GDCh

Communications



reactivity and site-selectivity

Check for updates

Photochemistry

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2024, 63, e202311984 doi.org/10.1002/anie.202311984

A. Canonical photoredox decarboxylations

Direct Decarboxylation of Trifluoroacetates Enabled by Iron Photocatalysis**

Sara Fernández-García, Veronika O. Chantzakou, and Francisco Juliá-Hernández*

Abstract: Trifluoroacetates are the most abundant and accessible sources of trifluoromethyl groups, which are key components in pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals. The generation of trifluoromethyl reactive radicals from trifluoroacetates requires their decarboxylation, which is hampered by their high oxidation potential. This constitutes a major challenge for redox-based methods, because of the need to pair the redox potentials with trifluoroacetate. Here we report a strategy based on iron photocatalysis to promote the direct photodecarboxylation of trifluoroacetates that displays reactivity features that escape from redox limitations. Our synthetic design has enabled the use of trifluoroacetates for the trifluoromethylation of more easily oxidizable organic substrates, offering new opportunities for late-stage derivatization campaigns using chemical feedstocks, Earth-abundant catalysts, and visible-light.

Synthetic organic chemistry has adopted photocatalytic methods because they facilitate the formation of reactive radical intermediates, leading to attractive methodologies fueled by visible-light illumination.^[1-3] Photoredox catalysis enables the generation of these species via a photoinduced single-electron transfer (SET), capitalizing the improved redox properties of excited-state photocatalysts compared to those in the ground state.^[4] This approach has been used to expedite the decarboxylation of carboxylic acids,^[5] motifs existing in abundant materials and industrially relevant molecules. Upon visible-light absorption, the excited-state photocatalyst promotes single-electron oxidation of the carboxylate conjugate base, which generates a carboxylate radical that rapidly extrudes CO₂ (Scheme 1A). The resulting C-centered radical is amenable to subsequent bondforming reactions.^[6] SET takes place via an outer-sphere

- [*] S. Fernández-García, V. O. Chantzakou, Dr. F. Juliá-Hernández Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Química, Universidad de Murcia Campus de Espinardo, 30100 Murcia (Spain) E-mail: francisco.julia@um.es
- [**] A previous version of this manuscript has been deposited on a preprint server (https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-j1bwd.).
- © 2023 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.





Scheme 1. (A) Decarboxylations via photoredox catalysis. *PC*, photocatalysts; *PC**, excited state photocatalysts; *TM*, transition metal. (B) Iron photocatalysis tackles the challenge of the direct decarboxylation of trifluoroacetates. *L*, ligand; *SCE*, saturated calomel electrode; E_{ox} , oxidation potential; *BET*, back electron transfer. (C) Trifluoromethylation reactions with trifluoroacetates enabled by iron photocatalysis. *ET*, electron transfer.

pathway similar to ground-state redox reactions.^[7] Due to the inherent nature of this event, the photoredox decarbox-

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2024, 63, e202311984 (1 of 7)

ylation chemical space is delimited by two intrinsic hurdles: 1) Reactivity is governed by a match between redox potentials of both carboxylate and excited-state photocatalyst $[E_{ox}(\text{RCO}_2^-) < E(\text{PC}^*/\text{PC}^-)]$ (Rehm–Weller thermodynamics).^[8] 2) Redox potentials also influence electron transfer selectivity to some extent,^[9] which results in the compound with the lowest oxidation potential often oxidizing more readily. In view of this, the decarboxylation of substrates with very high oxidation potentials while overcoming the redox-directed site-selectivity is currently a daunting scenario in photoredox catalysis.

Clear evidence of this challenge is the arduous direct photodecarboxylation of trifluoroacetate salts (Scheme 1B). Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) is a chemical feedstock that is considered the most abundant and accessible source of trifluoromethyl groups (CF₃).^[10] The incorporation of trifluoromethyl groups into organic molecules is a pivotal transformation in drug discovery and development campaigns, because it improves their biological activity.^[11,12] Therefore, the effective use of inexpensive and atomeconomical trifluoroacetates as trifluoromethyl radical sources, mostly dominated by expensive reagents with high enthalpic driving forces or toxic compounds,^[13] would constitute a significant advancement. However, the utilization of trifluoroacetates as a CF3 reagent in the trifluoromethylation of high molecular complexity substrates is currently hampered by the very high oxidation potential of trifluoroacetate salts (+2.4 V vs SCE in MeCN),^[14] which has resulted in methodologies with harsh reaction conditions using strong oxidants (XeF₂)^[15] and highly oxidizing electrochemical conditions,^[14] with limited applicability. Additionally, its slower decarboxylation rate $(10^5 \text{ s}^{-1})^{[16]}$ compared to other aliphatic carboxylic acids $(10^8-10^9 \text{ s}^{-1})^{[17]}$ enables undesired back electron transfer processes (BET, Scheme 1B). Despite these challenges, the synthetic utility of trifluoroacetates and its surrogates have been pursued with great effort in the past years via transition-metal-mediated and catalyzed decarboxylations at elevated temperatures.^[18] Extensive studies have reported the decarboxylation of trifluoroacetates with copper complexes forming reactive [Cu]–CF₃ intermediates that trifluoromethylate (hetero)aryl halides via cross-couplings.^[19-21] Ag salts/oxidant systems have been used in radical trifluoromethylation reactions at high temperatures with a limited scope of complex substrates.^[22] Nucleophilic [Fe]-CF₃ species have been also proposed in a thermal decarboxylation reaction to form new S–CF₃ bonds and aldehyde addition products.^[23]

In sharp contrast to non-fluorinated aliphatic carboxylates, the direct photodecarboxylation of trifluoroacetates is a prevailing challenge in homogeneous photoredox catalysis, due to the difficulty of finding a photocatalyst able to match such high oxidation potential. Heterogeneous catalysts based on Rh-modified TiO₂ nanoparticles have been able to promote the trifluoromethylation of organic compounds under UV irradiation via direct decarboxylation of TFA, which is used as a solvent.^[24-26] Complementary, undirected approaches using homogeneous Ru photocatalysts have been described. Jin and co-workers reported an elegant method for the trifluoromethylation of a wide variety of (hetero)arenes with a TFA/Ar₂SO system, showcasing a decarboxylation pathway that is currently unclear.^[27] Strategies involving the in situ formation of trifluoroacetate redox-active functionalities, which facilitate decarboxylation, have been also described.^[28,29]

In this communication, we present the design of an iron photocatalyst that functions via a complementary innersphere electron transfer pathway, which bypasses the thermodynamic redox limitations of photoredox catalysts. This involves the coordination of trifluoroacetate to the Fe(III) active species (1, Scheme 1B), which results in the formation of a Fe(III) carboxylate complex (2). Visible-light absorption triggers a Fe-O homolytic cleavage leading to Fe(II) species (3) and trifluoroacetate radical that decarboxylates to [•]CF₃. This process affords the products of a formal SET oxidation of trifluoroacetate with Fe(III) but without the existence of a bimolecular redox reaction. If radical recombination occurs prior to decarboxylation, it will directly regenerate 2 within the solvent cage, unlike undesired photoredox BET processes involving diffusion of species. Inner-sphere electron transfer arises from the population of a dissociative ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) excited state, an emerging concept in organic synthesis with Earth-abundant metals,^[30,31] rooted in fundamental studies in the past.^[32,33] Complementary LMCT decarboxylation strategies of non-fluorinated carboxylates with more accessible oxidation potentials have been recently reported with first-row transition metals^[34-43] and cerium salts,^[44-46] which have displayed similar or competing reactivity patterns to traditional photoredox catalysts. Yet, the full potential of LMCT photocatalysis in organic synthesis, such as the activation of substrates beyond redox demands, is to be unveiled.

We have applied our decarboxylation technology to the trifluoromethylation of C(sp²)-H bonds in organic substrates. Thanks to the mode of action of our design, we have been able to tackle the selectivity challenge of promoting the direct decarboxylation of sodium trifluoroacetate (+ 2.4 V vs SCE) in the presence of substrates with less positive potentials (Scheme 1C, right). This has allowed us to introduce groups various electron-rich CF₃ to (hetero)aromatics and pharmaceuticals like griseofulvin $(E_{ox} = +1.9 \text{ V } vs \text{ SCE})$,^[47] without the interference of undesired bimolecular redox processes. This is highly challenging under (photo)redox settings, in which selectivity is at the mercy of redox thermodynamics, resulting in no reaction or degradation of the substrates (Scheme 1C, left). A comparative analysis of this reactivity is reported in Table S6 in the Supporting Information.^[47]

Our investigations to optimize the photocatalytic trifluoromethylation of $C(sp^2)$ –H bonds gave rise to the conditions shown in Table 1.^[47] The combination of Fe-(OTf)₂ (OTf, trifluoromethanesulfonate) and 4,4'-dimeth-oxy-2,2'-bipyridine (**L1**) resulted in an active catalyst able to promote the decarboxylation of sodium trifluoroacetate (NaO₂CCF₃) and the trifluoromethylation of 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1*H*-pyrrole (**S2**) with K₂S₂O₈ as oxidant at 25 °C under 405 nm illumination. The corresponding trifluoromethylated products **4a** and **4b** were formed in

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2024, 63, e202311984 (2 of 7)

Communications



132 Constraints of the constraint of the constra

Table 1: Optimization of the trifluoromethylation of (hetero)arenes using trifluoroacetates. $^{[a]}$



^aReactions were performed with 0.3 mmol of **S2**. ^bYields were determined by ¹⁹F NMR using hexafluorobenzene as internal standard. ^cIsolated yield, 0.5 mmol of **S2**. X=I, OAc, OTf. *bpy*, 2,2'-bypiridine; *ppy*, 2-phenylbypyridyl; *dtbbpy*, 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-dipyridyl.

62 % and 16 % yield, respectively (Table 1, entry 1). Control experiments demonstrated the photocatalytic activity of the iron species. Although a 22% yield of 4a was obtained without L1 (entry 2), no reaction was detected in the absence of iron salt or in the dark (entries 3-4). Trifluoromethylation was not detected when using cobalt or copper salts instead of iron (entry 6).^[47] Although these experiments do not completely rule out the possible activity of trace metals, they point towards iron active species being the likely catalyst. For further comparison, no reaction or degradation of the substrate was observed when using Ru, Ir or, organic photoredox catalysts (entries 7-9). The oxidant is pivotal for the turnover of Fe(II) into catalytically active Fe(III) species, but it does not participate in the decarboxvlation step (see mechanistic investigation). Other inorganic oxidants were productive, as well as other iron salts including $Fe(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O^{[47]}$

After optimization, we explored the substrate scope of the trifluoromethylation protocol decarboxylative (Scheme 2). A range of pyrroles with different substitution patterns at the aromatic nitrogen was found to produce the corresponding trifluoromethylated products in good yields, including aromatic (4-6), aliphatic (7, 9-11) and, having an N-protecting group (8). The poor solubility of $K_2S_2O_8$ in pure MeCN ensures mild oxidizing conditions. As expected, trifluoromethyl radical addition occurred at the C2 position.^[48] In substrates bearing multiple reactive positions, bis-trifluoromethylated products were obtained like other reported radical trifluoromethylation reactions.[48] Substitution in the aromatic ring was also well tolerated, without observing degradation of aromatic halides (4, 6) or undesired oxidation of aldehydes (14). Other 5-membered heteroaromatics (15-16), 6-membered heterocycles (17-20) and arenes (21-23) were also functionalized. It is worth



Scheme 2. Scope of the trifluoromethylation of C–H bonds with trifluoroacetates. Conditions: (Hetero)arene (0.5 mmol), NaO_2CCF_3 (4–6 equiv.), $Fe(OTf)_2$ (10 mol%), L1 (10 mol%), $K_2S_2O_8$ (3 equiv.), acetonitrile (0.1 M), 405 nm irradiation, 25 °C, 24–48 h. Isolated yields. ^bYield determined by ¹⁹F NMR using hexafluorobenzene as internal standard due to volatility of the product. ^cRatio between mono (m) and bis-trifluoromethylated (b) products. ^dRatio bis-trifluoromethylated isomers. ^cRatio mono-trifluoromethylated isomers. Minor regioisomeric position labelled with atom number. *Bn*, benzyl; *Boc*, tert-butoxycarbonyl.

noting that the catalyst was active in the presence of substrates bearing potentially coordinating N atoms, such as pyridines (17) and pyrimidines (18). A variety of differently substituted indoles were submitted to the reaction condi-

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2024, 63, e202311984 (3 of 7)

tions, because of the presence of this motif in a broad collection of pharmacophores.^[49] As expected, in most cases, mono- and bis-trifluoromethylated isomers were isolated (**24–27**), due to the presence of various reactive positions in their backbone.^[50] The structure of the bis-trifluoromethylated compound **27 c** was assigned by X-ray diffraction.^[51] Additionally, our protocol allowed the direct trifluoromethylation of ferrocene (**28**), which is an elusive transformation in the literature,^[50,52] presumably due to the accessible oxidation to ferrocenium (+0.77 V). This highlights the ability of our synthetic design to circumvent undesired bimolecular redox processes.

To further highlight the utility of our method, we submitted a collection of complex molecules including natural products, pharmaceuticals, and agrochemicals to our reaction conditions (Scheme 3). Generally, the incorporation of the trifluoromethyl group occurred at the most electronrich C(sp²)–H bond, presumably because of a polarity match with the rather electron-poor CF₃ radical.^[53–55] This is a competent strategy to prevent metabolic degradation at high electron density reactive sites of biologically active compounds.^[11] When caffeine, theophylline and pentoxifylline were tested under the optimized conditions, the corresponding trifluoromethylated products **29–31** were obtained in good yields. Protected and unprotected pyrimidine nucleobases also worked well (**32–34**), posing no inhibition problems to the catalyst. It is worth remarking that we were able to prepare trifluridine (Lonsurf[®]), one of the largest-selling small molecule pharmaceuticals,^[12] in one step from unprotected 2-deoxyuridine in 61 % yield (**33**).



Scheme 3. Late-stage trifluoromethylation of natural products and drug-like molecule. Conditions: Substrate (0.5 mmol), NaO₂CCF₃ (6 equiv.), Fe(OTf)₂ (10 mol%), L1 (10 mol%), K₂S₂O₈ (3 equiv.), acetonitrile (0.1 M), 405 nm irradiation, 25 °C, 48 h. Isolated yield.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2024, 63, e202311984 (4 of 7)

The antifungal griseofulvin (Gris-PEG®) and the muscle relaxant metaxalone (Skelaxin®) were trifluoromethylated at the olefinic enone and the aromatic ring, respectively, resulting in previously unreported 35 and 36. The potential of our technology has been showcased with a comparison of our protocol to other methods using trifluoroacetic acid and derivatives (Table S6).^[47] When the naturally occurring furanochromone visnagin was tested under reaction conditions, mono- (37a) and bis-trifluoromethylated (37b) derivatives were obtained. The trifluoromethylation of the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug indometacin (Indocid®) and the natural product melatonin resulted in the formation of isomeric mono- (38a, 38b), bis- (39a, 39b) and even tristrifluoromethylated products (39c, 39d) because of their various reactive sites at the indole ring. Our method provides rapid access to multiple isolable trifluoromethylated isomers that could be of interest in hit-to-lead drug discovery programs.^[48,56]

According to our research hypothesis, recent literature insights^[40,41] and our experimental data, we propose the mechanistic pathway depicted in Scheme 4A. Initially, the catalytically active Fe(III) species (1) are formed via in situ coordination of L1 to $Fe(OTf)_2$ and subsequent oxidation with K₂S₂O₈. Coordination of trifluoroacetate sets the stage for the inner-sphere photodecarboxylation with 405 nm illumination via Fe-O homolytic cleavage in 2, resulting in the formation of [•]CF₃. This adds into the substrate, ultimately leading to the trifluoromethylated product after oxidation and rearomatization. Catalyst turnover is achieved by Fe(II) (3) to Fe(III) (1) oxidation with $K_2S_2O_8$. This mechanistic proposal is supported by experimental data for each of the elementary steps of the catalytic cycle. To begin with, the intermediacy of CF3 radicals was supported by radical trap experiments (Scheme 4B). The addition of TEMPO ((2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl) quenched the photodecarboxylation and afforded the TEMPO-adduct 40; while the reaction with 1,1-diphenylethylene gave rise to the CF_3 -incorporated product **41**. The key step involving the photodecarboxylation of trifluoroacetate from 2 was studied by UV/Vis spectroscopy. In the absence of oxidant, intermediate 2 (Scheme 4C, green trace), generated in situ by mixing Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, L1 and NaO₂CCF₃, evolved upon irradiation (purple trace) in the presence of S2 to the formation of Fe(II) species (red trace). This process also yielded 60% of the trifluoromethylated product 4a, calculated related to the amount of iron.[47] This demonstrates that photodecarboxylation occurs in the absence of an oxidant, which must be only responsible for rearomatization and catalyst turnover. The latter has been also confirmed by monitoring the oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(III) with $K_2S_2O_8$ by UV/Vis spectroscopy.^[47] Although we cannot rule out the formation of sulfate radicals at this stage, they are not essential for reactivity as the trifluoromethylation reaction can occur with oxidants other than persulfate (Table S3)^[47] and in the absence of any oxidant under stoichiometric conditions (Scheme 4C). Although preliminary, this mechanistic interrogation offers experimental evidence for the inner-sphere character of the photodecarboxylation reaction.

A. Mechanistic hypothesis



Scheme 4. (A) Proposed reaction mechanism. *[ox]*, oxidant. (B) Radical trap addition experiments. *TEMPO*, (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl. (C) UV/Vis analysis of the photodecarboxylation step. °Yields determined by ¹⁹F NMR using hexafluorobenzene as internal standard. ^bIsolated yields.

Overall, in this report, we have described a photocatalytic system based on an Earth-abundant metal capable of expanding the scope of photodecarboxylation reactions by circumventing the necessity to pair redox potentials as it occurs in photoredox catalysis. We have enabled the opportunity of repurposing inexpensive trifluoroacetates in the $C(sp^2)$ -H trifluoromethylation of organic substrates with less positive potentials, without observing redox degradations. The diversity of structural motifs and substituents showcased in the late-stage derivatization of complex molecules hold great promise for the exploitation of

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2024, 63, e202311984 (5 of 7)

trifluoroacetates and other carboxylic acid feedstocks that are beyond the scope of photoredox catalysis, in the pharmaceutical and agrochemical sectors. We hope our study could set the stage for the discovery of new reactivity platforms to further uncover the synthetic potential of LMCT photocatalysis.

Supporting Information

The authors have cited additional references within the Supporting Information. $^{\left[57-71\right] }$

Acknowledgements

We thank F. Juliá (Universidad de Murcia, Spain), J. Cornella (MPI-Kohlenforschung, Germany) and R. Martin (ICIQ, Spain) for helpful discussions. D. Bautista (Universidad de Murcia, Spain) is acknowledged for crystallographic data analysis and elucidation. We also thank the research support area ACTI (Universidad de Murcia, Spain) that helped with the characterization of compounds. We are grateful for financial support provided by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by "ESF Investing in your future" (PID2020-115408GA-I00 and RYC2018-024643-I to F.J.-H.; and PRE2021-099616 to S.F.-G.). Erasmus + programme is also acknowledged for a mobility traineeship to V.O.C.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article. NMR raw data for this article are openly available in Zenodo at 10.5281/ zenodo.10423610.

Keywords: Decarboxylation • Earth-Abundant Metals • Photocatalysis • Radicals • Trifluoromethylation

- [1] S. Crespi, M. Fagnoni, Chem. Rev. 2020, 120, 9790-9833.
- [2] F. Juliá, T. Constantin, D. Leonori, Chem. Rev. 2022, 122, 2292–2352.
- [3] N. Holmberg-Douglas, D. A. Nicewicz, Chem. Rev. 2022, 122, 1925–2016.
- [4] C. K. Prier, D. A. Rankic, D. W. C. MacMillan, *Chem. Rev.* 2013, 113, 5322–5363.
- [5] J. Schwarz, B. König, Green Chem. 2018, 20, 323–361.
- [6] S. B. Beil, T. Q. Chen, N. E. Intermaggio, D. W. C. MacMillan, Acc. Chem. Res. 2022, 55, 3481–3494.
- [7] D. M. Arias-Rotondo, J. K. McCusker, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 5803–5820.
- [8] D. Rehm, A. Weller, Isr. J. Chem. 1970, 8, 259–271.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2024, 63, e202311984 (6 of 7)

- [9] R. A. Marcus, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1993, 32, 1111–1121.
- [10] S. E. López, J. Salazar, J. Fluorine Chem. 2013, 156, 73-100.
- [11] H.-P. Li, X.-H. He, C. Peng, J.-L. Li, B. Han, Nat. Prod. Rep. 2022, 39, 1111–1368.
- [12] J. T. Najardarson, "Top 200 Small Molecule Pharmaceuticals by Retail Sales in 2021," can be found under https://njardarson. lab.arizona.edu/sites/njardarson.lab.arizona.edu/files/Top 200 Small Molecules 2021 V3.pdf, **2022**.
- [13] H. Baguia, G. Evano, Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202200975.
- [14] C. Depecker, H. Marzouk, S. Trevin, J. Devynck, New J. Chem. 1999, 23, 739–742.
- [15] Y. Tanabe, N. Matsuo, N. Ohno, J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 4582– 4585.
- [16] H. Sawada, M. Nakayama, M. Yoshida, T. Yoshida, N. Kamigata, J. Fluorine Chem. 1990, 46, 423–431.
- [17] J. W. Hilborn, J. A. Pincock, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 2683–2686.
- [18] N. J. Rijs, R. A. J. O'Hair, Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 3395-3406.
- [19] Y. Li, T. Chen, H. Wang, R. Zhang, K. Jin, X. Wang, C. Duan, *Synlett* **2011**, *12*, 1713–1716.
- [20] K. A. McReynolds, R. S. Lewis, L. K. G. Ackerman, G. G. Dubinina, W. W. Brennessel, D. A. Vicic, *J. Fluorine Chem.* 2010, 131, 1108–1112.
- [21] M. Chen, S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11628–11631.
- [22] G. Shi, C. Shao, S. Pan, J. Yu, Y. Zhang, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 38–41.
- [23] B. Exner, B. Bayarmagnai, F. Jia, L. J. Goossen, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, 21, 17220–17223.
- [24] C. Lai, T. E. Mallouk, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1993, 1359–1361.
- [25] Z. Bazyar, M. Hosseini-Sarvari, Org. Process Res. Dev. 2019, 23, 2345–2353.
- [26] J. Lin, Z. Li, J. Kan, S. Huang, W. Su, Y. Li, Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 14353.
- [27] D. Yin, D. Su, J. Jin, Cell Rep. Phys. Sci. 2020, 1, 100141.
- [28] J. W. Beatty, J. J. Douglas, K. P. Cole, C. R. J. Stephenson, *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6, 7919.
- [29] M. Zhang, J. Chen, S. Huang, B. Xu, J. Lin, W. Su, *Chem Catal.* 2022, 2, 1793–1806.
- [30] Y. Abderrazak, A. Bhattacharyya, O. Reiser, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 21100–21115.
- [31] F. Juliá, ChemCatChem 2022, 14, e202200916.
- [32] A. Sugimori, T. Yamada, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1986, 59, 3911– 3915.
- [33] A. L. Poznyak, V. I. Pavlovski, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1988, 27, 789–796.
- [34] A. Reichle, H. Sterzel, P. Kreitmeier, R. Fayad, F. N. Castellano, J. Rehbein, O. Reiser, *Chem. Commun.* 2022, 58, 4456–4459.
- [35] Q. Y. Li, S. N. Gockel, G. A. Lutovsky, K. S. DeGlopper, N. J. Baldwin, M. W. Bundesmann, J. W. Tucker, S. W. Bagley, T. P. Yoon, *Nat. Chem.* **2022**, *14*, 94–99.
- [36] P. Xu, W. Su, T. Ritter, Chem. Sci. 2022, 13, 13611-13616.
- [37] P. Xu, P. López-Rojas, T. Ritter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 5349–5354.
- [38] N. W. Dow, P. S. Pedersen, T. Q. Chen, D. C. Blakemore, A. M. Dechert-Schmitt, T. Knauber, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 6163–6172.
- [39] T. Q. Chen, P. S. Pedersen, N. W. Dow, R. Fayad, C. E. Hauke, M. C. Rosko, E. O. Danilov, D. C. Blakemore, A.-M. Dechert-Schmitt, T. Knauber, F. N. Castellano, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 8296–8305.
- [40] Y. Lu, J. G. West, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2023, 62, e202213055.
- [41] Z. Li, X. Wang, S. Xia, J. Jin, *Org. Lett.* 2019, *21*, 4259–4265.
 [42] S.-C. Kao, K.-J. Bian, X.-W. Chen, Y. Chen, A. A. Martí, J. G. West, *Chem Catal.* 2023, *3*, 100603.

© 2023 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

5213773, 2024, 5. Downloaded from https://onlinelibary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/anie.202311984 by Readcube (Labiva Inc.), Wiley Online Library on [0301/2025], See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License



- [43] G. Feng, X. Wang, J. Jin, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 2019, 6728– 6732.
- [44] Y. Wang, L. Li, N. Fu, ACS Catal. 2022, 12, 10661-10667.
- [45] S. Shirase, S. Tamaki, K. Shinohara, K. Hirosawa, H. Tsurugi, T. Satoh, K. Mashima, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 5668–5675.
- [46] V. R. Yatham, P. Bellotti, B. König, Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 3489–3492.
- [47] See Supporting Information for details.
- [48] D. A. Nagib, D. W. C. MacMillan, Nature 2011, 480, 224–228.
- [49] A. Dorababu, RSC Med. Chem. 2020, 11, 1335–1353.
- [50] E. Mejía, A. Togni, ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 521–527.
- [51] Deposition number 2234313 (for 27 c) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.
- [52] T. Akiyama, K. Kato, M. Kajitani, Y. Sakaguchi, J. Nakamura, H. Hayashi, A. Sugimori, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1988**, *61*, 3531– 3537.
- [53] Y. Ji, T. Brueckl, R. D. Baxter, Y. Fujiwara, I. B. Seiple, S. Su, D. G. Blackmond, P. S. Baran, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2011**, *108*, 14411–14415.
- [54] F. O'Hara, D. G. Blackmond, P. S. Baran, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12122–12134.
- [55] B. R. Langlois, E. Laurent, N. Roidot, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991, 32, 7525–7528.
- [56] W. S. Ham, J. Hillenbrand, J. Jacq, C. Genicot, T. Ritter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 532–536.
- [57] H. X. Zheng, X. H. Shan, J. P. Qu, Y. B. Kang, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 5114–5117.
- [58] C. S. Theile, L. W. McLaughlin, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5587–5589.

- [59] H. Ge, Q. Shen, Org. Chem. Front. 2019, 6, 2205–2209.
- [60] L. Li, X. Mu, W. Liu, Y. Wang, Z. Mi, C.-J. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 5809–5812.
- [61] J. Jacquet, S. Blanchard, E. Derat, M. Desage-El Murr, L. Fensterbank, *Chem. Sci.* 2016, 7, 2030–2036.
- [62] S. Deolka, R. Govindarajan, E. Khaskin, R. R. Fayzullin, M. C. Roy, J. R. Khusnutdinova, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, 60, 24620–24629.
- [63] N. J. W. Straathof, H. P. L. Gemoets, X. Wang, J. C. Schouten, V. Hessel, T. Noël, *ChemSusChem* **2014**, *7*, 1612–1617.
- [64] S. Seo, J. B. Taylor, M. F. Greaney, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 6385–6387.
- [65] X. H. Cao, X. Pan, P. J. Zhou, J. P. Zou, O. T. Asekun, *Chem. Commun.* 2014, 50, 3359–3362.
- [66] P. Liu, W. Liu, C. J. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 14315– 14321.
- [67] D. Uraguchi, K. Yamamoto, Y. Ohtsuka, K. Tokuhisa, T. Yamakawa, Appl. Catal. A 2008, 342, 137–143.
- [68] H. Jia, A. P. Häring, F. Berger, L. Zhang, T. Ritter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 7623–7628.
- [69] S. Chen, D.-F. Feng, D.-Y. Li, P.-N. Liu, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 5418–5422.
- [70] C. Tian, Q. Wang, X. Wang, G. An, G. Li, J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 14241–14247.
- [71] B. Yang, D. Yu, X.-H. Xu, F.-L. Qing, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 2839–2843.

Manuscript received: August 16, 2023

Accepted manuscript online: December 13, 2023 Version of record online: December 28, 2023