

1 Gaël Aleix-Mata <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Míriam Expósito <sup>1</sup>, Francisco J. Ruiz-Ruano <sup>3,4</sup>, Ana María López-  
2 Beceiro <sup>5</sup>, Luis E. Fidalgo <sup>5</sup>, Carlos Martínez-Carrasco <sup>6</sup>, María Rocío Ruiz de Ybáñez <sup>6</sup>,  
3 Mathieu Boos <sup>7,8</sup>, Jesús M. Pérez <sup>2,9</sup>, Antonio Sánchez <sup>1,\*</sup>

4

5 **Development and characterization of 15 novel polymorphic microsatellite loci for**  
6 **two important bot flies (Diptera, Oestridae) by next-generation sequencing**

7

8 <sup>1</sup> Department of Experimental Biology, Jaén University, E-23071, Jaén, Spain.

9 <sup>2</sup> Department of Animal and Plant Biology, and Ecology, Jaén University, E-23071, Jaén,  
10 Spain.

11 <sup>3</sup> Department of Genetics, Faculty of Sciences, Granada University, E-18071, Granada,  
12 Spain.

13 <sup>4</sup> Department of Ecology and Genetics, Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University,  
14 SE-752 36, Uppsala, Sweden.

15 <sup>5</sup> Department of Anatomy, Animal Production and Veterinary Clinical Sciences,  
16 University of Santiago de Compostela, E-27002, Lugo, Spain.

17 <sup>6</sup> Department of Animal Health, Murcia University, E-30100, Murcia, Spain.

18 <sup>7</sup> Research Agency in Applied Ecology, Naturaconst@, 67270 Wilshausen, France.

19 <sup>8</sup> Pôle Scientifique, Fédération Nationale des Chasseurs, 13 rue du Général Leclerc 92136  
20 Issy-Les-Moulineaux, Cedex, France.

21 <sup>9</sup> Wildlife Ecology & Health group (WE&H)

22 \* Corresponding author: [abaca@ujaen.es](mailto:abaca@ujaen.es) or [galeix@ujaen.es](mailto:galeix@ujaen.es)

23

24 Gaël Aleix-Mata: [galeix@ujaen.es](mailto:galeix@ujaen.es); ORCID: 0000-0002-7429-4051

25 Míriam Expósito: [mem00010@red.ujaen.es](mailto:mem00010@red.ujaen.es)

26 Francisco J. Ruiz-Ruano: [fjruizruano@ugr.es](mailto:fjruizruano@ugr.es); ORCID: 0000-0002-5391-301X

27 Ana María López Beceiro: [Anam.lopez.beceiro@usc.es](mailto:Anam.lopez.beceiro@usc.es)

28 Luis E. Fidalgo: [luis.fidalgo@usc.es](mailto:luis.fidalgo@usc.es)

29 Carlos Martínez-Carrasco: [cmcleit@um.es](mailto:cmcleit@um.es); ORCID: 0000-0002-8742-0109

30 María Rocío Ruiz de Ybáñez: [rocio@um.es](mailto:rocio@um.es)

31 Mathieu Boos: [mboos.naturaconst@free.fr](mailto:mboos.naturaconst@free.fr)

32 Jesús M. Pérez: [jperez@ujaen.es](mailto:jperez@ujaen.es); ORCID: 0000-0001-9159-0365

33 Antonio Sánchez: [abaca@ujaen.es](mailto:abaca@ujaen.es); ORCID: 0000-0002-6715-8158

34 **Abstract**

35 *Cephenemyia stimulator* and *Oestrus ovis*, are two important parasitic bot flies  
36 (Oestridae) species causing myiasis, with a potential negative impact on the welfare of  
37 the host. Using next-generation sequencing approach and bioinformatics tools a large  
38 panel of possible microsatellites loci was obtained in both species. Primer pairs were  
39 designed for 15 selected microsatellite loci in *C. stimulator* and other 15 loci in *O. ovis*  
40 for PCR amplification. Loci amplification and analysis were performed in four  
41 populations of each species. The results demonstrated that all selected loci were  
42 polymorphic, with the number of alleles ranging from 2 to 6 per locus in *C. stimulator*  
43 and 3 to 13 per locus in *O. ovis*. This is the first time to describe these microsatellite loci  
44 for *C. stimulator* and *O. ovis*. These two sets of microsatellite markers could be further  
45 used for biogeographic and population genetics studies.

46 Keywords: *Oestrus ovis*, *Cephenemyia stimulator*, microsatellites, next-generation  
47 sequencing

48

## 49 **Introduction**

50 The family Oestridae embraces about 170 dipteran species belonging to 29 genera  
51 grouped into four subfamilies: Cuterebrinae, Gasterophilinae, Hypodermatinae and  
52 Oestrinae (Scholl et al. 2019). In their larval phases, oestrids are obligate parasites of a  
53 wide variety of mammals (including man), developing in the nostrils, throat, trachea,  
54 bronchioles, lungs, oesophagus, stomach, subcutaneous tissues, genitalia and central  
55 nervous system of their hosts (Zumpt 1965; Hall and Wall 1995; Colwell 2001; Colwell  
56 et al. 2006). These types of myiasis have a negative impact on the welfare of parasitized  
57 animals and can cause restlessness, sinusitis, sneezing, coughing, nasal discharge,  
58 mucopurulent exudates, dyspnea and difficulty swallowing, among other symptoms  
59 (Shcherban 1973; Ilchmann et al. 1976; Dorchies et al. 1998, 1993; Scholl et al. 2019).  
60 Larviposition by gravid females may lead to stress and a drop in food intake by hosts  
61 (Colwell 2001). Moreover, oestrid infestations can increase the likelihood of occurrence  
62 of opportunistic infections and in severe cases can provoke cranial lesions and even the  
63 death of the host (Allen and Bunch 1982; Mozaffari et al. 2013). **Parasitization** by oestrid  
64 flies is usually characterized by complex ecological interactions between these dipterans  
65 and their hosts, further complicated by intrinsic and extrinsic factors (e.g., environmental  
66 conditions and host behaviour and density) that influence the distribution and prevalence  
67 of these parasites (Papavero 1977; Colwell 2001; Colwell et al. 2006).

68 The sheep bot fly, *Oestrus ovis*, is a cosmopolitan parasite that affects sheep and  
69 goats (Scala et al. 2001), as well as a variety of wild hosts including European mouflon  
70 (*Ovis aries musimom*), bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis*), aoudad (*Ammotragus lervia*),  
71 Alpine ibex (*Capra ibex*), Asiatic ibex (*Capra sibirica*), Iberian ibex (*Capra pyrenaica*),  
72 white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) and llama (*Lama glama*) (Zumpt 1965; Allen  
73 and Bunch 1982; Moreno et al. 1999; Colwell et al. 2006; Gómez-Puerta et al. 2013;

74 Barroso et al. 2017; Sánchez et al. 2017; our unpublished data for the Iberian Ibex).  
75 Ophthalmomyiasis and rhinomyiasis in humans due to *O. ovis* infestation are both  
76 relatively frequent (Fries et al. 2018; Brini et al. 2019). By contrast, *Cephenemyia*  
77 *stimulator* is more host-specific and in the Palaearctic usually only parasitizes roe deer  
78 *Capreolus capreolus* (Colwell et al. 2006; Király and Egri 2007; Calero-Bernal and  
79 Habela 2013).

80 In some cases, taxonomical doubts can arise when attempting to identify third-  
81 instar oestrid larvae using only morphobiometric criteria (Otranto et al. 2005). These  
82 limitations may become even more patent with first- and second-instar larvae (Wetzel and  
83 Bauristhene 1970). The description and use of new molecular markers (e.g., COI, 28S,  
84 ITSs and mitogenomes) has improved the accuracy of the characterizations and  
85 identifications of oestrid species, and of phylogenetic reconstructions (Otranto and  
86 Stevens 2002; Weigl et al. 2010; Marinho et al. 2012; Moreno et al. 2015; Zhang et al.  
87 2016; Yan et al. 2019).

88 Within members of the order Diptera, microsatellites have been described and  
89 used in ecological, demographic, biogeographic and genetic studies in a number of  
90 Calliphoridae taxa (Florin and Gyllenstrand 2002; Torres et al. 2004; Torres and Azeredo-  
91 Espin 2005, 2008; Diakova et al. 2018; Rodrigues et al. 2009). Microsatellites have  
92 proven to be useful in the family Oestridae for addressing relationships between  
93 specimens from different host populations and for assessing genetic diversity and  
94 structure at population level (Milton et al. 2011; Bitarello et al. 2009; Cheng et al. 2014;  
95 Liu et al. 2018).

96 In this study, we used next-generation sequencing and bioinformatics to select  
97 novel polymorphic microsatellite loci, 15 for *Cephenemyia stimulator* and 15 for *Oestrus*  
98 *ovis*, which we tested with PCR amplification for specimens from different populations

99 of both species. In addition, to test cross-amplification in related dipteran species we  
100 analysed the amplification of the 15 microsatellite loci of *C. stimulator* in a specimen of  
101 *C. auribarbis*. The main objective of this work is to describe and analyse for the first time  
102 microsatellite loci in *C. stimulator* and *O. ovis*, that in the future could be useful for  
103 biogeographic and populations genetics studies.

104

## 105 **Material and methods**

### 106 Genome sequencing and microsatellite primers selection

107 For library construction and genome sequencing DNA was extracted from one larva of  
108 *C. stimulator* from roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) (Lugo, Spain: 43°00'N, 7°33'W) and  
109 from one larva of *O. ovis* from domestic goat (*Capra aegagrus*) (Almería, Spain: 37°03'N,  
110 2°34'W) using the Quick-DNA Tissue/Insect kit (Zymo Research). Genomic DNAs (3  
111 µg) was used to construct two libraries, one for *C. stimulator* and other for *O. ovis*, with  
112 350 bp fragments. About 20 Gbp of sequences were obtained from each species (coverage  
113 about ~20x) using Illumina® Hiseq™ 2000 platform in paired-end reads with length  
114 2x150 nt.

115 To identify the microsatellite primers we used bioinformatics protocol and the  
116 Illumina libraries for each species separately. Firstly, we joined read pairs with ends  
117 overlapping by at least six nucleotides using the fastq-join program of the FASTX Toolkit  
118 (Gordon and Hannon 2010). Then, we searched for dinucleotide microsatellites and  
119 designed primers to amplify them whenever possible with Msatcommander (Faircloth  
120 2008) using the default options. Next, we used the script written by Schoebel et al. (2013)  
121 to select unique primer pairs to avoid microsatellites in repetitive elements, and obtained  
122 1,932 and 4,367 possible microsatellite primer pairs for *C. stimulator* and *O. ovis*,

123 respectively. Then, we mapped the Illumina paired-end reads for each library against the  
124 joined reads containing the selected microsatellites using SSAHA2 (Ning et al. 2001).  
125 We visualized the mappings with IGV (Thorvaldsdóttir et al. 2013) to perform a manual  
126 selection of microsatellites that present two alleles in the sequenced specimen of each  
127 species. Finally, we selected 30 putative microsatellites primers, 15 for each species  
128 (Tables 1 and 2).

#### 129 Analysis of microsatellite loci

130 For microsatellite amplification, we used the DNA of the larvae extracted with the  
131 Quick-DNA Tissue/Insect kit (Zymo Research) eluting in 80  $\mu$ l of H<sub>2</sub>O. We performed  
132 one ‘control PCR’ to evaluate the DNA yield by direct amplification of a ~250 bp  
133 fragment of the microsatellite locus C.sti-12 and O.ovis-12 for *C. stimulator* and *O. ovis*,  
134 respectively. The control PCR (13  $\mu$ l of reaction) contained 20-60 ng of template DNA,  
135 6.5  $\mu$ l of DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (2X) (Thermo Scientific) (final  
136 concentration: 0.05 U/ $\mu$ l DreamTaq DNA polymerase, dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP,  
137 0.2 mM each, and 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>), and 0.2  $\mu$ M of each primer. The cycling conditions were  
138 conducted as indicated by the supplier’s instructions (see below) (40 amplification  
139 cycles); PCR amplicons were resolved in 2% agarose gels. We tested 37 larval DNAs  
140 from each species. In all, 32 *C. stimulator* samples (from four populations) and 24 *O. ovis*  
141 samples (also from four populations) yielded amplifications on control PCRs and were  
142 then used for the 15 microsatellite loci amplifications (Table 3).

143 For microsatellite analysis, we used the 15 selected primer pairs. All forward  
144 primers were modified on 5’ by the incorporation of the universal M13 primer sequence;  
145 PCR amplifications were performed as described by Schuelke (2000). The forward M13  
146 primer was labelled with four fluorescents dyes (FAM, HEX, ATTO550, ATTO565;  
147 Isogen Life Science). The PCRs were performed on 13  $\mu$ l of reaction mix containing 1.53-

148 4.61 ng/μl of template DNA, 6.5 μl of DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (2X) (Thermo  
149 Scientific), 0.2 μM of the unlabelled M13-tailed forward primer, 0.2 μM of the  
150 fluorescently labelled M13 primer, and 0.5 μM of the corresponding reverse primer. The  
151 PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min; 30 cycles for 30  
152 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 55 °C and 30 s at 72 °C; and a final extension of 15 min at 72 °C.

153 To read the results, microsatellite PCR amplifications for each larva in both  
154 species were combined in four mixes (**three mixes** with four loci and one with three loci)  
155 to avoid fluorescent dye overlapping (Tables 1 and 2). Mixes contained 3 μl of the  
156 different loci PCRs and 3 μl of H<sub>2</sub>O to give a final dilution of 1:5 and 1:4 in the mixtures  
157 of the four and three loci, respectively. The mixes were run on a Genetic Analyzer 3500  
158 (Applied Biosystem; available in Jaén University). Alleles were sized using the  
159 GeneMapper Software version 4.1 (Applied Biosystems). We used GIMLET software  
160 (Valière 2002) to calculate the values of expected heterozygosity ( $H_E$ ), observed  
161 heterozygosity ( $H_O$ ) and allelic frequency. The frequency of null alleles, and **the**  
162 **probabilities of identity (PID)** (unbiased and between siblings; Waits et al., 2001) was  
163 also calculated using CERVUS v 3.0.7 software (Marshall et al. 1998). In addition, the  
164 Hardy-Weinberg exact probability tests were performed with Genepop (Raymond and  
165 Rousset 1995).

## 166 **Results and discussion**

167 With the approach of next-generation sequencing and bioinformatics tools, 1932 and  
168 4367 putative dinucleotide microsatellite loci were found from the library of *C. stimulator*  
169 and *O. ovis*, respectively. Selected fifteen loci from each library were tested with each  
170 species. All of those microsatellite loci were successfully amplified and were  
171 polymorphic (Tables 1 and 2).



172 In *C. stimulator* we amplified and analyzed the 15 microsatellites loci for each larva  
173 (N=32) and calculated the allele size range, the allelic frequency,  $H_E$ ,  $H_O$  and the  
174 percentage of amplification (Table 1). The percentage of amplification for each locus  
175 varied between 84.38% (for C.sti-14 locus) to 100% for (C.sti-8 locus). We detected 54  
176 alleles, and the number of alleles per locus varied from 2 to 6. For each locus, we also  
177 calculated  $H_E$ , which varied between 0.17 and 0.64; the  $H_O$  values varied between 0.19  
178 and 0.63 for C.sti-8 and C.sti-13, respectively (Table 1). Mean values of  $H_E$  and  $H_O$  were  
179 0.48 and 0.44, respectively, and the statistical analysis of the data indicated that the values  
180 of  $H_E$  and  $H_O$  fitted the Hardy-Weinberg (HW) equilibrium.

181 In addition, the specimen of *C. auribabis* was tested for the amplification with *C.*  
182 *stimulator* microsatellite primer set. Ten of 15 loci were amplified; six loci are  
183 homozygous and other four loci are heterozygous, with alleles of the same size range as  
184 presented in *C. stimulator*. However, we found new nine alleles in this sample (Table 1).

185 We amplified 15 microsatellites loci for each *O. ovis* specimen analysed (N=24)  
186 and performed the same calculations as for *C. stimulator* (Table 2). The percentage of  
187 amplification varied between 50% (loci O.ovis-8 and O.ovis-14) and 100% (locus O.ovis-  
188 10). In *O. ovis*, 114 alleles were detected and the number of allele for locus varied from  
189 3 to 13. For each locus, the frequency of each allele in each population was very low, due  
190 to the high variability in the number of alleles. Total of the 114 identified alleles, only 14  
191 alleles presented in all populations, while the rest (100 alleles) did not. We also calculated  
192  $H_E$ , which varied between 0.16 and 0.88, and  $H_O$ , which varied between 0.17 and 0.71  
193 from microsatellites O.ovis-13 and O.ovis -5, respectively (Table 2).  $H_E$  and  $H_O$  were  
194 more varied than in *C. stimulator*, although the statistical analysis of all data indicated  
195 that the values of  $H_E$  and  $H_O$  generally fitted the Hardy-Weinberg (HW) equilibrium,  
196 except in the loci O.ovis-3 and O.ovis-4, which deviated significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ).

207 Loci C.sti-6, O.ovis-2, O.ovis-6, and O.ovis-7 had two alleles with a large  
208 difference in size (more than 50 nt) (Tables 1 and 2). The smaller alleles could be  
209 amplified and showed the signal more efficiently than the larger ones, leading to allelic  
210 dropout. Therefore, use of these four loci with precaution may be required, for example,  
211 using a multi-tube approach (Taberlet et al., 1996).

212 Finally, in *C. stimulator* with this panel of 15 loci, we achieved a probability of  
213 identity, unbiased,  $P_{(ID)}$  of  $6 \times 10^{-8}$  and a probability of identity for sibling  $P_{(ID) \text{ sib}}$  of  
214  $3.61 \times 10^{-4}$ . In *O. ovis* with this panel of 15 loci, we obtained a probability of identity  $P_{(ID)}$   
215 of  $2.43 \times 10^{-16}$  and a probability of identity for sibling  $P_{(ID) \text{ sib}}$  of  $1.68 \times 10^{-6}$ . These  
216 probabilities indicated that the described microsatellite loci in both species are suitable to  
217 be used in biogeographic and population genetics studies, as the obtained values are  
218 according to the previously estimated and recommended ( $P_{(ID)} < 10^{-4}$ ) (Waits et al. 2001,  
219 Latorre-Cardenas et al. 2020).

220 Microsatellite loci were originally developed by construction and screening of  
221 repetitive sequences enriched genomic libraries, and the sequences of positive clones  
222 were used to design PCR primers (Vieira et al. 2016). According to the results, the next-  
223 generation sequencing and the bioinformatics analysis performed to select the  
224 microsatellite loci have proven to be an efficient methodology (Light et al. 2018). This is  
225 the first time to describe two sets of 15 microsatellite loci for *C. stimulator* and *O. ovis*,  
226 respectively. These novel and polymorphic loci may be used for further biogeographical  
227 and population genetics studies, for examples, defining management units (Bergamo et  
228 al. 2018), in inbreeding (Abe and Pannebakker 2017), epidemiology, host-specificity,  
229 gene flow and spatial genetic structure studies (Rasero et al. 2010; Harimalala et al. 2017;  
230 Cao and Wu 2019), and monitoring abundance and effective population size (Marí-Mena  
231 et al. 2019), in these two important bot flies.

222

## 223 **Acknowledgements**

224 We would like to thank the two anonymous reviewers for the comments and suggestions  
225 that have helped to improving the manuscript. This work and the research activities of  
226 GAM are backed by the Fédération Nationale des Chasseurs (France), Fédération  
227 Départementale des Chasseurs de l'Ariège and Hautes-Pyrénées (France) (project FNC-  
228 PSN-PR16-2014). This study was backed by the Fédération Nationale des Chasseurs  
229 (France) (project: FNC-PSN-PR5-2013), the National Institute for The Agriculture and  
230 Food Research and Technology (INIA), Madrid (project: FAU2008-00010-00-00) and by  
231 Jaén University (project: RFC/PP2008/UJA\_68\_16\_22). The activities of the researchers  
232 are partially funded by the Andalusian Plan for Scientific Research (PAI) (research  
233 groups RNM-118 and BIO-220). The authors are indebted to P. Prieto, M. Sarasa, E.  
234 Faure and R. Velarde for their help in obtaining samples for this study. The research  
235 activities included in this work all comply with Andalusian and Spanish laws regarding  
236 animal experimentation and welfare.

237

## 238 **References**

- 239 Abe J, Pannebakker BA (2017) Development of microsatellite markers and estimation of  
240 inbreeding frequency in the parasitoid wasp *Melittobia*. Sci Rep 7:39879.  
241 <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39879>
- 242 Allen SD, Bunch TD (1982) Cranial lesions attributable to chronic sinusitis in bighorn  
243 sheep. J Am Vet Med Assoc 181:1418–1419.
- 244 Barroso P, Ruiz-de-Ybáñez R, Martínez-Carrasco C, Gens MJ, Escribano F, Sánchez A,  
245 Pérez JM (2017) First report of oestrosis in aoudad from southeastern Spain. Parasitol  
246 Res 116:2053-2055. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-017-5504-0>

- 247 Bergamo LW, Fresia P, Lyra ML, Azeredo-Espin AM (2018) High genetic diversity and  
248 no population structure of the New World screwworm fly *Cochliomyia hominivorax*  
249 (Diptera: Calliphoridae) on a microgeographic scale: implications for management  
250 units. J Econ Entomol 11:2476-2482. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toy171>
- 251 Bitarello B, Torres TT, Lyra ML, Azeredo-Espin AML (2009) Development of  
252 polymorphic microsatellite markers for the human botfly, *Dermatobia hominis*  
253 (Diptera: Oestridae). Mol Ecol Res 9:409-411. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2008.02454.x>
- 255 Brini C, Nguon B, Miglietta E, Sala L, Acutis PL, Riina MV, Rossi L, Serusi E, Gervasio  
256 CF, Tamponi C, Scala, A, Varcasia A (2019) Rhinomyiasis by *Oestrus ovis* in a  
257 tourist returning from Corsica. Parasitol Res 118:3217–3221.  
258 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-019-06508-2>
- 259 Calero-Bernal R, Habela MA (2013) First report of *Cephenemyia stimulator* (Diptera,  
260 Oestridae) parasitizing Roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) in Extremadura (Spain).  
261 Galemys, Spanish J Mammal 25:29–34. <https://doi.org/10.7325/galemys.2013.a03>
- 262 Cao LZ, Wu KM (2019) History of globe skimmers (Odonata: Libellulidae) in China  
263 based on microsatellite and mitochondrial DNA markers. Sci Rep 9:8619.  
264 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45123-0>
- 265 Cheng F, Yang J, Zhang D (2014) Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci  
266 from *Gasterophilus pecorum* (Diptera: Gasterophilidae). Conserv Genet Resour  
267 6:487-489. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-013-0136-x>
- 268 Colwell DD (2001) Bot flies and warble flies (order Diptera: family Oestridae). In:  
269 Samuel WM, Pybus MJ, Kocan AA (eds) Parasitic diseases of wild mammals, 2nd  
270 edn. Iowa State University, Ames, pp. 46-71.
- 271 Colwell DD, Hall MJR, Scholl PJ (2006) A synopsis of the biology, hosts, distribution,  
272 disease significance and management. In: Colwell DD, Hall MJR, Scholl PJ (eds)  
273 The oestrid flies. Biology, host–parasite relationships, impact and management.  
274 CABI Publishing, Wallingford, pp. 220-305.

- 275 Diakova AV, Schepetov DM, Oyun NY, Shatalkin AI, Galinskaya TV (2018) Assessing  
276 genetic and morphological variation in populations of Eastern European *Lucilia*  
277 *sericata* (Diptera: Calliphoridae). Eur J Entomol 115:192-197.  
278 <https://doi.org/10.14411/eje.2018.017>
- 279 Dorchies PH, Jacquiet PH, Duranton C (1998) Pathophysiology of *Oestrus ovis* infection  
280 in sheep and goats: review. Vet Rec 142:487-489.  
281 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/vr.142.18.487>
- 282 Dorchies PH, Yilma JM, Savey J (1993) Prevalence of lung abscesses and interstitial  
283 pneumonia in ovine oestrosis. Vet Rec 133:325.  
284 <https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.133.13.325>
- 285 Faircloth BC (2008) MSATCOMMANDER: Detection of microsatellite repeat arrays  
286 and automated, locus-specific primer design. Mol Ecol Res 8:92-94.  
287 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01884.x>
- 288 Florin B, Gyllenstrand N (2002) Isolation and characterization of polymorphic  
289 microsatellite markers in the blowflies *Luicilia illustris* and *Lucilia sericata*. Mol  
290 Ecol Notes 2:113-116. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-8286.2002.00165.x>
- 291 Fries FN, Pattmöller M, Seitz B, Berger F, Kampen H, Szentmáry N, Becker SL (2018)  
292 Ophthalmomyiasis externa due to *Oestrus ovis* in a traveller returning from Greece.  
293 Travel Med Infect Dis 23:101-102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmaid.2018.05.007>
- 294 Gómez-Puerta LA, Alroy KA, Ticona DS, López-Urbina MT, González AE (2013) A  
295 case of nasal myiasis due to *Oestrus ovis* (Diptera: Oestridae) in a llama (*Lama*  
296 *glama*). Rev Bras Parasitol Vet 22:608-610. [https://doi.org/10.1590/S1984-  
297 29612013000400026](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1984-29612013000400026)
- 298 Gordon A, Hannon GJ (2010) Fastx-toolkit. FAST Q/A short-reads pre-processing tools.  
299 Available at [http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx\\_toolkit](http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit).
- 300 Hall M, Wall R (1995) Myiasis of humans and domestic animals. Adv Parasitol 35:257-  
301 334. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X\(08\)60073-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X(08)60073-1)

- 302 Harimalala M, Telfer S, Delatte H, Watts PC, Miarinjara A, Ramihangihajason TR,  
303 Rahelinirina S, Rajerison M, Boyer S (2017) Genetic structure and gene flow of the  
304 flea *Xenopsylla cheopis* in Madagascar and Mayotte. Parasit Vectors (2017) 10:347.  
305 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2290>
- 306 Ilchmann G, Betke P, Grafe D, Gossing S (1976) Untersuchungen zur oestrose und ihre  
307 bekämpfung in der Mongolischen Volksrepublik. Monatsh Vet 41:128–132.
- 308 Király I, Egri B (2007) Epidemiological characteristics of *Cephenemyia stimulator*  
309 (Clark, 1815) larval infestation in European roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) in  
310 Hungary. Acta Zool Acad Sci Hung 53:271-279.
- 311 Latorre-Cardenas MC, Gutiérrez-Rodríguez C, Lance SL (2020) Isolation and  
312 characterization of 13 microsatellite loci for the Neotropical otter, *Lontra*  
313 *longicaudis*, by next generation sequencing. Mol Biol Rep 47: 731-736.  
314 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-019-05165-z>
- 315 Lighth JE, Harper SE, Johnson KP, Demastes JW, Spradling TA (2018) Development and  
316 characterization of 12 novel polymorphic microsatellite loci for the mammal chewing  
317 louse *Geomydoecus aurei* (Insecta: Phthiraptera) and a comparison of next-  
318 generation sequencing approaches for use in Parasitology. J Parasitol 104:89-95.  
319 <https://doi.org/10.1645/17-130>
- 320 Liu SH, Cheng F, Fan X, Li K, Hu D, Ma Y, Li H, Bayinchahan G (2018) Evaluation of  
321 the genetic diversity and population structure of *Gasterophilus pecorum* in Xinjiang  
322 Province, China, using fluorescent microsatellites (SSR) markers. Vet Parasitol  
323 261:53-58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2018.08.005>
- 324 Mari-Mena N, Naveira H, López-Vaamonde C, Vila M (2019) Census and contemporary  
325 effective population size of two populations of the protected Spanish Moon Moth  
326 (*Graellsia isabellae*). Insect Conserv Divers 12:147–160.  
327 <https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12322>
- 328 Marinho MA, Junqueira AC, Paulo DF, Esposito MC, Villet MH, Azeredo-Espin AML  
329 (2012) Molecular phylogenetics of Oestroidea (Diptera: Calyptratae) with emphasis  
330 on Calliphoridae: insights into the inter-familial relationships and additional evidence

331 for paraphyly among blowflies. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 65:840-854.  
332 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2012.08.007>

333 Marshall TC, Slate J, Kruuk LEB, Pemberton JM (1998) Statistical confidence for  
334 likelihood-based paternity inference in natural populations. *Mol Ecol* 7:639-655.  
335 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00374.x>

336 Milton K, Lozier JD, Lacey EA (2011) Isolation of novel microsatellites for the howler  
337 monkey bot fly. *Conserv Genet Resour* 3:403-407. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-010-9366-3)  
338 [010-9366-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-010-9366-3)

339 Moreno V, Pérez JM, Moreno PA, Granados JE, Ruiz-Martínez I, Soriguer RC, de Simón  
340 MA (1999) Oestrid myiasis in European mouflon from Spain. *J Wildl Dis* 35:78-81.  
341 <https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-35.1.78>

342 Moreno V, Romero-Fernández I, Marchal JA, Beltrán M, Granados JE, Habela MA,  
343 Tamadon A, Rakhshandehroo E, Sarasa M, Pérez JM, Sánchez A (2015) Molecular  
344 characterization of bot flies, *Oestrus* spp., (Diptera, Oestridae), from domestic and  
345 wild Bovidae hosts. *Vet Parasitol* 212:473-477.  
346 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.08.002>

347 Mozaffari AA, Shojaeepour S, Cheshmeh SG (2013) High mortality rate due to false gid  
348 in a sheep herd. *ISRN Vet Sci* 2013:650358. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/650358>

349 Ning Z, Cox AJ, Mullikin JC (2001) SSAHA: a fast search method for large DNA  
350 databases. *Genome Res* 11:1725-1729. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.194201>

351 Otranto D, Milillo P, Traversa D, Colwell DD (2005) Morphological variability and  
352 genetic identity in *Rhinoestrus* spp. causing horse nasal myiasis. *Med Vet Entomol*  
353 19:96-100. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0269-283X.2005.00553x>

354 Otranto D, Stevens JR (2002) Molecular approaches to the study of myiasis-causing  
355 larvae. *Int J Parasitol* 32:1345-1360. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519\(02\)00095-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(02)00095-4)  
356 [4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(02)00095-4)

357 Papavero N (1977) The world Oestridae (Diptera), mammals and continental drift. Dr.  
358 W. Junk B.V. Publishers, The Hague.

- 359 Rasero R, Rossi L, Soglia D, , Maione S, Sachin P, Rambozzi L, Sartore S, Soriguer RC,  
360 Spalenza V, Alasaad S (2010) Host taxon-derived *Sarcoptes* mite in European wild  
361 animals revealed by microsatellite markers. *Biol Conserv* 143:1269-1277.  
362 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.03.001>
- 363 Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP. Version 1.2. Population genetics software for  
364 exact tests and ecumenicism. *J Hered* 86:248-249.  
365 <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a111573>
- 366 Rodrigues RA, Azeredo-Espin AML, Torres TT (2009). Microsatellite markers for  
367 population genetic studies of the blowfly *Chrysomia putoria* (Diptera:  
368 Calliphoridae). *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 104:1047-1050.  
369 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762009000700020>
- 370 Sánchez A, Caparrós N, Ostrowski S, Sarasa M, Pérez JM (2017) Oestrosis in *Asiatic*  
371 *ibex* (*Capra sibirica*): a case report and molecular characterization of larvae. *Vet*  
372 *Parasitol* 236:55-57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2017.02.003>
- 373 Scala A, Solinas G, Citterio CV, Kramer LH, Genchi C (2001) Sheep oestrosis (*Oestrus*  
374 *ovis* Linné 1761, Diptera: Oestridae) in Sardinia, Italy. *Vet Parasitol* 102:133-141.  
375 [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4017\(01\)00515-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4017(01)00515-5)
- 376 Schoebel CN, Brodbeck S, Buehler D, Cornejo C, Gajurel J, Hartikainen H, Werth S  
377 (2013) Lessons learned from microsatellite development for nonmodel organisms  
378 using 454 pyrosequencing. *J Evol Biol* 26:600-611.  
379 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12077>
- 380 Scholl PJ, Colwell DD, Cepeda-Palacios R (2019) Myiasis (Muscoidea, Oestroidea). In:  
381 Mullen GR, Durden LA (eds) *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, 3rd edn.  
382 Elsevier-Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 383–419. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814043-7.00019-4)  
383 [12-814043-7.00019-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814043-7.00019-4)
- 384 Schuelke M (2000) An economic method for the fluorescent labelling of PCR fragments.  
385 *Nat Biotechnol* 18:233–234. <https://doi.org/10.1038/72708>
- 386 Shcherban NF (1973) Prevention of *Oestrus ovis* Infestation (Trichlorfon Aerosol).  
387 *Veterinarya* 2:71–72 [in Russian].



388 Taberlet P, Griffin S, Goossens B, Questiau S, Manceau V, Escaravage N, Waits LP,  
389 Bouvet J (1996) Reliable genotyping of samples with very low DNA quantities using  
390 PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res* 24:3189–3194. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/24.16.3189>

391 Thorvaldsdóttir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP (2013) Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV):  
392 high-performance genomics data visualization and exploration. *Brief Bioinform*  
393 14:178-192. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbs017>

394 Torres TT, Azeredo-Espin AML (2005) Development of new polymorphic microsatellite  
395 markers for the New World screw-worm *Cochliomyia hominivorax* (Diptera:  
396 Calliphoridae). *Mol Ecol Notes* 5:815–817. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-  
397 8286.2005.01073.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01073.x)

398 Torres TT, Azeredo-Espin AML (2008) Characterization of polymorphic microsatellite  
399 markers for the blowfly *Chrysomya albiceps* (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Mol Ecol*  
400 *Notes* 8:208-219. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01926.x>

401 Torres TT, Brondani RPV, García E, Azeredo-Espin AML (2004) Isolation and  
402 characterization of microsatellite markers in the new world screw-worm *Cochliomyia*  
403 *hominivorax* (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Mol Ecol Notes* 4:182-184.  
404 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00608.x>

405 Valière N (2002) GIMLET: a computer program for analysing genetic individual  
406 identification data. *Mol Ecol Notes* 2:377-379. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-  
407 8286.2002.00228.x-i2](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-8286.2002.00228.x-i2)

408 Vieira ML, Santini L, Diniz AL, Munhoz C de F (2016). Microsatellite markers: what  
409 they mean and why they are so useful. *Genet Mol Biol* 39:312-328.  
410 <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4685-GMB-2016-0027>

411 Waits LP, Luikart G, Taberlet P (2001) Estimating the probability of identity among  
412 genotypes in natural populations: cautions and guidelines. *Mol Ecol* 10: 249-256.  
413 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2001.01185.x>

414 Weigl S, Testini G, Parisi A, Dantas-Torres F, Traversa D, Colwell DD, Otranto D (2010)  
415 The mitochondrial genome of the common cattle grub, *Hypoderma lineatum*. *Med*  
416 *Vet Entomol* 24:329-335. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2915.2010.00873.x>

- 417 Wetzel H, Bauristhene E (1970) The identity of the third larval stage of *Oestrus ovis*  
418 Linnaeus (1758) (Diptera: Oestridae). Zool Anz 184: 87-94.
- 419 Yan L, Pape T, Elgar MA, Gao Y, Zhang D (2019) Evolutionary history of stomach bot  
420 flies in the light of mitogenomics. Sys Entomol 44:797-809.  
421 <https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12356>
- 422 Zhang D, Yan L, Zhang M, Chu H, Cao J, Li K, Hu D, Pape T (2016) Phylogenetic  
423 inference of calyptrates, with the first mitogenomes for Gasterophilinae (Diptera:  
424 Oestridae) and Paramacronychiinae (Diptera: Sarcophagidae). Int J Biol Sci 12:489-  
425 504. <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.12148>
- 426 Zumpt F (1965) Myiasis in man and animals in the old world. Butterworths, London.