# Accepted Manuscript

Assessment of anogenital distance as a diagnostic tool in polycystic ovary syndrome

Ana I Hernández-Peñalver, Maria L Sánchez-Ferrer, Jaime Mendiola, Evdochia Adoamnei, Maria T Prieto-Sánchez, Shiana Corbalán-Biyang, Ana Carmona-Barnosi, Aníbal Nieto, Alberto M Torres-Cantero

 PII:
 S1472-6483(18)30461-9

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2018.08.020

 Reference:
 RBMO 2006



To appear in:

Reproductive BioMedicine Online

Please cite this article as: Ana I Hernández-Peñalver, Maria L Sánchez-Ferrer, Jaime Mendiola, Evdochia Adoamnei, Maria T Prieto-Sánchez, Shiana Corbalán-Biyang, Ana Carmona-Barnosi, Aníbal Nieto, Alberto M Torres-Cantero, Assessment of anogenital distance as a diagnostic tool in polycystic ovary syndrome, *Reproductive BioMedicine Online* (2018), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2018.08.020

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# Assessment of anogenital distance as a diagnostic tool in polycystic ovary syndrome

Ana I Hernández-Peñalver<sup>a</sup>, Maria L Sánchez-Ferrer<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Jaime Mendiola<sup>b,c,d</sup>, Evdochia Adoamnei<sup>b,c</sup>, Maria T Prieto-Sánchez<sup>a,b</sup>, Shiana Corbalán-Biyang<sup>a</sup>, Ana Carmona-Barnosi<sup>a</sup>, Aníbal Nieto<sup>a,b</sup>, Alberto M Torres-Cantero<sup>b,c,d,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 'Virgen de la Arrixaca' University Clinical Hospital, 30120 El Palmar, Murcia, Spain

<sup>b</sup>Institute for Biomedical Research of Murcia, IMIB-Arrixaca, 30120 El Palmar, Murcia, Spain

<sup>c</sup>Division of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Murcia School of Medicine, 30100 Espinardo, Murcia, Spain

<sup>d</sup>Biomedical Research Centre Network for Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBERESP), 28029 Madrid, Spain

<sup>e</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine, 'Virgen de la Arrixaca' University Clinical Hospital, 30120 El Palmar, Murcia, Spain

\*Corresponding author. marisasanchez@um.es (ML Sánchez-Ferrer).

# Key message

 $AGD_{AC}$  measurements might be a useful clinical tool in the diagnosis of PCOS, in particular improving the accuracy of phenotype 'O+POM'. AGD has embryological associations, so these findings are compatible with the fetal programming of PCOS. Gynaecologists may therefore be able to evaluate the individual likelihood of patients developing symptoms.

# Abstract

Research question: Is anogenital distance (AGD) a useful clinical tool for predicting polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS) and its main National Institutes of Health (NIH) phenotypes?

Design: Case-control study conducted between September 2014 and May 2016 at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of the University Clinical Hospital 'Virgen de la Arrixaca' in the Murcia region (south-eastern Spain). One hundred and twenty-six cases of PCOS and 159 controls without PCOS were included. AGD measurements were taken from the anterior clitoral surface to the upper verge of the anus ( $AGD_{AC}$ ), and from the posterior fourchette to the upper verge of the anus ( $AGD_{AF}$ ). Parametric and non-parametric tests and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to assess associations between AGD and the presence of PCOS and its phenotypes.

Results:  $AGD_{AC}$ , but not  $AGD_{AF}$ , was associated with PCOS and all its phenotypes (*P*-values <0.001 to 0.048). The highest area under the curve (0.62; 95% confidence interval 0.55 to 0.71) was obtained for all PCOS with  $AGD_{AC}$  with a sensitivity and specificity of 50.0% and 73.0%, and positive and negative predictive value of 59.0% and 64.4%, respectively.

Conclusions:  $AGD_{AC}$  could moderately discriminate the presence of PCOS and may be a useful clinical tool.

Keywords

anogenital distance, biomarker, phenotypes, polycystic ovarian syndrome, prenatal hormonal milieu

### Introduction

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is the most common endocrinopathy affecting reproductive-aged women (Filippou and Homburg, 2017), ranging in prevalence from 4% to 21% depending on the diagnostic criteria (Lizneva *et al.*, 2016a). PCOS is responsible for most cases of anovulatory symptoms and hyperandrogenism in women (Azziz et al., 2004). It is associated with a broad range of associated morbidities, including reproductive abnormalities, insulin resistance, increased risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease, atherogenic dyslipidaemia, cerebrovascular morbidity, anxiety and depression (Balen et al., 1995; Deugarte et al., 2005; Ferriman and Purdie, 1983; Jedel et al., 2010; Krentz et al., 2007; Legro et al., 2001; Norman et al., 2001; Wild et al., 2000). There is a growing consensus from a clinical as well as from an epidemiologic perspective towards a phenotypic approach to PCOS. This approach characterizes PCOS into four phenotypes according to several key features (Azziz et al., 2009; Lizneva et al., 2016b; National Institutes of Health, 2012). The aetiopathogenesis of PCOS is complex and still unclear. The prevailing concept is that PCOS is the result of intrinsic ovarian characteristics that interact with one or more congenital or environmental factors, even during fetal life, to cause dysregulation of steroidogenesis (Ehrmann, 2005). Several observational studies have shown an association between fetal hormonal environment and subsequent development of PCOS (Cresswell et al., 1997; Davies et al., 2012; Ibáñez et al., 1998; Melo et al., 2010; Michelmore et al., 2001; Pandolfi et al., 2008).

Anogenital distance (AGD) is a sexually dimorphic attribute in placental mammals, almost twice as long in males as in females (Greenham and Greenham, 1977; Kurzrock et al., 2000). Human studies have shown that AGD is an anthropometric biomarker of androgenic environment during the development of the reproductive system (Dean and Sharpe, 2013; Jain and Singal, 2013) and of prenatal exposure to endocrine disruptors (Bornehag et al., 2014; Swan et al., 2005). In reproductive-aged women, AGD has been related to female reproductive function (Barrett et al., 2015; Mendiola et al., 2012; Mira-Escolano et al., 2014a, 2014b). AGD has also been proposed as a measure of reproductive toxicity. Exposure to antiandrogens results in shorter (more feminine) AGD in infant males (Barrett et al., 2017; Swan et al., 2015), whereas exposure to stressful events leads to a longer (more masculine) AGD in infant girls (Barrett et al., 2013). Recently, Barret et al. (2017) have reported that prenatal exposure to bisphenol A (BPA), an endocrine disruptor, is associated with shorter AGD in the female fetus, as previously described in animal models (Boberg et al., 2013; Christiansen et al., 2014). Barret et al. (2018) have also reported that newborn daughters of women with PCOS had a longer AGD; strong associations between longer AGD and PCOS in Chinese (Wu et al., 2017) and Mediterranean adult women have also been shown (Sánchez-Ferrer et al., 2017).

However, it is thought that no study has previously assessed the predictive ability of AGD measurements to discriminate the presence of PCOS. This study

explored the usefulness of AGD measurements to assess the presence of PCOS and of its different phenotypes characterized following the recommendations of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) consensus panel (National Institutes of Health, 2012).

## Materials and methods

#### Study population

A case-control study was conducted between September 2014 and May 2016 at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of the University Clinical Hospital 'Virgen de la Arrixaca' in Murcia (south-east Spain). The study rationale and design have been previously described in detail (Sánchez-Ferrer et al., 2017). Patients between 18 and 40 years old were excluded from the study if they were pregnant or lactating, having oncological treatment, suffering from genitourinary prolapse, endocrine disorders (e.g. Cushing syndrome, congenital adrenal hyperplasia, androgen-secreting tumours, hyperprolactinaemia and hyper- and hypothyroidism) or taking any hormonal medication, including contraception, during the 3 months prior to the study. Cases (n = 126) were women attending the Gynecology Unit of the hospital, and included prevalent and incident cases. Cases were included only if a diagnosis could be established following the Rotterdam criteria (Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS Consensus Workshop Group, 2004), including medical history with the Ferriman–Gallwey scale (Ferriman and Gallwey, 1961), transvaginal ultrasound (TVUS) and reproductive hormone levels (Sánchez-Ferrer et al., 2017). A diagnosis of PCOS required the presence of at least two of the following three criteria: (i) hyperandrogenism, either biochemical (total testosterone level  $\geq 2.6$  nmol/l) or clinical (mF-G score  $\geq$ 12) (Afifi *et al.*, 2017) with or without acne or androgenic alopecia; (ii) oligo- and/or anovulation (menstrual cycles >35 days or amenorrhoea >3 months); (iii) polycystic ovarian morphology (POM) on TVUS (≥12 follicles measuring 2–9 mm in diameter, mean of both ovaries) (Conway et al., 2014). Moreover, the following four phenotypic subtypes of PCOS were also assessed (National Institutes of Health, 2012) (Table 1): (A) hyperandrogenism + oligo/amenorrhoea (H+O), (B) hyperandrogenism + polycystic ovarian morphology (POM) (H+POM), (C) oligo/amenorrhoea + POM (O+POM), and (D) hyperandrogenism + oligo/amenorrhoea + POM (H+O+POM). Controls (n = 159) were women without PCOS (or other major gynaecological conditions, e.g. endometriosis) attending the gynaecological outpatient clinic for routine gynaecological examinations. Both cases and controls underwent the same procedures: anamnesis and questionnaires, physical examination with AGD measurements, TVUS and blood drawing for hormone levels. Written informed consents were obtained from all subjects. This study was approved by the Ethics Research Committee of the University of Murcia and the Clinical University Hospital (no. 770/2013, approved 3 October 2013).

Gynaecological history and physical examination

Cases and controls were interviewed in person by gynaecologists. Two gynaecologists using the same methodology performed all clinical evaluations. All participants filled out health questionnaires, gynaecological and obstetrical history, and underwent a physical and gynaecological examination including TVUS and blood draw at a scheduled clinical visit. Weight and height were measured using a digital scale (Tanita SC 330-S, London, UK) and body mass index (BMI) was calculated. Uterine and ovarian morphology were evaluated with TVUS (Voluson E8<sup>®</sup> and 4–9 MHz transducer; General Electric Healthcare, USA).

#### Anogenital measurements

The AGD was measured with women in the lithotomy position with thighs at 45° to the examination table. Using a digital calliper (Stainless Steel Digital Calliper, VWR<sup>®</sup> International, LLC, West Chester, PA, USA), AGD<sub>AC</sub> was measured from the anterior clitoral surface to the upper verge of the anus, and AGD<sub>AF</sub> from the posterior fourchette to the upper verge of the anus as previously described (Mendiola *et al.*, 2016; Sánchez-Ferrer *et al.*, 2017). AGD<sub>AC</sub> and AGD<sub>AF</sub> presented normal distributions and were correlated (Pearson correlation [r] = 0.60, P < 0.001). Intra- and inter-examiner coefficients of variation were below 5% and intraclass correlation coefficients above 0.95 for both AGD measurements. Two gynaecologists unaware of the patient's status measured each distance three times, resulting in a total of six measurements for each woman. Average values of the six measurements were used in the analyses.

#### Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics are shown using raw data. Continuous variables were summarized by arithmetic mean, standard deviation (SD) and median, and categorical variables given as number and percentage (%). Unpaired Student's t-tests and Mann-Whitney U-tests were used for comparison of continuous variables between cases and controls. The chi-squared test was used for categorical variables. Information for the following covariates was also obtained: age (years), BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), vaginal delivery (yes/no), episiotomy (yes/no) and parity (classified as 0, 1, 2+). In order to evaluate the discriminating ability of AGD to detect PCOS, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were generated using maximum likelihood estimation to fit a binomial ROC curve to continuously distributed data. ROC curves were created comparing both AGD measurements (AGD<sub>AF</sub> and AGD<sub>AC</sub>) and presence of all PCOS and phenotypic subtypes (H+O; H+POM; O+POM; H+O+POM) versus controls. To calculate sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values (PV) and likelihood ratios (LR), AGD measurements were dichotomized by using optimal cut-off points based on maximum Youden index (J) value (Ruopp et al., 2008). Age, BMI and episiotomy were taken into account in statistical models to get a better adjustment of the results. Age and BMI were included as potential confounders and episiotomy as a covariate that may influence AGD. It has been shown that AGD measures may differ among ethnic groups (Thankamony et al., 2009), therefore a sensitivity analysis was performed excluding non-Caucasian women. All tests were two-tailed and the level of statistical significance was set at 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed with the statistical package IBM SPSS 19.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, USA).

## Results

Ninety-seven per cent of the participants were Caucasian. Overall, controls were older (mean [SD]: 30.7 years old [5.8] versus 27.4 [5.1]; P < 0.001) and presented more episiotomies (13.8% versus 5.6%; P = 0.02) than cases. Cases

presented higher BMI than controls (25.5 [5.9] versus 23.4 [4.5]; P < 0.01), but no significant differences were found for vaginal delivery or parity between both groups (**Table 2**). Cases showed significantly longer AGD<sub>AF</sub> (27.8 mm [5.7] versus 26.5 mm [5.1]; P < 0.001) and AGD<sub>AC</sub> than controls (80.5 mm [11.3] versus 76.0 mm [10.4]; P = 0.048). The diagnostic accuracy of AGD measurements in all PCOS and phenotypic subtypes (H+O; H+POM; O+POM; H+O+POM) are shown in **Table 3**. In all, the areas under the curve (AUC) were larger for AGD<sub>AC</sub> compared with AGD<sub>AF</sub>, showing therefore a better predictive value for AGD<sub>AC</sub> measurements in discriminating presence of PCOS.

The highest AUC (0.62; 95% CI: 0.55 to 0.71) was obtained for all PCOS with the AGD<sub>AC</sub> measurement (**Figure 1A**), with a sensitivity and specificity of 50.0% and 73.0%, positive and negative PV of 59.0% and 64.4%, and likelihood ratios of 1.82 and 0.70, respectively. The optimal cut-off of the predicted probability for this model was an AGD<sub>AC</sub> of 82.0 mm. In the case of H+O (n = 72) versus controls (**Figure 1B**) the AUC of AGD<sub>AC</sub> was 0.59 (95% CI: 0.51–0.67). For H+POM (n = 88) (**Figure 1C**) the AUC of AGD<sub>AC</sub> was 0.59 (95% CI: 0.51–0.67); for O+POM (n = 74) (**Figure 1D**) the AUC of AGD<sub>AC</sub> was 0.61 (95% CI: 0.54–0.69); and for the H+O+POM subgroup (n = 54) (**Figure 1E**) the AUC of AGD<sub>AC</sub> was 0.59 (95% CI: 0.51–0.67). In the entire cohort (cases and controls), 36.5% (104 out of 285) presented an AGD<sub>AC</sub> above the 82.0 mm cut-off. In particular, 52.0% of the cases (66 out of 126) were above that cut-off point. Lastly, similar results were obtained when excluding non-Caucasian women in a sensitivity analysis (data not shown).

## Discussion

This is thought to be the first study to explore the accuracy of AGD, a biomarker of the intrauterine hormonal environment in the diagnosis of PCOS and its phenotypes. Women with PCOS showed significantly longer AGD than controls.  $AGD_{AC}$  was significantly larger in all phenotypes of PCOS compared with controls, while  $AGD_{AF}$  was significantly larger only in the O+POM phenotype.  $AGD_{AC}$  showed the best predictive value in discriminating the presence of PCOS and its phenotypic subtypes. The higher value of AUC was obtained including all PCOS, and for specific phenotypes, the largest AUC was for the O+POM phenotype.

Recently, Wu *et al.* (2017) and Sánchez-Ferrer *et al.* (2017) reported an association between the presence of PCOS and longer AGD in Chinese and Mediterranean women, respectively, supporting the aetiological hypothesis that PCOS could be associated with intrauterine exposure to androgens during fetal life (Callegari *et al.*, 1987). Our group has also reported a strong association between longer AGD and higher antral follicle count (Mendiola *et al.*, 2012) and higher testosterone levels in healthy women (Mira-Escolano *et al.*, 2014).

PCOS diagnosis continues to be a clinical challenge. Several studies have attempted to predict severity of PCOS based on clinical models (**Table 4**), many of which are based on anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) levels. Although the role of AMH may replace antral follicle count or POM in the Rotterdam classification, currently there are technical difficulties in setting up consensual serum AMH levels and normal cut-off points (Dumont *et al.*, 2015). Regarding PCOS phenotypes, Georgopoulos *et al.* (2014) found an association between elevated serum androstenedione levels (>3.8 ng/ml) and the phenotypic severity of PCOS

(phenotypes H+O+POM and H+POM). However, a well-defined objective diagnostic marker for PCOS has yet to be established.

We propose a potential and additional new clinical tool to improve diagnosis of PCOS and phenotypic subtypes with moderate sensitivity and specificity based on an easy and inexpensive anthropometric measure. AGD is a reproducible and reliable measure within reach of any clinician. These measurements can be taken in a routine gynaecological examination and measurements can be accurate with a few sessions of training, but its use as a diagnostic criterion still needs to be elucidated with further studies. The majority of models for PCOS prediction (**Table 4**) include AMH, despite the negative correlation between age and AMH, whereas AGD remains stable throughout a woman's lifespan (Thankamony *et al.*, 2009). However, it has recently been shown that AGD might be positively related to age in pregnant women (Wainstock *et al.*, 2017), therefore this matter needs to be further investigated.

On the other hand, a phenotypic method for PCOS diagnosis has been shown to be a more convenient clinical approach, providing a simple diagnostic tool and avoiding the need to choose between different PCOS definitions (Lizneva et al., 2016b). Furthermore, each PCOS phenotype has different comorbidities and long-term implications. In this way, AGD<sub>AC</sub> could be particularly useful in the diagnosis of the O+POM phenotype. Up to one-quarter of unselected reproductive-aged women are diagnosed with POM (Azziz et al., 2006), and POM is described in 75% of patients with PCOS (Azziz et al., 2006). However, the false-positive rate is relatively high, as shown by the high rate of POM in the general population (Azziz et al., 2006). In addition, there are technical limitations related to POM as it has a high explorer-dependent variability. In this context, AGD measurement could be useful in improving the accuracy of diagnosis of this phenotype of PCOS. As occurs with endometriosis (Sánchez-Ferrer et al., 2017), AGD may not only be a good predictor of the presence of PCOS, but also a good predictor of worse prognostic cases, improving early diagnosis and treatment of these women.

This study has some limitations. Selection and measurement bias must be considered. Controls were patients attending the public hospital in the same period, and they stem from the same population from which cases emerged. Two different gynaecologists, who were uninformed of the patient's status and were not involved in the diagnosis, performed AGD measures. Misclassification may have occurred but, if present, it would contribute to underestimating the true magnitude of the association. With a case-control design, the possibility cannot be ruled out that elevated androgens during childhood or adulthood, as well as other effects of factors during critical periods of development (e.g. hormonal medication or fetal exposure to stressful life events), resulted in longer AGD in women with PCOS. There is no clear explanation for the difference between AGD<sub>AC</sub> and AGD<sub>AF</sub>. It has been argued that AGD<sub>AF</sub> measurements tend to be more difficult to reproduce due to uncertainty about the appropriate landmarks (Sathyanarayana et al., 2015; Swan et al., 2015). Nonetheless, a few studies have shown stronger associations for AGD<sub>AF</sub> with ovarian follicle number in females (Mendiola et al., 2012) and for the long (equivalent) measurement (anopenile distance) in male newborns (Sathyanarayana et al., 2015; Swan et al., 2005).

In conclusion, AGD<sub>AC</sub> measurements might be a useful clinical tool in the diagnosis of PCOS, in particular improving the accuracy of diagnosing phenotype O+POM. As AGD has important clinical and embryological associations, these findings are compatible with the fetal programming of PCOS as recently reported by Barrett *et al.* (2018). Therefore, gynaecologists may be able to evaluate the individual likelihood of patients developing symptoms. The findings of this study have additional public health implications in the prevention of prenatal exposure to hormonal substances and endocrine disruptors. It opens up a real possibility for strategies to prevent PCOS. More research is needed to confirm these findings in other populations.

Acknowledgements

We thank the patients for their participation and Mr Antonio Martinez-Mendoza (MD) and Mrs M. Carmen Llanos (MD) for performing TVUS.

This work was supported by the Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, ISCIII (AES), grant no. PI13/01237, and the Seneca Foundation, Murcia Regional Agency of Science and Technology, grant no. 19443/PI/14.

#### Declaration

The authors report no financial or commercial conflicts of interest.

#### References

- Afifi, L., Saeed, L., Pasch, L.A., Huddleston, H.G., Cedars, M.I., Zane, L.T., Shinkai, K., 2017. Association of ethnicity, Fitzpatrick skin type, and hirsutism: A retrospective crosssectional study of women with polycystic ovarian syndrome. Int. J. Women's Dermatology 3, 37–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijwd.2017.01.006
- Azziz, R., Carmina, E., Dewailly, D., Diamanti-Kandarakis, E., Escobar-Morreale, H.F., Futterweit, W., Janssen, O.E., Legro, R.S., Norman, R.J., Taylor, A.E., Witchel, S.F., Androgen Excess Society, 2006. Positions statement: criteria for defining polycystic ovary syndrome as a predominantly hyperandrogenic syndrome: an Androgen Excess Society guideline. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 91, 4237–45. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2006–0178
- Azziz, R., Carmina, E., Dewailly, D., Diamanti-Kandarakis, E., Escobar-Morreale, H.F., Futterweit, W., Janssen, O.E., Legro, R.S., Norman, R.J., Taylor, A.E., Witchel, S.F., Task Force on the Phenotype of the Polycystic Ovary Syndrome of The Androgen Excess and PCOS Society, 2009. The Androgen Excess and PCOS Society criteria for the polycystic ovary syndrome: the complete task force report. Fertil. Steril. 91, 456–488. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.06.035
- Azziz, R., Woods, K.S., Reyna, R., Key, T.J., Knochenhauer, E.S., Yildiz, B.O., 2004. The Prevalence and Features of the Polycystic Ovary Syndrome in an Unselected Population. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 89, 2745–2749. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2003–032046
- Balen, A.H., Conway, G.S., Kaltsas, G., Techatrasak, K., Manning, P.J., West, C., Jacobs, H.S., 1995. Polycystic ovary syndrome: the spectrum of the disorder in 1741 patients. Hum. Reprod. 10, 2107–11.
- Barrett, E.S., Hoeger, K.M., Sathyanarayana, S., Abbott, D.H., Redmon, J.B., Nguyen, R.H.N., Swan, S.H., 2018. Anogenital distance in newborn daughters of women with polycystic ovary syndrome indicates fetal testosterone exposure. J. Dev. Orig. Health Dis. 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1017/S2040174417001118
- Barrett, E.S., Parlett, L.E., Sathyanarayana, S., Liu, F., Redmon, J.B., Wang, C., Swan, S.H., 2013. Prenatal exposure to stressful life events is associated with masculinized anogenital distance (AGD) in female infants. Physiol. Behav. 114–115, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2013.03.004
- Barrett, E.S., Parlett, L.E., Swan, S.H., 2015. Stability of proposed biomarkers of prenatal androgen exposure over the menstrual cycle. J. Dev. Orig. Health Dis. 6, 149–57. https://doi.org/10.1017/S2040174414000646

- Barrett, E.S., Sathyanarayana, S., Mbowe, O., Thurston, S.W., Redmon, J.B., Nguyen, R.H.N., Swan, S.H., 2017. First-Trimester Urinary Bisphenol A Concentration in Relation to Anogenital Distance, an Androgen-Sensitive Measure of Reproductive Development, in Infant Girls. Environ. Health Perspect. 125, 77008. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP875
- Boberg, J., Mandrup, K.R., Jacobsen, P.R., Isling, L.K., Hadrup, N., Berthelsen, L., Elleby, A., Kiersgaard, M., Vinggaard, A.M., Hass, U., Nellemann, C., 2013. Endocrine disrupting effects in rats perinatally exposed to a dietary relevant mixture of phytoestrogens. Reprod. Toxicol. 40, 41–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2013.05.014
- Bornehag, C.-G., Carlstedt, F., Jönsson, B.A., Lindh, C.H., Jensen, T.K., Bodin, A., Jonsson, C., Janson, S., Swan, S.H., 2014. Prenatal Phthalate Exposures and Anogenital Distance in Swedish Boys. Environ. Health Perspect. 123, 101–7. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1408163
- Callegari, C., Everett, S., Ross, M., Brasel, J.A., 1987. Anogenital ratio: measure of fetal virilization in premature and full-term newborn infants. J. Pediatr. 111, 240–3.
- Christiansen, S., Axelstad, M., Boberg, J., Vinggaard, A.M., Pedersen, G.A., Hass, U., 2014. Lowdose effects of bisphenol A on early sexual development in male and female rats. Reproduction 147, 477–87. https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-13-0377
- Conway, G., Dewailly, D., Diamanti-Kandarakis, E., Escobar-Morreale, H.F., Franks, S., Gambineri, A., Kelestimur, F., Macut, D., Micic, D., Pasquali, R., Pfeifer, M., Pignatelli, D., Pugeat, M., Yildiz, B.O., ESE PCOS Special Interest Group, 2014. The polycystic ovary syndrome: a position statement from the European Society of Endocrinology. Eur. J. Endocrinol. 171, P1–P29. https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-14–0253
- Cresswell, J.L., Barker, D.J., Osmond, C., Egger, P., Phillips, D.I., Fraser, R.B., 1997. Fetal growth, length of gestation, and polycystic ovaries in adult life. Lancet (London, England) 350, 1131–5.
- Davies, M.J., March, W.A., Willson, K.J., Giles, L.C., Moore, V.M., 2012. Birthweight and thinness at birth independently predict symptoms of polycystic ovary syndrome in adulthood. Hum. Reprod. 27, 1475–80. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/des027
- Dean, A., Sharpe, R.M., 2013. Anogenital Distance or Digit Length Ratio as Measures of Fetal Androgen Exposure: Relationship to Male Reproductive Development and Its Disorders. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 98, 2230–2238. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2012–4057
- DEUGARTE, C., BARTOLUCCI, A., AZZIZ, R., 2005. Prevalence of insulin resistance in the polycystic ovary syndrome using the homeostasis model assessment. Fertil. Steril. 83, 1454–1460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2004.11.070
- Dumont, A., Robin, G., Catteau-Jonard, S., Dewailly, D., 2015. Role of Anti-Müllerian Hormone in pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: a review. Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol. 13, 137. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12958-015-0134-9
- Ehrmann, D.A., 2005. Polycystic ovary syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 352, 1223–36. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra041536
- FERRIMAN, D., GALLWEY, J.D., 1961. CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF BODY HAIR GROWTH IN WOMEN. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 21, 1440–1447. https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem-21– 11–1440
- Ferriman, D., Purdie, A.W., 1983. The aetiology of oligomenorrhoea and/or hirsuties: a study of 467 patients. Postgrad. Med. J. 59, 17–20.
- Filippou, P., Homburg, R., 2017. Is fetal hyperexposure to androgens a cause of PCOS? Hum. Reprod. Update 23, 421–432. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmx013
- Georgopoulos, N.A., Papadakis, E., Armeni, A.K., Katsikis, I., Roupas, N.D., Panidis, D., 2014. Elevated serum androstenedione is associated with a more severe phenotype in women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). Hormones 13, 213–21.
- Greenham, L.W., Greenham, V., 1977. Sexing mouse pups. Lab. Anim. 11, 181-4.
- https://doi.org/10.1258/002367777780936620
- Ibáñez, L., Potau, N., Francois, I., de Zegher, F., 1998. Precocious pubarche, hyperinsulinism, and ovarian hyperandrogenism in girls: relation to reduced fetal growth. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 83, 3558–62. https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.83.10.5205
- Jain, V.G., Singal, A.K., 2013. Shorter anogenital distance correlates with undescended testis: a detailed genital anthropometric analysis in human newborns. Hum. Reprod. 28, 2343–2349. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/det286
- Jedel, E., Waern, M., Gustafson, D., Landen, M., Eriksson, E., Holm, G., Nilsson, L., Lind, A.-K., Janson, P.O., Stener-Victorin, E., 2010. Anxiety and depression symptoms in women with polycystic ovary syndrome compared with controls matched for body mass index. Hum. Reprod. 25, 450–456. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dep384

- Krentz, A.J., von Mühlen, D., Barrett-Connor, E., 2007. Searching for polycystic ovary syndrome in postmenopausal women. Menopause 14, 284–292. https://doi.org/10.1097/GME.0b013e31802cc7ab
- Kurzrock, E.A., Jegatheesan, P., Cunha, G.R., Baskin, L.S., 2000. Urethral development in the fetal rabbit and induction of hypospadias: a model for human development. J. Urol. 164, 1786–92.
- Legro, R.S., Kunselman, A.R., Dunaif, A., 2001. Prevalence and predictors of dyslipidemia in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Am. J. Med. 111, 607–13.
- Lizneva, D., Kirubakaran, R., Mykhalchenko, K., Suturina, L., Chernukha, G., Diamond, M.P., Azziz, R., 2016a. Phenotypes and body mass in women with polycystic ovary syndrome identified in referral versus unselected populations: systematic review and meta-analysis. Fertil. Steril. 106, 1510–1520.e2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.07.1121
- Lizneva, D., Suturina, L., Walker, W., Brakta, S., Gavrilova-Jordan, L., Azziz, R., 2016b. Criteria, prevalence, and phenotypes of polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil. Steril. 106, 6–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.05.003
- Melo, A.S., Vieira, C.S., Barbieri, M.A., Rosa-E-Silva, A.C.J.S., Silva, A.A.M., Cardoso, V.C., Reis, R.M., Ferriani, R.A., Silva-de-Sá, M.F., Bettiol, H., 2010. High prevalence of polycystic ovary syndrome in women born small for gestational age. Hum. Reprod. 25, 2124–31. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deq162
- Mendiola, J., Roca, M., Mínguez-Alarcón, L., Mira-Escolano, M.-P., López-Espín, J.J., Barrett, E.S., Swan, S.H., Torres-Cantero, A.M., 2012. Anogenital distance is related to ovarian follicular number in young Spanish women: a cross-sectional study. Environ. Health 11, 90. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-11-90
- Mendiola, J., Sánchez-Ferrer, M.L., Jiménez-Velázquez, R., Cánovas-López, L., Hernández-Peñalver, A.I., Corbalán-Biyang, S., Carmona-Barnosi, A., Prieto-Sánchez, M.T., Nieto, A., Torres-Cantero, A.M., 2016. Endometriomas and deep infiltrating endometriosis in adulthood are strongly associated with anogenital distance, a biomarker for prenatal hormonal environment. Hum. Reprod. 31, 2377–83. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dew163
- Michelmore, K., Ong, K., Mason, S., Bennett, S., Perry, L., Vessey, M., Balen, A., Dunger, D., 2001. Clinical features in women with polycystic ovaries: relationships to insulin sensitivity, insulin gene VNTR and birth weight. Clin. Endocrinol. (Oxf). 55, 439–46.
- Mira-Escolano, M.-P., Mendiola, J., Mínguez-Alarcón, L., Roca, M., Cutillas-Tolín, A., López-Espín, J.J., Torres-Cantero, A.M., 2014. Anogenital distance of women in relation to their mother's gynaecological characteristics before or during pregnancy. Reprod. Biomed. Online 28, 209–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2013.09.026
- Mira-Escolano, M.P., Mendiola, J., Minguez-Alarcón, L., Melgarejo, M., Cutillas-Tolín, A., Roca, M., López-Espín, J.J., Noguera-Velasco, J.A., Torres-Cantero, A.M., 2014. Longer anogenital distance is associated with higher testosterone levels in women: a cross-sectional study. BJOG 121, 1359–64. https://doi.org/10.1111/1471–0528.12627
- National Institutes of Health 2012. Evidence-Based Methodology Workshop on Polycystic Ovary Syndrome December 3–5, 2012. [WWW Document], 2012.
- Norman, R.J., Masters, L., Milner, C.R., Wang, J.X., Davies, M.J., 2001. Relative risk of conversion from normoglycaemia to impaired glucose tolerance or non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus in polycystic ovarian syndrome. Hum. Reprod. 16, 1995–8.
- Pandolfi, C., Zugaro, A., Lattanzio, F., Necozione, S., Barbonetti, A., Colangeli, M.S., Francavilla, S., Francavilla, F., 2008. Low birth weight and later development of insulin resistance and biochemical/clinical features of polycystic ovary syndrome. Metabolism. 57, 999–1004. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2008.02.018
- Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS Consensus Workshop Group, 2004. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). Hum. Reprod. 19, 41–7.
- Ruopp, M.D., Perkins, N.J., Whitcomb, B.W., Schisterman, E.F., 2008. Youden Index and Optimal Cut-Point Estimated from Observations Affected by a Lower Limit of Detection. Biometrical J. 50, 419–430. https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.200710415
- Sánchez-Ferrer, M.L., Mendiola, J., Hernández-Peñalver, A.I., Corbalán-Biyang, S., Carmona-Barnosi, A., Prieto-Sánchez, M.T., Nieto, A., Torres-Cantero, A.M., 2017. Presence of polycystic ovary syndrome is associated with longer anogenital distance in adult Mediterranean women. Hum. Reprod. 32, 2315–2323. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dex274
- Sánchez-Ferrer, M.L., Mendiola, J., Jiménez-Velázquez, R., Cánovas-López, L., Corbalán-Biyang, S., Hernández-Peñalver, A.I., Carmona-Barnosi, A., Maldonado-Cárceles, A.B., Prieto-Sánchez, M.T., Machado-Linde, F., Nieto, A., Torres-Cantero, A.M., 2017. Investigation of

anogenital distance as a diagnostic tool in endometriosis. Reprod. Biomed. Online 34, 375–382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2017.01.002

- Sathyanarayana, S., Grady, R., Redmon, J.B., Ivicek, K., Barrett, E., Janssen, S., Nguyen, R., Swan, S.H., TIDES Study Team, 2015. Anogenital distance and penile width measurements in The Infant Development and the Environment Study (TIDES): methods and predictors. J. Pediatr. Urol. 11, 76.e1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpurol.2014.11.018
- Swan, S.H., Main, K.M., Liu, F., Stewart, S.L., Kruse, R.L., Calafat, A.M., Mao, C.S., Redmon, J.B., Ternand, C.L., Sullivan, S., Teague, J.L., Study for Future Families Research Team, 2005. Decrease in anogenital distance among male infants with prenatal phthalate exposure. Environ. Health Perspect. 113, 1056–61.
- Swan, S.H., Sathyanarayana, S., Barrett, E.S., Janssen, S., Liu, F., Nguyen, R.H.N., Redmon, J.B., TIDES Study Team, Liu, F., Scher, E., Stasenko, M., Ayash, E., Schirmer, M., Farrell, J., Thiet, M.-P., Baskin, L., Gray Chelsea Georgesen, H.L., Rody, B.J., Terrell, C.A., Kaur, K., Brantley, E., Fiore, H., Kochman, L., Parlett, L., Marino, J., Hulbert, W., Mevorach, R., Pressman, E., Ivicek, K., Salveson, B., Alcedo, G., 2015. First trimester phthalate exposure and anogenital distance in newborns. Hum. Reprod. 30, 963–72. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deu363
- Thankamony, A., Ong, K., Dunger, D., Acerini, C., Hughes, I., 2009. Anogenital Distance from Birth to Two Years: a Population Study. Environ. Health Perspect. 117, 1786–90. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0900881
- Wainstock, T., Shoham-Vardi, I., Sheiner, E., Walfisch, A., 2017. Fertility and anogenital distance in women. Reprod. Toxicol. 73, 345–349.
  - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.07.009
- Wild, S., Pierpoint, T., McKeigue, P., Jacobs, H., 2000. Cardiovascular disease in women with polycystic ovary syndrome at long-term follow-up: a retrospective cohort study. Clin. Endocrinol. (Oxf). 52, 595–600.
- Wu, Y., Zhong, G., Chen, S., Zheng, C., Liao, D., Xie, M., 2017. Polycystic ovary syndrome is associated with anogenital distance, a marker of prenatal androgen exposure. Hum. Reprod. 32, 937–943. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dex042



Maria Luisa Sánchez-Ferrer has a degree in Medicine from the Complutense University of Madrid, is a specialist in Obstetrics and Gynecology at the Arrixaca University Hospital and a PhD student at Murcia University. Her key areas of interest are anogenital distance, polycystic ovary syndrome, laparoscopy and minimally invasive surgery.



Fig. 1. Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves for anogenital distance (AGD) and presence of all PCOS and phenotypic subtypes of PCOS. These analyses examine the ability of AGD to predict PCOS and phenotypic subtypes. Blue and green solid lines represent  $AGD_{AF}$  and  $AGD_{AC}$ , respectively. (A) Presence of all PCOS (n = 126) versus controls (n = 159); (B) hyperandrogenism +

oligo/amenorrhoea (H+O) (n = 72) versus controls (n = 159); (C) hyperandrogenism + polycystic ovarian morphology (POM) (H+POM) (n = 88)versus controls (n = 159); (D) oligo/amenorrhoea + POM (O+POM) (n = 74)versus controls (n = 159); (E) hyperandrogenism + oligo/amenorrhoea + POM (H+O+POM) (n = 54) versus controls (n = 159).

Table 1. Diagnostic criteria for polycystic ovarian syndrome and proposed phenotypes.

	NIH, 1990	ESHRE/ASRM,	AE-PCOS,	NIH extension of
		2003	2006	ESHRE/ASRM, 2012
Criteria	Н	Н	Н	Н
	0	0	O and/or	0
			РОМ	
		РОМ	~	POM
				7
Requirements	Two of	Two of three	Two of	Two of three criteria.
	two	criteria	two	Phenotypes:
	criteria		criteria	
				A: H + O
				B: H + POM
				C: O + POM

H = hyperandrogenism; O = oligo/anovulation; POM = polycystic ovarian morphology.

Table 2. Comparison of characteristics in controls, all PCOS cases and phenotypic subtypes of PCOS (hyperandrogenism + oligo/amenorrhoea [H+O]; hyperandrogenism + polycystic ovarian morphology [POM] [H+POM]; oligo/amenorrhoea + POM [O+POM]; hyperandrogenism + oligo/amenorrhoea + POM [H+O+POM]).

Varia	Contr	AI	<i>P</i> -	H+O	<i>P</i> -	H+P	<i>P</i> -	O+P	<i>P</i> -	H+O	<i>P</i> -
bles	ols	PCOS	valu	( <i>n</i> =	valu	OM	valu	OM	valu	+POM	valu
	(n =	(n =	eª	72)	eª	( <i>n</i> =	eª	( <i>n</i> =	ea	( <i>n</i> =	eª
	159)	126)				88)		74)		54)	
Age	30.7	27.4	<0.	26.9	<0.	26.7	<0.	27.0	<0.	26.3	<0.
	(5.8)	(5.1)	001	(5.3	001	(4.8	001	(4.9	001	(4.8);	001
	<b>7.</b> 7	;		);		);		);		26.5	
Y	32.0	28.0		27.0		27.0		27.0			
BMI	23.4	25.5	<0.	26.1	<0.	24.8	<0.	25.8	<0.	25.5	<0.
(kg/m	(4.5)	(5.9)	01	(6.4	01	(5.5	01	(5.6	01	(5.8);	01
<sup>2</sup> )	;	;		);		);		);		24.5	
_	22.3	24.1		24.9		23.6		25.0			
Vagin	32	23	NS	10	NS	13	NS	12	NS	6	NS
al	(20.1	(18.3		(13.		(14.		(16.		(11.1	
delive	)	)		9)		8)		2)		)	
ry											

Episio tomy	22 (13.8 )	7 (5.6)	0.0 2	2 (2.8 )	<0. 01	4 (4.5 )	0.0 2	3 (4.1 )	0.0 2	1 (1.9)	<0. 01
Parity											
0	111 (69.8 ) 18 (11.3 )	88 (69.8 ) 8 (6.3)	NS	51 (70. 8) 4 (5.6 )	NS	66 (75. 0) 4 (4.5 )	NS	53 (71. 6) 4 (5.4 )	NS	41 (75.9 ) 2 (3.7)	NS
2+	30 (18.9 )	30 (23.8 )		17 (23. 6)		18 (20. 5)		17 (23. 0)		11 (20.4	

Values are expressed as mean (SD); median or n (%).<sup>a</sup>Student's t-test or Mann–Whitney U-tests or chi-squared test, compared with control participants.

Table 3. Diagnostic accuracy of anogenital distance (AGD) measurements in all PCOS cases and phenotypic subtypes of PCOS (hyperandrogenism + oligo/amenorrhoea [H+O] hyperandrogenism + polycystic ovarian morphology [POM] [H+POM]; oligo/amenorrhoea + POM [O+POM]; hyperandrogenism + oligo/amenorrhoea + POM [H+O+POM]).

Variabl es	Cont ( <i>n</i> = 9)	rols 15	Case	es	D	AUC (95 % CI)	Diagnostic accuracy						
	Mea n	SD	Mea n	SD	value <sup>a</sup>		Cut- off⁵	Sensitivi ty (%)	Specifici ty (%)	PP V (% )	NP V (% )	Positi ve LR	Negati ve LR
All PCO	All PCOS ( <i>n</i> = 126)												L
AGD₄₅ (mm)	26. 5	5.1	27. 8	5.7	<0.0 01	0.57 (0.49 - 0.65)	>25. 6	67.0	49.0	51. 0	66. 0	1.32	0.66
AGD <sub>ac</sub> (mm)	76. 0	10. 4	80. 5	11. 3	0.048	0.62 (0.55 - 0.71)	>82. 0	50.0	73.0	59. 0	64. 4	1.82	0.70
H+O ( <i>n</i>	= 7	2)					•						
AGD₄⊧ (mm)	26. 5	5.1	27. 8	5.8	NS	0.55 (0.47 - 0.63)	>27. 3	60.0	56.0	32. 0	80. 0	1.35	0.71
AGD <sub>ac</sub> (mm)	76. 0	10. 5	80. 7	10. 6	0.002	0.59 (0.51 - 0.67)	>82. 0	51.4	67.8	35. 6	80. 0	1.60	0.72
H+POM	( <i>n</i> =	= 88	)		•								1
AGD <sub>AF</sub>	26.	5.1	27.	4.9	NS	0.56	>26.	66.0	52.2	39.	76.	1.38	0.65

(mm)	5		6			(0.48 -	2			5	4		
						0.65)							
						0.59							
AGD <sub>ac</sub> (mm)	76. 0	10. 6	79. 6	10. 4	<0.0 1	(0.51 - 0.67)	>80. 0	56.0	66.1	43. 8	75. 9	1.64	0.67
O+POM	( <i>n</i> =	- 74	)		L	/	I						
AGD <sub>aF</sub> (mm)	26. 5	5.1	28. 2	5.3	0.02	0.58 (0.51 - 0.64)	>23. 5	86.5	28.3	30. 3	85. 3	1.21	0.48
AGD <sub>ac</sub> (mm)	76. 0	10. 5	81. 1	11. 5	<0.0 01	0.61 (0.54 - 0.69)	>82. 0	55.7	66.1	43. 8	76. 0	1,64	0.67
H+O+P	ОМ (	n =	54)								/		
AGD <sub>aF</sub> (mm)	26. 5	5.1	28. 0	4.9	NS	0.56 (0.48 - 0.66)	>27. 2	65.0	52.3	25. 2	85. 7	1.36	0.67
AGD <sub>ac</sub> (mm)	76. 0	10. 6	80. 3	10. 2	0.008	0.59 (0.51 - 0.67)	>81. 2	57.4	66.5	29. 8	86. 3	1.71	0.64

Age, BMI and episiotomy were taken into account in statistical models to get a better adjustment of the results. AUC = area under the receiver operating characteristic curve;  $AGD_{AF}$  = anogenital distance from the upper verge of the anus to the posterior fourchette;  $AGD_{AC}$  = anogenital distance from the upper verge of the anus to the anterior clitoral surface; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; LR = likelihood ratio; NPV = negative predictive value; NS = not statistically significant; PCOS = polycystic ovary syndrome; PPV = positive predictive value; SD = standard deviation. <sup>a</sup>Student's t-test or Mann–Whitney U-test compared with control participants. <sup>b</sup>Dichotomized based on Youden index (1) (maximum potential effectiveness for sensitivity and specificity) (Ruopp *et al.*, 2008).

# Table 4 – Studies to predict severity of PCOS based on clinical models.

Study	Stud y type	Populati on type (no. of particip ants)	Phenoty pic differenti ation of PCOS	Main results	AU C	Sensiti vity	Specif icity	AMH thres hold (ng/ ml)
Detti <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (2015)	Cross - secti onal	374 (PCOS)	No	FAI as predictor of glucose intoleranc e	0.6 06	49%	69%	N/A
				FAI as predictor of hyperinsuli nemia	0.4 04	55%	66%	N/A
				FAI as predictor	0.7 31	78%	59%	N/A

				of dyslipidae mia				
				Ovarian volume as predictor of SBP	0.5 96	39%	45%	N/A
				Ovarian volume as predictor of DBP	0.6 23	83%	78%	N/A
				AFC as predictor of luteal phase defect	0.6 63	74%	55%	N/A
Deepika <i>et al.</i> (2013)	Case - contr ol	571 (259 PCOS, 315 controls )	No	ACE I/D gene polymorph ism as molecular marker for susceptibili ty to PCOS and onset of clinical symptoms	ZA	N/A	N/A	N/A
Georgop oulos <i>et al.</i> (2014)	Cross - secti onal	1276 (PCOS)	Yes	Elevated serum androsten edione is associated with more severe PCOS phenotype s	N/ A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eilertsen <i>et al.</i> (2012)	Cross - secti onal	262 (PCOS)	No	AMH as replaceme nt for POM	0.9 92	94.6%	97.1 %	2.8
Sahmay <i>et al.</i> (2013)	Case - contr ol	570 (419 PCOS, 151 controls )	No	AMH as a new diagnostic marker for PCOS	0.9 16	80%	89.8 %	3.94
Sahmay <i>et al.</i> (2014)	Cross - secti onal	606 (PCOS)	No	AMH + Rotterdam criteria	0.9 2	83%	100%	3.8

				AMH + NIH criteria	0.8 6	83%	89%	3.8
				AMH + AES criteria	0.8 7	82%	93.5 %	3.8
Kim <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (2017)	Case - contr ol	89 (46 PCOS, 43 controls )	No	AMH as biomarker of PCOS in obese adolescent girls	0.7 88	67%	81%	6.26

ress Societ pressure; FA1: drome; POM = po ACE I/D = angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/deletion; AES = Androgen Excess Society; AFC = antral follicle count; AMH = anti-Müllerian hormone; AUC = area under the curve; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; FAI = free androgen index; N/A = not applicable; NIH = National Institutes of Health; PCOS = polycystic ovary syndrome; POM = polycystic ovarian morphology; SBP = systolic blood pressure.

CE