



Article

Effectiveness of Training in Evidence-Based Practice on the Development of Communicative Skills in Nursing Students: A Quasi-Experimental Design

María Ruzafa-Martínez ¹, Verónica Pérez-Muñoz ^{2,*}, María Belén Conesa-Ferrer ¹, Antonio Jesús Ramos-Morcillo ¹ and Alonso Molina-Rodríguez ¹

- Department of Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, University of Murcia, Av. Buenavista, 32, El Palmar, 30120 Murcia, Spain; maruzafa@um.es (M.R.-M.); mb.conesaferrer@um.es (M.B.C.-F.); ajramos@um.es (A.J.R.-M.); alonso.molina@um.es (A.M.-R.)
- Department of Sociosanitary Care, Faculty of Social and Health Sciences, University of Murcia, Av. De las Fuerzas Armadas, s/n, 30800 Lorca, Spain
- * Correspondence: veronica.perezm@um.es

Abstract: Background: Communication skills (CSs) and evidence-based practice (EBP) are key foundations for offering personalized and quality nursing care. CS that results in open communication is fundamental for applying EBP. Objectives: The objective of the study was to assess the relationship between the acquisition of EBP competencies and learning CS after taking an EBP course in the nursing degree. Methods: Pre-test-post-test quasi-experimental study without a control group. The study population comprised fourth-year undergraduate nursing students. Before and after an EBP course, students completed a questionnaire on CS competence (HP-CSS) and EBP competence (EBP-COQ). The EBP course lasts for 15 weeks, with 150 h of work for the student. Out of these 150 h, 60 are conducted in person, while the remaining 90 h are for independent or group work, utilizing the flipped classroom methodology. Bivariate, correlational, pairwise Student's t-test, and linear regression multivariate analyses were performed. Results: The sample was composed of 153 students. After completing the EBP course, there was a statistically significant improvement in informative communication (p = 0.046) and assertiveness (p = 0.018). However, there were no observed changes in empathy, respect, and the total score of the CS competence. The results from the multivariate analysis showed that the dimensions of attitude towards EBP and EBP knowledge had a positive relation to three of the four dimensions of the CS and overall competence. Regarding the students' variables and characteristics, admission through special programs for athletes and older students (p < 0.001) and being female (p = 0.004) were positively statistically associated with empathy. Conclusions: EBP training positively affects the development of CS in nursing students, as shown by significant improvements in the total scores of the CS and the dimensions of informative communication and assertiveness after the intervention. This study demonstrates the initial applicability and usefulness of an EBP training program for the development of CS in nursing students.

Keywords: communication; attitudes; evidence-based practice; nursing education



Citation: Ruzafa-Martínez, M.;
Pérez-Muñoz, V.; Conesa-Ferrer, M.B.;
Ramos-Morcillo, A.J.; MolinaRodríguez, A. Effectiveness of
Training in Evidence-Based Practice
on the Development of
Communicative Skills in Nursing
Students: A Quasi-Experimental
Design. Healthcare 2024, 12, 1895.
https://doi.org/10.3390/
healthcare 12181895

Academic Editor: Robbert Gobbens

Received: 14 August 2024 Revised: 8 September 2024 Accepted: 18 September 2024 Published: 21 September 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Communication is central to each interpersonal interaction around health care [1]. Many authors in nursing, such as Hildegar Peplau and Joyce Travelbee, have underlined the importance of communication in interpersonal relations [2]. Peplau, in her theory of interpersonal relations, states that the nurse–patient relationship is characterized by linguistic-therapeutic communication [3]. Travelbee, on her part, integrated communication and empathy as elements necessary in relationships between human beings. Also, the problem resolution between nurses and patients approach proposed by Abdellah highlights

the importance of promoting verbal and non-verbal communication between nurses and patients to guarantee high-quality care [4]. Updated communication conceptual frameworks expand the value of defining communication beyond information exchange by including information creation through the development of shared understanding [5].

The American Association of Colleges of Nursing affirms that effective communication skills (CSs) are fundamental for nursing students to provide safe patient care [6]. Facilitating their application in the work environment and the personal sphere is one of the key crosscutting competencies in social health sciences [7]. Also, there is a general consensus that the incorporation of CS leads to personalized attention and must, therefore, be part of the professional practice of the nurses [8], which further underlines their importance in the area of healthcare.

The existing evidence shows that nursing professionals who possess adequate CS offer quality care centered on patients [9–15]. It has been shown that establishing therapeutic communication between nurses and patients is essential for providing quality care [16]. This translates into positive results in the mood and satisfaction of patients, in adherence to the treatment, and the improvement of quality indicators in healthcare [17–20]. On the contrary, inefficient communication can lead to preventable mistakes, delays in treatment, or even adverse effects on patients [21]. In addition, health professionals with CS training gain benefits as their confidence in the management of communication in complex contexts increases, such as giving bad news, fielding difficult questions, and responding to situations with a high emotional load [22].

CSs in health professionals are described as "the set of verbal and non-verbal techniques and behaviors that shape the relational competencies of health professionals through which they express, in an interpersonal context (patient-centered) and a specific situation, their needs, feelings, preferences, opinions, desires, and rights, resulting in a series of consequences in the relationship that affect the patient themselves, the professionals, their relationship, and even the health system" [23]. CS encompasses diverse essential dimensions, such as informative communication, active listening, empathy, respect, authenticity or congruency, and assertiveness [22,24]. These elements play a crucial role, simultaneously acting as facilitators or barriers that can either promote or make difficult, efficient communication with the patient [25].

The background on the subject indicates that empathy is a dimension that can be acquired, and it is expected that nursing professionals incorporate it as a fundamental pillar in the nurse–patient relationship [26,27]. This dimension has been positively related to emotional intelligence, CS, and the ability to provide care to nursing students [28]. It has also been observed that those with higher levels of CS have greater resilience [29]. The relationships between the CS and the sociodemographic characteristics of students enrolled in health sciences have been explored. It was observed that the age of the undergraduate and graduate nursing students was positively correlated with their attitude toward learning communication skills [30]. With respect to sex, it seems that women have higher levels of affective and cognitive attitudes as compared to their male counterparts [31].

Communication is not an innate skill that varies from person to person. However, it can be acquired through training and experience [13]. Along this line, many studies coincide in that CS training in nursing professionals and students is inadequate [21,32], in part due to the lack of importance given to non-technical or soft skills, as compared to technical competencies [18,24]. Learning technical skills has traditionally been the basis on which the content of the health sciences training programs is developed, while the rest of the soft skills, such as CS, leadership, or teamwork, are not generally included in nursing degree teaching plans [33]. It has been shown that education interventions on communication skills that use diverse techniques, such as simulation-based training, hybrid methods, and cooperative learning, can strengthen the communication skills of nurses and nursing students [18,34]. The most promising result suggests that education interventions, particularly those that employ personalized feedback approaches, tend to improve students' communication skills most effectively [35].

Presently, the relevance of acquiring CS and evidence-based practice (EBP) skills as key foundations for providing personalized and quality nursing care is emphasized [36]. The intention of EBP is to integrate the best external evidence with the clinical experience of professionals, considering the patient's choice and the available resources [37]. The third component of EBP implies having in mind the preferences and values of patients when making clinical decisions [38], and therefore acquiring CS that facilitates open communication, in which the patient feels recognized, and to the highest degree possible, is able to actively participate in the healthcare process, is fundamental for the application of EBP [39].

Recent students have concluded that nurses who apply care practices based on evidence dedicate more time to discovering patients' preferences and utilize CS to improve professional care [8]. Also, a positive attitude toward learning communication competencies leads to a positive attitude toward EBP [30]. Research indicates a strong relationship between communication skills, EBP, and effective healthcare delivery. Communication skills and EBP competencies predict nursing students' performance in simulated clinical scenarios [40]. Integrating patient values into EBP through effective communication and shared decision-making is particularly important for chronic pain conditions, as it leads to better outcomes than traditional biomedical approaches [41]. There is a significant link between evidence-based practice (EBP) and communication skills (CSs) in the training of nursing students. However, no studies have investigated their relationship in the learning process. It is crucial to explore the connection between EBP and communication skills in nursing students to enhance nursing education and improve patient outcomes through more effective, evidence-based care. In the present study, the initial hypothesis is that EBP training is positively related to the learning of CS. For this, the aim of the study was to assess the relationship between the acquisition of EBP competencies and learning CS in nursing students after taking an EBP course in the nursing degree.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Design

A quasi-experimental pre-post study was conducted with undergraduate nursing students without a control group.

2.2. Environment and Participants

The study was conducted in the Faculty of Nursing at the University of Murcia during the 2022/23 academic year. The study population consisted of 180 students in their fourth year enrolled in the Clinical Practice and Evidence-based Nursing course of the nursing degree program.

During the academic year, all the students received the same training, including the EBP course, high-fidelity clinical simulation, and 325 h of clinical practice.

2.3. Sample Selection

The sample size calculation was based on the paired Student's *t*-test [42]. For a quasi-experimental study with only one group (experimental group), the required sample size is approximately 128 subjects. The study assumes a standard deviation of 8.04 [43], a minimal detectable difference of 0.25 in the HP-SCC total competence score, 80% power, and a significance level of 0.05 (two-tailed).

The sampling method used was non-probabilistic, and no random sequence was obtained. The entire sample comprised nursing students who had taken the evidence-based practice (EBP) course, meaning that all groups of participants underwent the intervention. The study included students enrolled in the nursing degree program who had completed the EBP course. Students who had not completed the EBP course or who were part of an ERASMUS or other student mobility program were excluded from the study.

2.4. Intervention

The nursing degree program is a four-year academic training that includes a mandatory subject called Clinical Practice and Evidence-based Nursing in the final year. This subject is taught during the first term, which is from September to December, and lasts for 15 weeks, with 150 h of work for the student. Out of these 150 h, 60 are conducted in person, while the remaining 90 h are for independent or group work, utilizing the flipped classroom methodology. The students are divided into groups of 40 to attend seminars where they cover the course content and into groups of 20 for critical appraisal laboratories. The content of the course includes the four main steps of the EBP process: cultivate a spirit of inquiry, ask the clinical question in PICOT format, search for the best evidence, and critically appraise the evidence. The students review the content of these modules in online materials provided by the lectures for the preclass asynchronous activities before the face-to-face seminars and laboratories. A detailed description of the content is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Description of the evidence-based practice (EBP) course.

| CLASS Hours per Student | Working Hours per Student | Methodology | Content | Assignment |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--|---|
| 10 | 10 | Seminar | Introduction to EBP. The significance of EBP in the development of nursing science General Concepts: Nursing variability Steps of the EBP Magnitude, validity, bias Hierarchy of evidence and Grades of recommendation | Study of the contents with documentary and reference resources |
| 5 | 5 | Laboratory | Clinical question formulation using the PICO format | Formulate a Clinical PICO question |
| 5 | 10 | Laboratory | Designing and conducting the search for evidence in multiple evidence databases Evidence search hierarchy: meta search engine: Trip Database, Epistemonikos, Evidence Portal, Evidence Search Online Databases of Clinical Practice Guidelines: NGC, NICE, SIGN, RNAO, Guiasalud Systematic reviews databases: Cochrane Library, JBI, CRD Search strategies: controlled vocabulary (thesaurus/MeSH), keywords, boolean operators, limit function Searching databases: PubMed/Medline, CINAHL, PsycINFO® | Identify clinical practice guidelines, a systematic review, and an original study on a PICO question Describe the search hierarchy for the clinical questions. Detail the search strategies (controlled vocabulary, keywords, limit function and Boolean operators) |
| 30 | 50 | Seminar | Studies design: Cross-sectional, case–control, cohort, controlled trial, systematic review, Clinical Practice Guidelines How to evaluate the evidence (critical appraisal using CASP tools, AGREE II) Applying the evidence to a patient care decision | Each week the students must read and critically appraise a paper (in total 6 documents) using CASP tools and AGREE II. Discussion of the paper in groups (up to 5 students) Presentation and discussion in a seminar with the teacher. |

Table 1. Cont.

| CLASS Hours per Student | Working Hours per Student | Methodology | Content | Assignment |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| 10 | 15 | Laboratory | Final project: Response to a PICO question formulated based on a clinical scenario | In groups of up to 5 students, they must: identify a nursing problem in patients cared for during clinical training; formulate a PICO question; identify systematic reviews and/or primary articles; critically appraise search results; describe recommendations on the clinical question, and identify the level of evidence and grade of recommendation. Present the results of the final exercise in a poster to the laboratory group, giving reasons for implementation of the search results. |

2.5. Variables and Data Collection Instruments

The data collection document included the following sociodemographic variables: age, gender, method of admission to the degree, previous level of education, and class attendance. Variables on technology training and use included training before EBP, training on the EBP methodology, reading of articles, using Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram to consult scientific evidence, using health blogs to consult scientific evidence, and reading networks to consult scientific evidence.

EBP competence was assessed with the previously validated EBP Competence Questionnaire for nursing students (EBP-COQ) [44]. This tool has been validated and used in various countries beyond Spain, including Italy, Turkey, Greece, and Poland [45–48]. The EBP-COQ was specifically created to assess the self-perceived EBP competence of nursing students. The overall Cronbach's alpha of the questionnaire was 0.888. Good reliability scores were also obtained in the three dimensions that shape the questionnaire: dimension 1 "Attitude towards EBP", with Cronbach's alpha of 0.940, dimension 2 "EBP skills", with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.756, and dimension 3 "EBP knowledge", with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.800. The EBP-COQ includes 25 questions. It is answered with responses ranging from 1 to 5 (1 is the lowest level, and 5 is the highest) for the 3 dimensions and the overall EBP competence. The maximum total score of the EBP-COQ provides an overall index of "EBP Competence", allowing the identification of a high level of competence (maximum questionnaire score: 5).

Main outcome: To assess communication skills, the Health Professionals Communication Skills Scale (HP-CSS) was used and validated to assess the self-perceived CS of health professionals [49] and students [43]. Up to now, this tool has been adapted to Chinese [50]. The Cronbach's alpha of the scale was 0.88. Good reliability results were also obtained for the 4 dimensions that shape the questionnaire. Dimension 1, "Informative communication", assesses the way to obtain and provide information with respect to patients, and obtained a Cronbach's alpha of 0.78. Dimension 2, "Empathy", reflects the ability to understand patients' feelings and makes it evident through active listening and emphatic answers, with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.77. Dimension 3, "Respect", assesses respect in the clinical relationship that is established between patients, with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.74. Dimension 4, "Assertiveness", reflects the ability to be assertive or have socially skillful behaviors in the clinical relationship with patients, with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.65. The HP-CSS is composed of 18 items, with a response range of 1 to 6 (1 is the lowest level and 6 is the highest). Dimension 1 is scored with 6–36 points and includes 6 items, dimension 2 is scored with 5-30 points and includes 5 items, dimension 3 is scored with 3-18 points, and includes 3 items, and dimension 4 is scored with 4 to 24 points and includes 4 items. Meanwhile, the maximum total score of the HP-CSS is 108.

Both the HP-CSS and EBP-COQ tools have been previously used in the Spanish context, demonstrating a strong association between EBP competence and CS [40].

An online data collection notebook was designed, and the answers were obtained before the start of the course, in September 2022, and at the end of the first term, when the EBP course ended, in January 2023.

2.6. Data Analysis

The qualitative variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. The mean and standard deviation (SD) were calculated for the quantitative variables. Pearson's R coefficient was used to study the relationship between the continuous variables. For dichotomous and polychotomous variables, the Chi-square test and a one-way ANOVA were performed, respectively. To compare the change in communication skills and EBP through time, the parametric paired samples t-test was utilized. The difference in means (DM) was used to measure the effect with 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) on the scores of the dimensions studied. Cohen's d was used to obtain the effect size, through the use of values proposed by Ferguson, where 0.41 indicated a small effect, 1.15 indicated a moderate effect, and 2.70 indicated a large effect [51]. A multivariate model (forward stepwise multiple linear regression analysis) was created to calculate the magnitude of the effect of the characteristics of the students and the EBP competence they showed before its association with communication skills. In the statistical analysis, a level of significance of 5% ($p \le 0.05$) was considered. The data were analyzed using the statistical package Jamovi v.2.3.19.0 for Mac.

2.7. Ethical Aspects

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Murcia (256/18). The ethical approval of our study aligns with broader international guidelines, such as those established by the World Medical Association (WMA), specifically in the Declaration of Helsinki. This document sets forth fundamental ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects, emphasizing the need to respect the dignity, rights, and well-being of participants. By obtaining ethical approval, we ensure that our study adheres to these international standards, guaranteeing the protection of participants and the integrity of the research process.

The students' participation was voluntary after an explanation of the study objective and the ethical guarantees was given. The anonymity of the students was maintained, and the confidentiality of the data obtained was ensured through the creation of a personal code.

3. Results

The final sample of the study comprised 153 fourth-year students from the nursing degree program (response rate of 85%). The students had a mean age of 24.2 years (SD = 8.05), and 78.4% were women. Access to the nursing degree was higher for students coming from high school (77.1%), and many students attended more than 50% of the classes. Students had no previous EBP and research methodology training, and 74.5% used social media to consult scientific evidence (Table 2).

The mean score in all the dimensions and total competence in EBP improved significantly (p < 0.001) in all nursing students after taking the EBP course. A statistically significant improvement was also observed in the dimension of informative communication (p = 0.046) and assertiveness (p = 0.018), with an increase of 0.53 and 0.60 points, respectively, after receiving the training (Table 3).

 $\textbf{Table 2.} \ \ \text{Description of sociodemographic variables, training, and use of networks.}$

| Age in years (Mean; SD) | | 24.2 (8.05) |
|---|---------------------|-------------|
| C 1 0/ (NI) | Female | 78.4% (120) |
| Gender % (N) | Male | 21.6% (33) |
| | High School | 77.1% (118) |
| Method of admission % (N) | Vocational training | 14.4% (22) |
| | Special admission | 8.5% (13) |
| | None | 75.2% (115) |
| | Vocational training | 19% (29) |
| Other education % (N) | 5-year bachelors | 0.7% (1) |
| | 4-year bachelors | 3.3% (5) |
| | Master's | 2% (3) |
| | <24% | 3.3% (5) |
| | 24–49% | 9.8% (15) |
| Class attendance % (N) | 50–74% | 30.7% (47) |
| | >75% | 56.2% (86) |
| | None | 88.2% (135) |
| EDD (m. 'm. 'n o 0/ (NI) | <40 h | 5.2% (8) |
| EBP training % (N) | 40–150 h | 4.6% (7) |
| | >150 h | 2% (3) |
| | None | 91.5% (140) |
| Training on Caiontific Mathadalager 9/ (NI) | <40 h | 3.9% (6) |
| Training on Scientific Methodology % (N) | 40–150 h | 3.9% (6) |
| | >150 h | 0.7% (1) |
| | None | 2.0% (3) |
| Reading of articles per month % (N) | 1–3 articles | 34.0% (52) |
| | >3 articles | 64.1% (98) |
| Twitter/Facebook/IC 9/ (NI) | Yes | 74.5% (114) |
| Twitter/Facebook/IG % (N) | No | 25.5% (39) |
| | Never | 9.8% (15) |
| | Occasionally | 32.0% (49) |
| Reading of social networks % (N) | Monthly | 13.1% (20) |
| | Weekly | 33.3% (51) |
| | Daily | 11.8% (18) |

SD = Standard Deviation.

Table 3. EBP-COQ and HP-CSS scores before and after the EBP course.

| | Mean (SD) | | Difference in | 95% | 6CI | Cohen's d | p | |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|---------|--|
| | Pre | Post | Means | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | Conen's a | P | |
| Attitude EBP | 3.78 (0.24) | 4.36 (0.43) | -0.585 | -0.655 | -0.51570 | -1.3445 | < 0.001 | |
| EBP Skills | 3.10 (0.28) | 4.00 (0.56) | -0.904 | -1.008 | -0.80051 | -1.3936 | < 0.001 | |
| EBP Knowledge | 2.88 (0.38) | 4.20 (0.48) | -1.319 | -1.416 | -1.22256 | -2.1810 | < 0.001 | |
| Total EBP | 3.25 (0.17) | 4.19 (0.42) | -0.936 | -1.007 | -0.86544 | -2.1140 | < 0.001 | |
| CS Informative Comm. | 30.78 (3.11) | 31.32 (3.27) | -0.536 | -1.063 | -0.00905 | -0.1625 | 0.046 | |
| CS Empathy | 26.66 (2.90) | 26.50 (3.17) | 0.163 | -0.266 | 0.59326 | 0.0607 | 0.454 | |
| CS Respect | 16.93 (1.41) | 16.77 (1.65) | 0.163 | -0.113 | 0.43972 | 0.0945 | 0.245 | |
| CS Assertiveness | 15.84 (3.25) | 16.44 (3.38) | -0.601 | -1.100 | -0.10245 | -0.1925 | 0.018 | |
| Total CS | 90.22 (8.14) | 91.03 (8.78) | -0.810 | -2.054 | 0.43283 | -0.1041 | 0.200 | |

CS = Communication Skills; CI = Confidence Interval; p = P valor.

The analyses showed a positive correlation between the EBP-COQ and most HP-CSS dimensions (Table 4).

| Table 4. Correlation of | sociodemographic var | riables and EBP-COQ with HP-CSS. |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | |

| | Informative Communication | | Em | pathy | Re | spect | Assert | iveness | То | tal CS |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| | R | р | R | р | R | p | R | р | R | р |
| Age | 0.046 | 0.572 | 0.142 | 0.081 | 0.017 | 0.839 | 0.050 | 0.543 | 0.091 | 0.266 |
| Attitude EBP | 0.491 | <0.001 *** | 0.381 | <0.001 *** | 0.378 | <0.001 *** | 0.168 | 0.038 * | 0.456 | <0.001 *** |
| EBP Skills | 0.461 | <0.001 *** | 0.332 | <0.001 *** | 0.395 | <0.001 *** | 0.081 | 0.321 | 0.397 | <0.001 *** |
| EBP Knowledge Total EBP | 0.469 0.553 | <0.001 *** <0.001 *** | 0.343 0.410 | <0.001 *** <0.001 *** | $0.406 \\ 0.460$ | <0.001 *** <0.001 *** | 0.165 0.157 | 0.041 * 0.053 | 0.439 0.501 | <0.001 *** <0.001 *** |

R = Pearson's coefficient; p = P valor. * p < 0.05; *** p < 0.001.

Being a woman and being admitted to the nursing degree through special admissions was also statistically significant with a greater score in the dimension of empathy (p = 0.010). Consulting social networks to obtain scientific evidence was associated with a higher score in all the dimensions and the total score of the CS (Table 5).

Table 5. Relationship between sociodemographic variables, training and use of networks and HP-CSS.

| | | Inform | | Emp | oathy | Respect | | Asserti | veness | Tota | l CS |
|---|--|--|-------|--|---------|--|-------|--|--------|--|-------|
| | | Mean (SD) | р | Mean (SD) | р | Mean (SD) | р | Mean (SD) | р | Mean (SD) | p |
| Gender | Female Male | 31.5 (3.03) 30.5 (4.00) | 0.111 | 26.8 (3.07) 25.2 (3.26) | 0.010 * | 16.9 (1.57) 16.3 (1.88) | 0.086 | 16.2 (3.29) 17.3 (3.64) | 0.100 | 91.5 (8.34) 89.4 (10.21) | 0.228 |
| Method of admission | High School Vocational training Special admission | 31.2 (3.41) 31.2 (2.75) 32.2 (2.86) | 0.508 | 26.3 (3.15) 26.2 (3.50) 28.4 (2.26) | 0.021 * | 16.8 (1.67) 16.5 (1.77) 17.2 (1.34) | 0.516 | 16.2 (3.43) 17.2 (3.16) 17.2 (3.32) | 0.297 | 90.6 (9.08) 91.2 (7.81) 95.0 (6.92) | 0.131 |
| Other education | None Vocational training 5-year bachelors 4-year bachelors | 31.3 (3.39) 31.3 (2.94) 31.5 (3.78) 31.7 (1.15) | 0.997 | 26.5 (3.11) 26.9 (3.40) 25.8 (3.81) 26.0 (3.61) | 0.866 | 16.8 (1.69) 16.8 (1.63) 16.1 (1.47) 17.3 (0.57) | 0.760 | 16.3 (3.54) 17.0 (3.13) 16.5 (2.51) 16.3 (0.57) | 0.809 | 90.8 (9.17) 92.0 (7.57) 90.0 (9.75) 91.3 (4.51) | 0.921 |
| Previous EBP training | None <40 h 40–150 h >150 h | 31.3 (3.34) 30.3 (2.71) 33.4 (2.37) 30.3 (1.52) | 0.165 | 26.4 (3.21) 27.9 (2.41) 26.1 (3.71) 26.0 (1.00) | 0.449 | 16.7 (1.70) 16.9 (1.24) 17.4 (0.78) 16.5 (2.00) | 0.337 | 16.5 (3.48) 16.3 (3.01) 16.9 (1.95) 15.0 (3.60) | 0.875 | 91.0 (9.10) 91.3 (5.57) 93.9 (7.26) 87.3 (1.52) | 0.740 |
| Previous research training | None <40 h ≥40 h | 31.3 (3.31) 31.0 (3.63) 32.8 (2.11) | 0.441 | 26.5 (3.20) 28.2 (2.04) 25.8 (3.23) | 0.376 | 16.8 (1.69) 16.8 (1.33) 17.0 (1.15) | 0.927 | 16.4 (3.42) 16.7 (4.08) 16.4 (2.37) | 0.987 | 90.9 (8.91) 92.7 (9.37) 92.1 (6.38) | 0.842 |
| Reading or articles in the previous month | None 1-3 articles >3 articles | 29.3 (4.16) 31.1 (3.38) 31.5 (3.19) | 0.577 | 27.7 (3.21) 26.7 (3.22) 26.4 (3.16) | 0.721 | 17.0 (1.73) 16.7 (1.68) 16.8 (1.64) | 0.912 | 16.3 (0.57) 16.6 (3.18) 16.3 (3.55) | 0.851 | 90.3 (9.01) 91.1 (8.62) 91.0 (8.95) | 0.991 |

| _ | | | _ | 0 . | |
|----|----|---|---|-------|--|
| 13 | hΙ | 0 | - | Cont. | |
| | | | | | |

| | | Inforn Con | | Emp | pathy Respect | | Assertiveness | | Total CS | | |
|--|---|--|-------|--|---------------|--|---------------|--|----------|--|-------|
| | | Mean (SD) | p | Mean (SD) | p | Mean (SD) | p | Mean (SD) | p | Mean (SD) | р |
| Consultation of Twitter/Facebook/IG | Yes No | 31.7 (3.15) 30.1 (3.36) | 0.006 | 26.9 (2.97) 25.4 (3.52) | 0.013 | 16.9 (1.60) 16.3 (1.72) | 0.032 * | 16.6 (3.31) 16.0 (3.62) | 0.344 | 92.1 (8.08) 87.8 (9.99) | 0.016 |
| Consultation of social networks | Never Occasionally Monthly Weekly Daily | 30.2 (3.69) 31.2 (2.74) 30.3 (4.31) 32.0 (2.87) 31.7 (3.77) | 0.268 | 24.9 (3.64) 26.7 (2.91) 26.7 (3.48) 26.9 (2.91) 25.9 (3.67) | 0.377 | 16.0 (1.60) 16.8 (1.39) 16.4 (1.90) 17.2 (1.36) 16.5 (2.46) | 0.081 | 15.4 (4.45) 16.1 (3.18) 16.9 (2.46) 16.3 (3.61) 18.2 (2.80) | 0.242 | 86.5 (12.06) 90.9 (7.38) 90.2 (8.10) 92.4 (8.15) 92.3 (11.02) | 0.444 |

^{*} p < 0.05.

The results from the multivariate analysis showed that the dimensions of attitude towards EBP and EBP knowledge had a positive effect on three of the four dimensions of the CS and overall competency. The attitude towards EBP was also positively related to assertiveness. With respect to the variables and characteristics of the students, only being admitted to the degree through special admissions given to athletes and older students, and being a woman, were positively associated with empathy (Table 6).

Table 6. Multivariable model for the explanatory variables of CS.

| | | Non-Standardized Standardized Coefficients Coefficients | | | р | 95% Confidence Interval for B | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Model | R2 | В | Error Dev. | Beta | t | Ρ | Lower Limit | Upper Limit |
| CS Informative Comm. | Attitude EBP EBP Knowledge | 0.313 | 2.602 2.065 | 0.577 0.522 | 0.347 0.304 | 4.506 3.957 | <0.001 <0.001 | 1.461 1.034 | 3.743 3.096 |
| CS Empathy | Special Admission EBP Knowledge Female Attitude EBP | 0.313 | 3.101 2.269 1.627 1.384 | 0.813 0.571 0.562 0.617 | 0.298 0.355 0.214 0.192 | 3.812 3.972 2.896 2.242 | <0.001 <0.001 0.004 0.027 | 1.491 1.139 0.515 0.163 | 4.711 3.400 2.739 2.606 |
| CS Respect | EBP Knowledge Attitude EBP | 0.209 | 1.000 0.907 | 0.283 0.313 | 0.292 0.239 | 3.538 2.900 | <0.001 0.004 | 0.441 0.289 | 1.558 1.525 |
| CS Assertiveness | Attitude EBP | 0.028 | 1.304 | 0.624 | 0.168 | 2.091 | 0.038 | 0.072 | 2.536 |
| Total CS | Attitude EBP EBP Knowledge | 0.272 | 6.454 5.219 | 1.595 1.441 | 0.320 0.287 | 4.046 3.621 | <0.001 <0.001 | 3.302 2.371 | 9.606 8.067 |

4. Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate if EBP training had a beneficial impact on the development of CS in nursing students. The study was performed with fourth-year students, whose sociodemographic characteristics were similar to other national (Spain) and international studies [8,31,52,53]. This facilitates the extrapolation of the findings obtained, thus broadening the external validity of the results. The main results show that after the intervention, positive and significant changes were observed in the total CS score and in the dimensions of informative communication and assertiveness. Also, the dimension's attitude towards EBP and EBP knowledge showed a positive relationship with the CS dimensions and total score of the scale.

High mean scores were obtained in all the dimensions of the HP-CSS in the initial measurement of the study. The dimensions of the CS with the highest scores, according to

the ranges for each dimension, were informative communication, empathy, and respect, as well as the total score of the scale. On the other hand, assertiveness obtained a moderate score. Similar results with the same instrument were obtained in an observational study performed with nursing students in Spain [43]. Scores that were somewhat lower among health professionals in Spain, including nurses, doctors, and nursing assistants, have also been observed [49]. On the other hand, a study conducted with health professionals in Turkey with the same instrument revealed slightly higher scores [54]. These disparities could be related to the accumulated work experience, the specific cultural norms in each country, and the variations in work environments.

Our findings indicate a significant improvement in assertiveness after the EBP training interventions, which is positively related to attitude towards EBP. Although no previous studies with similar interventions have been found, a recent systematic review of the literature revealed that approximately 64% of the specific education interventions on assertiveness, which utilized diverse teaching methods, such as simulation-based learning, classroom learning, peer support, and hybrid learning, were able to improve the capacity of assertive communication in nursing students and nurses [34]. Improving assertiveness is essential for preventing mistakes and improving the safety of the patient in healthcare environments [55]. It is essential for nurses to develop assertive communication skills to openly express their worries when providing care. The improvement in the attitude towards EBP rises as a facilitating factor in the learning process, perhaps when improving the perception of students on their ability to make decisions.

Likewise, an increase was observed in the informative communication dimension after the education intervention, which was associated with attitudes towards EBP and EBP knowledge. These dimensions describe the way in which health professionals obtain and share information with respect to the clinical relationship they establish with patients. This includes the ability to communicate with patients, colleagues, and other health personnel [23]. The research showed that nurses struggle to prioritize dialogue with patients due to personal fears and shortcomings [56]. One of the strengths of EBP is that it provides tools for making decisions founded on scientific knowledge, and can favor more intimate and therapeutic communication. Nurses have positively valued this aspect, as it provides greater confidence and trust in their interaction with patients [57].

An improvement was also observed in the total score of the communication skills scale after the educational intervention, being positively related to attitude towards EBP and EBP knowledge. The EBP training program used the flipped classroom as the education methodology, where the students must become involved in self-learning before attending in-person classes. Also, learning about EBP and the approach utilized, which entails asking clinical questions that the students must answer by searching for research results and their interpretation, promotes critical thinking and judgment skills, key aspects in the acquisition of communication skills, as observed in other educational interventions [58]. In a similar manner, other teaching methodologies, such as the objective evaluation of standardized patient assessment, which also requires clinical judgment, have also shown positive results in the acquisition of communication competencies in nursing students [59].

Although the dimensions of empathy and respect showed a slight decrease in their scores after the EBP course, it must be underlined that these scores were still high, and the decrease was not statistically significant. These results align with previous studies, in which a similar trend was observed in the decrease in empathy among nursing students as they advance in their education [60,61]. This trend could be attributed to the students having clinical practices during the term, which provides them with a direct experience with patients. As other studies have pointed out, empathy decreases when one has experience in the clinical context with illnesses and suffering [61]. Likewise, it has been observed that empathy creates fatigue as students partake in clinical practice sessions, resulting in a decrease [62]. In any case, empathy, defined as the ability to understand the patient, is a complex construct composed of two components, a cognitive one, and an affective one [63]. An experimental study showed that cognitive empathy improved in nurses

after an intervention that consisted of applying evidence-based practices to improve the therapeutic relationship with the patients [64]. It is possible that EBP training in students, uniquely developed in the academic context, may lack the specific resources to effectively promote empathy and respect, which underlines the need for this training to be transferred to the clinical context.

As for the sociodemographic and educational variables of the students, only gender and the method for being admitted to the degree showed a significant relationship with CS. Gender differences in empathy suggest that women might be naturally more attuned to others' emotions, a quality beneficial in nursing. In this sense, it has been observed that empathy significantly improves in women, a finding that is supported by previous studies [65–67] but further research is needed to explore the underlying mechanisms. Likewise, the students who were admitted to the degree due to special admissions had a higher level of empathy. This percentage of the sample was composed of students who were admitted to the degree after vocational training, tests for those older than 25 and 45, and those who had a previous university degree. The existing literature supports the idea that the levels of empathy could vary depending on individual characteristics, such as gender, age, and self-esteem [68]. The positive association with special admissions raises questions about the role of diverse life experiences in developing empathy. Future studies should examine how different admission pathways contribute to empathy development.

The results from our study indicate that training and development of EBP competencies in nursing students could become a solid base of knowledge and skills, with a positive impact on their CS more specifically, informative communication and assertiveness. Clear and assertive communication between health professionals and patients could lead to a greater understanding of treatments, higher rates of adherence, and more positive health results. EBP training and learning communication skills are two competencies that feed into the training of nursing students [69]. The findings from the study support the importance of including formal education on EBP in nursing education programs, due to its effect on communication competency. This should result in a stronger emphasis on integrating EBP in nursing study plans, and the creation of additional resources and supports to facilitate learning and teaching of EBP in clinical practice.

Study Limitations

The main limitation of the study was the absence of a control group. This lack of a control group may affect the internal validity of the study, as it makes it difficult to attribute the results exclusively to the intervention. The students, aside from EBP training, participated in high-fidelity clinical simulations and took part in clinical practices, so the independent effect of the EBP-specific intervention is unknown. On the other hand, the data related to EBP competence and CS were collected through self-reported instruments, which may lead to social desirability bias, although to avoid this, instruments were used that showed adequate internal validity in the Spanish context. The study was conducted only in a single institution. Therefore, further studies need to be conducted in other institutions with a control group or conducting a longitudinal follow-up to confirm our findings. Additionally, exploring the effects of cultural diversity and gender, or other sociodemographic differences, on communication skills can reveal variations in communication styles among nursing students. This evidence could help tailor the program to the different students.

5. Conclusions

EBP training had a positive effect on the development of communication skills of nursing students, as evidenced by significant improvements in the total CS scores and the dimensions of informative communication and assertiveness after the intervention.

This study demonstrates the initial applicability and efficacy of an EBP training program for the development of CS in nursing students, especially related to the dimensions of attitude towards EBP and EBP knowledge, which suggests its usefulness as an educational component in this area.

These results further promote the importance of establishing the regulated teaching of EBP in nursing student education programs.

To integrate EBP and CS training into nursing curricula, it would be interesting to incorporate modules dedicated to EBP and communication from the early stages of the program, the use of laboratories and clinical simulations that allow students to apply this knowledge in controlled environments, and the implementation of continuing education programs for teachers, thus ensuring that they remain up to date in these areas. In addition, the application of formative assessments with continuous feedback is suggested to measure and support student progress. Finally, the inclusion of interprofessional projects that encourage collaboration between different health professionals could further strengthen training in these essential competencies.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.R.-M. and A.J.R.-M.; methodology, V.P.-M., A.M.-R., M.R.-M., M.B.C.-F. and A.J.R.-M.; software, V.P.-M., A.M.-R., M.R.-M., M.B.C.-F. and A.J.R.-M.; validation, M.R.-M. and A.J.R.-M.; formal analysis, V.P.-M., A.M.-R., M.R.-M. and A.J.R.-M.; investigation, V.P.-M., A.M.-R., M.R.-M., M.B.C.-F. and A.J.R.-M.; resources, A.J.R.-M.; data curation, V.P.-M., A.M.-R., M.R.-M., M.B.C.-F. and A.J.R.-M.; writing—original draft preparation, V.P.-M., A.M.-R., M.R.-M., M.B.C.-F. and A.J.R.-M.; writing—review and editing, V.P.-M., A.M.-R., M.R.-M., M.B.C.-F. and A.J.R.-M.; supervision, M.R.-M. and A.J.R.-M.; project administration, M.R.-M.; funding acquisition, M.R.-M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of the University University of Murcia (256/18) (protocol code 3856/2022 and date of approval: 25 March 2022).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study. Written informed consent for publication has been waived because student participation was voluntary after an explanation of the objective of the study and ethical guarantees were given and the students' anonymity was maintained and the confidentiality of the data obtained was assured by creating a personal code.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Acknowledgments: We extend our heartfelt gratitude to all nursing students who generously contributed their time and efforts to participate in this research.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Deveugele, M. Communication training: Skills and beyond. Patient Educ. Couns. 2015, 98, 1287–1291. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Sharma, N.P.; Gupta, V. Therapeutic Communication. In *StatPearls*; StatPearls Publishing: Treasure Island, FL, USA, 2023. Available online: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK567775/ (accessed on 23 March 2024).
- 3. Peplau, H.E. *Interpersonal Relations in Nursing: A Conceptual Frame of Reference for Psychodynamic Nursing*; Bloomsbury Publishing: London, UK, 1988.
- 4. Alligood, M.R. Modelos y Teorías en Enfermería, 9th ed.; Elsevier España: Madrid, Spain, 2018.
- 5. Manojlovich, M.; Hofer, T.P.; Krein, S.L. Advancing patient safety through the clinical application of a framework focused on communication. *J. Patient Saf.* **2021**, *17*, e732–e737. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. American Association of Colleges of Nursing. The Essentials of Baccalaureate Education for Professional Nursing Practice. 2008. Available online: https://www.aacnnursing.org/Portals/42/Publications/BaccEssentials08.pdf (accessed on 23 March 2024).
- 7. Özdemir, G.; Kaya, H. Nursing and Midwifery Studies Healthcare/Nursing/Midwifery/Nursing Management Midwifery and Nursing Students' Communication Skills and Life Orientation: Correlation with Stress Coping Approaches. *Nurs. Midwifery Stud.* **2013**, 2, 198–205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Den Hertog, R.; Niessen, T. The role of patient preferences in nursing decision-making in evidence-based practice: Excellent nurses' communication tools. *J. Adv. Nurs.* **2019**, *75*, 1987–1995. [CrossRef]
- 9. Adams, A.M.N.; Mannix, T.; Harrington, A. Nurses' communication with families in the intensive care unit—A literature review. *Nurs. Crit. Care* **2017**, 22, 70–80. [CrossRef]
- 10. Ellison, D. Communication skills. Nurs. Clin. N. Am. 2015, 50, 45–57. [CrossRef]

11. Ghaffarifar, S.; Ghofranipour, F.; Ahmadi, F.; Khoshbaten, M. Why Educators Should Apply Theories and Models of Health Education and Health Promotion to Teach Communication Skills to Nursing and Medical Students. *Nurs. Midwifery Stud.* **2015**, 4, e29774. [CrossRef]

- 12. Kerr, D.; Martin, P.; Furber, L.; Winterburn, S.; Milnes, S.; Nielsen, A.; Strachan, P. Communication skills training for nurses: Is it time for a standardised nursing model? *Patient Educ. Couns.* **2022**, *105*, 1970–1975. [CrossRef]
- 13. Kirca, N.; Bademli, K. Relationship between communication skills and care behaviors of nurses. *Perspect. Psychiatr. Care* **2019**, *55*, 624–631. [CrossRef]
- 14. Park, M.S.; Jeoung, Y.; Lee, H.K.; Sok, S.R. Relationships among communication competence, selfefficacy, and job satisfaction in korean nurses working in the emergency medical center setting. *J. Nurs. Res.* **2015**, 23, 101–108. [CrossRef]
- 15. Pehrson, C.; Banerjee, S.C.; Manna, R.; Shen, M.J.; Hammonds, S.; Coyle, N.; Krueger, C.A.; Maloney, E.; Zaider, T.; Bylund, C.L. Responding empathically to patients: Development, implementation, and evaluation of a communication skills training module for oncology nurses. *Patient Educ. Couns.* **2016**, *99*, 610–616. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Allenbaugh, J.; Corbelli, J.; Rack, L.; Rubio, D.; Spagnoletti, C. A Brief Communication Curriculum Improves Resident and Nurse Communication Skills and Patient Satisfaction. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* **2019**, *34*, 1167–1173. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Capone, V. Patient communication self-efficacy, self-reported illness symptoms, physician communication style and mental health and illness in hospital outpatients. *J. Health Psychol.* **2016**, *21*, 1271–1282. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Gutiérrez-Puertas, L.; Márquez-Hernández, V.V.; Gutiérrez-Puertas, V.; Granados-Gámez, G.; Aguilera-Manrique, G. Educational interventions for nursing students to develop communication skills with patients: A systematic review. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, 17, 2241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Leal-Costa, C.; Tirado González, S.; Ramos-Morcillo, A.J.; Ruzafa-Martínez, M.; Díaz Agea, J.L.; van-der Hofstadt Román, C.J. Communication Skills and Professional Practice: Does It Increase Self-Efficacy in Nurses? *Front. Psychol.* 2020, 11, 1169. [CrossRef]
- 20. Panczyk, M.; Iwanow, L.; Zarzeka, A.; Jaworski, M.; Gotlib, J. Communication skills attitude scale: A translation and validation study in asample of registered nurses in Poland. *BMJ Open* **2019**, *9*, e028691. [CrossRef]
- 21. Diep, A.N.; Servotte, J.C.; Dardenne, N.; Vanbelle, S.; Wauthier, V.; Paquay, M.; Campbell, S.H.; Goffoy, J.; Donneau, A.F.; Ghuysen, A. The Performance of the Health Communication Assessment Tool® (HCAT-f) in Calibrating Different Levels of Nurse Communication Skills in a French-Speaking Context. *Eur. J. Health Commun.* 2022, 3, 164–179. [CrossRef]
- 22. Bramhall, E. Effective Communication Skills in Nursing Practice. Nurs. Stand. 2014, 29, 53–59. [CrossRef]
- 23. Leal-Costa, C.; Tirado-González, S.; van-der Hofstadt Román, C.J.; Rodríguez-Marín, J. Creación de la Escala sobre Habilidades de Comunicación en Profesionales de la Salud, EHC-PS. *An. Psicol.* **2016**, *32*, 49–59. [CrossRef]
- 24. Hsu, L.L.; Chang, W.H.; Hsieh, S.I. The Effects of Scenario-Based Simulation Course Training on Nurses' Communication Competence and Self-Efficacy: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J. Prof. Nurs.* 2015, 31, 37–49. [CrossRef]
- 25. McQueen, A.C. Emotional intelligence in nursing work. J. Adv. Nurs. 2004, 47, 101–108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Cunico, L.; Sartori, R.; Marognolli, O.; Meneghini, A.M. Developing empathy in nursing students: A cohort longitudinal study. *J. Clin. Nurs.* **2012**, 21, 2016–2025. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Ozcan, C.T.; Oflaz, F.; Sutcu Cicek, H. Empathy: The effects of undergraduate nursing education in Turkey. *Int. Nurs. Rev.* **2010**, 57, 493–499. [CrossRef]
- 28. Wang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, M.; Zhou, L.; Zhang, J.; Tao, H.; Li, X. Research on the formation of humanistic care ability in nursing students: A structural equation approach. *Nurse Educ. Today* **2020**, *86*, 104315. [CrossRef]
- 29. Yıldırım, S.; Kazandı, E.; Cirit, K.; Yağız, H. The effects of communication skills on resilience in undergraduate nursing students in Turkey. *Perspect. Psychiatr. Care* **2021**, *57*, 1120–1125. [CrossRef]
- 30. Panczyk, M.; Iwanow, L.; Musik, S.; Wawrzuta, D.; Gotlib, J.; Jaworski, M. Perceiving the role of communication skills as a bridge between the perception of spiritual care and acceptance of evidence-based nursing practice—Empirical model. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 12591. [CrossRef]
- 31. Anvik, T.; Grimstad, H.; Baerheim, A.; Bernt Fasmer, O.; Gude, T.; Hjortdahl, P.; Holen, A.; Risberg, T.; Vaglum, P. Medical students' cognitive and affective attitudes towards learning and using communication skills-A nationwide cross-sectional study. *Med. Teach.* 2008, 30, 272–279. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Skoglund, K.; Holmström, I.K.; Sundler, A.J.; Hammar, L.M. Previous work experience and age do not affect final semester nursing student self-efficacy in communication skills. *Nurse Educ. Today* **2018**, *68*, 182–187. [CrossRef]
- 33. Abajas-Bustillo, R.; Amo-Setién, F.; Aparicio, M.; Ruiz-Pellón, N.; Fernández-Peña, R.; Silio-García, T.; Leal-Costa, C.; Ortego-Mate, C. Using high-fidelity simulation to introduce communication skills about end-of-life to novice nursing students. *Healthcare* 2020, 8, 238. [CrossRef]
- 34. Lee, S.E.; Kim, E.; Lee, J.Y.; Morse, B.L. Assertiveness educational interventions for nursing students and nurses: A systematic review. *Nurse Educ. Today* **2023**, *120*, 105655. [CrossRef]
- 35. Gilligan, C.; Powell, M.; Lynagh, M.C.; Ward, B.M.; Lonsdale, C.; Harvey, P.; James, E.L.; Rich, D.; Dewi, S.P.; Nepal, S.; et al. Interventions for improving medical students' interpersonal communication in medical consultations. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2021, 2, CD012418. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Sadeghi-Bazargani, H.; Tabrizi, J.S.; Azami-Aghdash, S. Barriers to evidence-based medicine: A systematic review. *J. Eval. Clin. Pract.* **2014**, *20*, 793–802. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Sackett, D.L.; Rosenberg, W.M.C.; Gray, J.A.M.; Haynes, R.B.; Richardson, W.S. Evidence based medicine: What it is and what it isn't. *BMJ* 1996, 312, 71. [CrossRef]

- 38. Sackett, D.L.; Straus, S.E.; Richardson, W.S.; Rosenberg, W.; Haynes, R.B. *Evidence-Based Medicine: How to Practice and Teach EBM*; Churchill Livingstone: London, UK, 2000; ISBN 0443062404.
- 39. Den Hertog, R.; Niessen, T. Taking into account patient preferences in personalised care: Blending types of nursing knowledge in evidence-based practice. *J. Clin. Nurs.* **2021**, *30*, 1904–1915. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Carrasco-Guirao, J.J.; Leal-Costa, C.; Castaño-Molina, M.D.L.Á.; Conesa-Ferrer, M.B.; Molina-Rodríguez, A.; Díaz-Agea, J.L.; Adánez-Martínez, M.G. Exploring How Evidence-Based Practice, Communication, and Clinical Simulation Outcomes Interact in Nursing Education: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Nurs. Rep.* 2024, 14, 616–626. [CrossRef]
- 41. Vranceanu, A.M.; Cooper, C.; Ring, D. Integrating patient values into evidence-based practice: Effective communication for shared decision-making. *Hand Clin.* **2009**, 25, 83–96. [CrossRef]
- 42. Velasco Rodriguez, V.M.; Martinez Ordaz, V.A.; Roiz Hernandez, J.; Huazano Garcia, F.; Nieves, R.A. *Muestreo y Tamaño de La Muestra: Una Guía Práctica Para Personal de Salud Que Realiza Investigación*; e-libro.net Editor: Buenos Aires, Argentina, 2003; ISBN 987-9499-36-0.
- 43. Juliá-Sanchis, R.; Cabañero-Martínez, M.J.; Leal-Costa, C.; Fernández-Alcántara, M.; Escribano, S. Psychometric properties of the health professionals communication skills scale in university students of health sciences. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, 17, 7565. [CrossRef]
- 44. Ruzafa-Martinez, M.; Lopez-Iborra, L.; Moreno-Casbas, T.; Madrigal-Torres, M. Development and validation of the competence in evidence based practice questionnaire (EBP-COQ) among nursing students. *BMC Med. Educ.* **2013**, *13*, 19. [CrossRef]
- 45. Finotto, S.; Garofalo, E. Italian Validation of Evidence-Based Practice Evaluation Competence Questionnaire (EBP-COQ). *Prof. Inferm.* **2020**, *73*, 98–105. [CrossRef]
- 46. Kantek, F.; Kartal, E. Adaptation of the Evidence-Based Practice Competence Questionnaire (EBP-COQ) for Turkish Nursing Students. *Int. Nurs. Rev.* **2022**, *69*, 88–96. [CrossRef]
- 47. Schetaki, S.; Patelarou, E.; Giakoumidakis, K.; Kleisiaris, C.; Patelarou, A. Evidence-Based Practice Competency of Registered Nurses in the Greek National Health Service. *Nurs. Rep.* **2023**, *13*, 1225–1235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Panczyk, M.; Iwanow, L.; Gaworska-Krzemińska, A.; Grochans, E.; Kózka, M.; Kulik, H.; Lewko, J.; Marcysiak, M.; Młynarska, K.; Nowak-Starz, G.; et al. Validation study and setting norms of the evidence based practice competence questionnaire for nursing students: A cross-sectional study in Poland. *Nurse Educ. Today* **2020**, *88*, 104383. [CrossRef]
- 49. Leal-Costa, C.; Tirado-González, S.; Rodríguez-Marín, J.; Vander-Hofstadt-Román, C.J. Psychometric properties of the Health Professionals Communication Skills Scale (HP-CSS). *Int. J. Clin. Health Psychol.* **2016**, *16*, 76–86. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Zhong, X.; Tang, F.; Lai, D.; Guo, X.; Yang, X.; Hu, R.; Li, D.; Lu, Y.; Liu, S.; Leal-Costa, C. The Chinese version of the Health Professional Communication skills Scale: Psychometric evaluation. *Front. Psychol.* **2023**, *14*, 1125404. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Ferguson, C.J. An Effect Size Primer: A Guide for Clinicians and Researchers. *Prof. Psychol. Res. Pract.* **2009**, 40, 532–538. [CrossRef]
- 52. Cleland, J.; Foster, K.; Moffat, M. Undergraduate students' attitudes to communication skills learning differ depending on year of study and gender. *Med. Teach.* **2005**, *27*, 246–251. [CrossRef]
- 53. Ruzafa-Martinez, M.; Leal-Costa, C.; Garcia-Gonzalez, J.; Sánchez-Torrano, M.; Ramos-Morcillo, A.J. Evaluation of evidence-based practice learning among undergraduate nursing students: Relationship between self-reported and objective assessment. *Nurse Educ. Today.* **2021**, *105*, 105040. [CrossRef]
- 54. Mendi, O.; Yildirim, N.; Mendi, B. Cross-cultural Adaptation, Reliability, and Validity of the Turkish Version of the Health Professionals Communication Skills Scale. *Asian Nurs. Res.* **2020**, *14*, 312–319. [CrossRef]
- 55. Omura, M.; Levett-Jones, T.; Stone, T.E. Design and evaluation of an assertiveness communication training programme for nursing students. *J. Clin. Nurs.* **2019**, *28*, 1990–1998. [CrossRef]
- 56. Bullington, J.; Söderlund, M.; Sparén, E.B.; Kneck, Å.; Omérov, P.; Cronqvist, A. Communication skills in nursing: A phenomenologically-based communication training approach. *Nurse Educ. Pract.* **2019**, *39*, 136–141. [CrossRef]
- 57. Ramos-Morcillo, A.J.; Harillo-Acevedo, D.; Ruzafa-Martinez, M. Using the Knowledge-to-Action Framework to understand experiences of breastfeeding guideline implementation: A qualitative study. *J. Nurs. Manag.* **2020**, *28*, 1670–1685. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Choi, Y.J.; Um, Y.J. The effects of a home-visit nursing simulation for older people with dementia on nursing students' communication skills, self-efficacy, and critical thinking propensity: Quantitative research. *Nurse Educ. Today* **2022**, *119*, 105564. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Cannity, K.M.; Banerjee, S.C.; Hichenberg, S.; Leon-Nastasi, A.D.; Howell, F.; Coyle, N.; Zaider, T.; Parker, P.A. Acceptability and efficacy of a communication skills training for nursing students: Building empathy and discussing complex situations. *Nurse Educ. Pract.* **2021**, *50*, 102928. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Çınar, N.; Cevahir, R.; Sahin, S.; Sözeri, C.; Kuguoglu, S. Evaluation of the empathic skills of nursing students with respect to the classes they are attending. *Rev. Eletrôn. Enferm.* **2007**, *9*, 588–595.
- 61. González-Serna, J.M.G.; Serrano, R.R.; Martín, M.S.M.; Fernández, J.M.A. Descenso de empatía en estudiantes de enfermería y análisis de posibles factores implicados. *Psicol. Educ.* **2014**, *20*, 53–60. [CrossRef]

62. Zhao, J.; Xiantao, O.; Li, Q.; Liu, H.; Wang, F.; Li, Q.; Xu, Z.; Ji, S.; Yue, S. Role of narrative medicine-based education in cultivating empathy in residents. *BMC Med. Educ.* **2023**, *23*, 124. [CrossRef]

- 63. Davis, M.H. Measuring individual differences in empathy: Evidence for a multidimension approach. *J. Personal. Soc. Psychol.* **1983**, 44, 113–126. [CrossRef]
- 64. Moreno-Poyato, A.R.; Delgado-Hito, P.; Suárez-Pérez, R.; Lluch-Canut, T.; Roldán-Merino, J.F.; Montesó-Curto, P. Improving the therapeutic relationship in inpatient psychiatric care: Assessment of the therapeutic alliance and empathy after implementing evidence-based practices resulting from participatory action research. *Perspect. Psychiatr. Care* **2018**, *54*, 300–308. [CrossRef]
- 65. Bas-Sarmiento, P.; Fernández-Gutiérrez, M.; Díaz-Rodríguez, M.; iCARE Team. Teaching empathy to nursing students: A randomised controlled trial. *Nurse Educ. Today* **2019**, *80*, 40–51. [CrossRef]
- 66. Ilhan, N.; Sukut, Ö.; Akhan, L.U.; Batmaz, M. The effect of nurse education on the self-esteem and assertiveness of nursing students: A four-year longitudinal study. *Nurse Educ. Today* **2016**, *39*, 72–78. [CrossRef]
- 67. Nosek, M.; Gifford, E.; Kober, B. Nonviolent Communication (NVC) training increases empathy in baccalaureate nursing students: A mixed method study. *J. Nurs. Educ. Pract.* **2014**, *4*, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- 68. Batt-Rawden, S.A.; Chisolm, M.S.; Anton, B.; Flickinger, T.E. Teaching empathy to medical students: An updated, systematic review. *Acad. Med.* **2013**, *88*, 1171–1177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Song, C. Changes in evidence-based practice self-efficacy among nursing students and the impact of clinical competencies: Longitudinal descriptive study. *Nurse Educ. Today* **2024**, 132, 106008. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.