1 \tau \tau 0$ rather than $\tau \rho ı \sigma \sigma v^{36}$.

The verb к $\alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ı v$ has been used together with the noun $\chi$ орóv instead of $\alpha \gamma \varepsilon ı v$. Cf. LSJ s.v. к $\alpha \tau \alpha$ E, V: "freq. only to strengthen the notion of the simple word, as in $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa o ́ \pi \tau \omega$ ". Consequently lines 3-4 should be translated as follows: "when Bacchus led the triple chorus for which the prize was a goat ( $\underset{\oplus}{\hat{W}}$ $\tau \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \circ \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \circ v)$. And the famous Attic basket of figs was still a prize". Note the repetition of the noun $\hat{\alpha} \theta \lambda o v^{37}$.

In other words, the poet refers in these lines to the fact that in the past a goat was the prize in Tragedy, and a basket of figs was the prize for Comedy. It
 Attic basket of figs". Cf. LSJ s.v. 'o, in, $\tau \mathbf{o}^{38}$.

## An Epitaph for Myro

A.P. 7.425:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mì } \theta \alpha ́ \mu \beta \varepsilon ı, \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \imath \gamma \alpha \text { Mupov̧̂ } \varepsilon \pi i ̀ ~ \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \tau ı \lambda \varepsilon v ́ \sigma \sigma \omega v,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\delta \mu \omega \sigma i, \kappa о \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \nu \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon \nu \delta \iota \kappa о \nu \nless \alpha \mu \lambda \alpha \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$,
$\chi \alpha \nu \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\rho} \mu \omega \nu \phi \nu \lambda \alpha \kappa \bar{\alpha} \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \mu о \nu \alpha, \tau \dot{\alpha} v \delta^{\prime} \alpha \ldots$
$\gamma \lambda \alpha \hat{v} \xi \not \alpha \delta \varepsilon \gamma \lambda \alpha \cup \kappa \hat{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \Pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta \delta \varsigma ~ \alpha \mu \phi i ́ \pi \circ \lambda о \nu$.

[^17]line $3 \mu \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \varepsilon u ́ \tau o v o v$ Salm. $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\nu}\left(\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \mathrm{P}\right)$ をv̌tovov C line $7 \phi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \bar{\alpha} \varsigma$ Salm. $-\kappa \alpha \mathrm{P}$ $\tau \alpha ́ v \delta^{\prime} \alpha \alpha^{\gamma} о \rho \varepsilon \cup ́ \varepsilon ı$ Salm.

Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 2, p. 231 ):
> "Do not wonder at seeing on Myro's tomb a whip, an owl, a bow, a grey goose and a swift bitch. The bow proclaims that I was the strict wellstrung directress of my house, the bitch that I took true care of my children, the whip that I was no cruel or overbearing mistress, but a just chastiser of faults, the goose that I was a careful guardian of the house, and this owl that I was a faithful servant of owl-eyed Pallas. Such were the things in which I took delight, wherefore my husband Biton carved these emblems on my grave-stone".

In their commentary on line 7, Gow-Page noted that "the end of the line is defective ${ }^{\prime 39}$. I would like to point out that it is possible to restore perfect sense to the epigram if we accept Salmasius' reading $\tau \alpha \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \circ \rho \varepsilon v ́ \varepsilon ı$. The goose signifies that Myro was a "careful guardian of the house", and the owl "proclaims" ( $\alpha \gamma \circ \rho \varepsilon v ์ \varepsilon$ ) that she was "the servant of owl-eyed Pallas". It should be noted that Salmasius has preserved the correct text at lines 3 ( $\mu \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \varepsilon v ́ \tau o v o v)$ and 7 ( $\phi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \bar{\alpha} \varsigma)$. For other cases where Salmasius seems to have preserved the correct text, cf. A.P. 7.726 and A.P. 7.733,6 (iooßiols). For the hyperbaton of the definite article $\tau \alpha \nu$, in line 7, cf. Lapp, De Callimachi Cyrenaei tropis et figuris, p. 37.

## An Epitaph by Euphorion

A.P. 7.651 :


$\alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \Delta \circ \lambda i \chi \eta \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha i \alpha<i \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu \eta \varsigma \Delta \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \nu 010$



line 1 と̌̀ $\alpha$ เo̧ Mein. $\sigma \varepsilon \lambda 1$ $\theta \alpha$ ĩos $P$
Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 2, p. 349):
"Craggy Elaeus doth not cover those thy bones, nor this stone that speaks in blue letters. They are broken by the Icarian sea on the shingly

[^18]beach of Doliche and lofty Dracanon，and I，this empty mound of earth， am heaped up here in the thirsty herbage of the Dryopes for the sake of old friendship with Polymedes＂．

Gow－Page ${ }^{40}$ noted that this epigram＂is an inscription for the cenotaph of somebody lost at sea＂．In line 1 Gow－Page printed Meineke＇s alteration $\begin{gathered}\text { そ } \\ \lambda \alpha i o s\end{gathered}$
 which stands next to the cenotaph．I would like to add that better sense can be restored to the epigram if we accept the readings of the apographa ${ }^{41}$ and print line 1 as follows：

（＂The rough stone does not cover you and your wretched bones＂）．

The words $\lambda i ̂ \theta \circ \varsigma ~ \tau \rho \eta \chi \dot{\jmath} \varsigma$ recall Iliad 5．308：
$\hat{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \dot{\rho} ı \nu \dot{v} \tau \rho \eta \chi \dot{v} \varsigma \lambda i \theta \circ \varsigma$.
Note that Euphorion has inverted the Homeric word－order ${ }^{42}$ ．The noun $\lambda i \theta \circ \zeta$ refers to the grave－stone，whereas $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \eta$ ，in line 2 ，describes the stele which is inscribed with the epigram．

The dead man had been shipwrecked near the island of Icaria．However， the cenotaph was built in the land of the Dryopians，i．e．in Epirus．

The man＇s corpse consisted of both flesh and bones：cf．Odyssey 11．219：
 $\pi \nu ́ \theta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \_$о้ $\mu \beta \rho \varphi$.

## An Epitaph for Cinesias

A．P．7．732：
＇$\varepsilon \kappa \tau i \sigma \omega \nu$＇Aí $\bar{\eta} \chi \rho \varepsilon i o s ~ o ́ \phi \varepsilon ı \lambda o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v ~$
$\varepsilon \dot{\rho} \omega \dot{\nu} \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \gamma \varepsilon ⿺ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \circ \beta i ́ \eta \varsigma{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \chi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ ．

[^19]Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 2, p. 389):
> "Thou art gone, still without a staff, Cinesias, son of Hermolas, to pay the debt thou owest to Hades, in thy old age but bringing him thyself still complete. So all-subduing Acheron finding thee a just debtor shall love thee".

This epitaph was written by Theodoridas for a certain Cinesias. The critics have been puzzled by the text of line $1^{43}$. Once again, however, the correct text has been preserved for us by the apographa. Cinesias is addressed as the "fierce son ${ }^{44}$ of Hermes" (Kı $\nu \eta \sigma_{i} \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \gamma \rho ı \varepsilon$ ' Ep $\mu \circ \hat{\imath}$ ) because he is a money-lender ( $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \nu$, line 3). Cf. LSJ s.v. хрŋंबтпร II: "creditor, usurer". For the fact that Hermes was the god of gain, cf. Herodas 7.74. For the genitive ' Eppov̂, cf. LSJ s.v. 'Ephñs (3): ó toù ' Eppoū $\alpha \sigma \tau \eta \mathrm{n}$, the planet Mercury. Cf. also Monro, Homeric Grammar, p. 141: ' Oỉえŋ́os $\tau \alpha \chi$ v̀s Aî $\alpha \varsigma(" s w i f t ~ A j a x ~ s o n ~ o f ~ O i l e u s ") . ~$ Theodoridas makes the point that the money-lender must now pay his debt to Hades. For the adjective $\alpha \not \gamma \rho 1 \varepsilon, c f . A . P .8 .204:$





Translation by Paton (op. cit., vol. 2, p. 489):
> "When, savage Titan, thou didst break into the famous tomb on the hill, how didst thou dare to look on the dead, and, looking on them, how to touch the bones? Verily they would have caught thee and kept thee there, if it were permitted to thee to share their tomb".

An Epitaph for two Sisters
A.P. 7.733:

[^20]Translation by Paton (op.cit., vol. 2, p. 389ff):

> "We two old women Anaxo and Cleno the twin daughters of Epicrates were ever together; Cleno was in life the priestess of the Graces and Anaxo served Demeter. We wanted nine doys to complete our eightieth year. ... We loved our husbands and children, and we, the old women, won gentle death before them".

In their discussion of this epigram, Gow-Page ${ }^{45}$ commented as follows on $\varepsilon \pi л \lambda \varepsilon i \pi o \mu \varepsilon \nu$, in line 5 : "the meaning, though rather oddly expressed, must be 'we fell short by nine days of dying at the age of eighty', though whether the twins (or contemporaries) died on the same day, nine days before their birthday, or lacked nine days between them does not appear".

I would like to point out that perfect sense can be restored to the epigram if we follow Salmasius ${ }^{46}$ and accept the reading iooßiorç Diotimus employed the words ' $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ' oi $\phi \theta$ óvos iooßiols in order to make the point that the aged twins were not envious of each other because they had enjoyed an equal length of life. It therefore follows that the twins must have died on the same day.

The reader will note that Gow-Page also placed the word $\alpha \mathrm{i} \nu^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{L} \nu \mathrm{L}$, in line l, between cruces. Here again perfect sense can be obtained if we follow Salmasius and print $\alpha$ i $v o \mu \mu \sigma_{\text {r }}$. The poet stresses that the two ladies were "law abiding". Writers of epitaphs normally listed the virtues of the dead person ${ }^{47}$.Cf. moreover LSJ s.v. vó $\mu \mathrm{\mu} \circ \mathrm{~s}$ (2), quoting Plato, Grg. 504d v. каі̀ кó $\sigma \mu \mathrm{o}$. In other words, the ladies are praised because they have led orderly lives.

It should furthermore be noted that at line 4 Salmasius printed the reading $\pi р о \pi о \lambda о \varsigma$. Thus Anaxo is described as "a priestess" of Demeter: cf. A.P. 7.107,2 $\Delta \eta \circ \hat{\varsigma} \varsigma . . . \pi \rho \dot{\pi} \pi \circ \lambda \circ \varsigma$. Finally it should be added that in line 8 Salmasius printed $\dot{\alpha ф \iota к о ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha . ~}$

[^21]I would like to suggest that $\alpha$ i $v o \mu \mu \mu \circ$, $\pi \rho \circ \pi \sigma \lambda \circ \varsigma$, iooßiors and $\dot{\alpha} \phi ı к \dot{\mu} \mu \theta \alpha$ are all genuine readings which Salmasius obtained from sources which are now lost. Similarly at A.P. 7.726 the readings $\kappa \eta \bar{\omega} \omega \nu, \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\prime}$ joús have been preserved for us by Salmasius ${ }^{48}$. I therefore propose the following translation for Diotimus' epigram: "We two law abiding ( $\alpha \mathrm{i} \nu^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \circ$ ) old women Anaxo and Cleno, the twin daughters of Epicrates, were ever together; Cleno was in life a priestess of the Graces and Anaxo was a priestess for Demeter ( $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \imath \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \nu \alpha \dot{\xi} \dot{\omega} / \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ́ \pi \circ \lambda 0 \varsigma$ ). We wanted nine days to complete our eightieth year. There is no envy of years for those who live an equal time (iooßíorc). We loved our husbands and children, and we, the old women, came ( $\alpha \phi ı к о \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ) first to gentle death".

Conclusion. The twin sisters are said to have died on the same day and to have left behind their husbands and children ${ }^{49}$. Note the hendiadys, at 7.651.1 ( $\sigma \varepsilon$

 flour-less Leonidas"). For the anaphora $\delta \omega \bar{p} \alpha . . . ~ \delta \omega \hat{p o v . . . ~ a t ~ 6.282 .6, ~ c f . ~}$ Breitenbach, Untersuch. Spr. Eurip., p. 230ff., Radinger, Meleagros, p. 46, Lapp, De Callim. tropis, p. 54ss., and A.P. 1.116.2 and 4.

[^22]
[^0]:    - Dirección para correspondencia: Heather White. 30C, Bethune Road, London N 16 5BD (England).

[^1]:    For adjectival enallage in Greek epigrams, cf. G. Giangrande, Scripta Minora Alexandrina, II, Amsterdam, 1981, p. 328, and especially vol. I, p. 50.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. also H. White, Essays in Hellenistic Poetry, Amsterdam, 1980, p. 19.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. H. White, New Essays in Hellenistic Poetry, Amsterdam, 1985, p. 38 ff .
    ${ }^{4}$ A.S.F. Gow-D.L. Page, Hellenistic Epigrams, II, Cambridge, 1965, repr., p. 194.
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