

Co-funded by the European Union

Project: 101050018

— Sport4C —

ERASMUS-SPORT-2021-SNCESE

Sport4Cancer
D2.1 Kick of Meeting
Report

Sport4Cancer - Project: 101050018— ERASMUS-SPORT-2021-SNCESE

© 2022, University of Murcia

The European Commission's support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflect the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.



Disclaimer: Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

<u>Index</u>

1. Schedule	1
2. List of participants	3
3. Comments on the sessions	4
4. Meeting quantitative evaluation	6
5. Kick of meeting photos	7

1. <u>Schedule</u>

Tuesday, 18.10.2022	Schedule		
10:00 - 10:10	Welcome speech: José María López Gullón, responsible for the project		
10:10 - 11:30	 Welcome Speech: Jose Maria Lopez Gullon, responsible for the project Sport4Cancer from the University of Murcia Meeting opening - Short presentations of consortium (Beneficiary and associated partners) Max 5 min per organization. ➤ COO. UMU - University of Murcia (Spain) ➤ AP1. CUS - Centro Universitario Sportivo Palermo (Italy) ➤ AP2. LSU - Lietuvos Sporto Universitetas (Lithuania) ➤ AP3. IPAK - Ipak Institut Za Simbolno Analizo In Razvoj Informacijskih Tehnologij Velenje Zavod (Slovenia) ➤ AP4. SSOI RIJEKA - Rijeka Sports Association For Persons With Disabilities (Croatia) ➤ AP5. ARDA - Sdruzhenie Futbolen Klub Arda 1924-Gr Kardzhali (Bulgaria) ➤ AP6. OAC - Outdoor Against Cancer Gemeinnutzige Unternehmergesellschaft (Germany) ➤ AP7. ARIS PETEINOU - Mas Aris Peteinou (Greece) ➤ AP8. SPOR ISTANBUL - Istanbul Sports Activities and Administration Trade INC (Turkey) ➤ AP9. BDSA - Asociacia Za Razvitie Na Bulgarskiasport (Bulgaria) ➤ AP10. SEA - Sport Evolution Alliance Crl (Portugal) ➤ AP11. EIIR - European Institute of Interdisciplinary Research (France) ➤ AP13. SYL - Svenska Ungdom League (Sweden) 		
11:30 – 12:00	Coffee break		
12:00 – 12:15	Project structure by University of Murcia (Salvador Angosto Sánchez) General remarks Introduction to WP		
12:15 – 12:45	Presentations of Work packages by University of Murcia (Salvador Angosto Sánchez): WP1. Project Management and Monitoring WP2. Project Preparation WP3. Implementation Phase: Sport4c Scientific Workshop and Sport Event WP4. Evaluation Process WP5. Dissemination And Impact Building: Stakeholders Engagement Strategy and Implementation Plan		

Tuesday, 18.10.2022	Schedule		
12:00 – 12:15	Project structure by University of Murcia (Salvador Angosto Sánchez) General remarks Introduction to WP		
12:15 – 12:45	Presentations of Work packages by University of Murcia (Salvador Angosto Sánchez): WP1. Project Management and Monitoring WP2. Project Preparation WP3. Implementation Phase: Sport4c Scientific Workshop and Sport Event WP4. Evaluation Process WP5. Dissemination And Impact Building: Stakeholders Engagement Strategy and Implementation Plan		
12:45-13:00	Sport4Cancer International congress/Workshop by University of Murcia (Guillermo Felipe López Sánchez)		
13:00 – 13:30	Project budget and financial issues (María Ángeles Martínez Cegarra) Project management and implementation Travels and accommodation		
13:30 - 14:00	Open discussion, conclusions		

2. List of participants

N	GENDER	ORGANISATION
1	Male	University of Murcia
2	Male	University of Murcia
3	Male	University of Murcia
4	Male	University of Murcia
5	Female	University of Murcia
6	Male	CUS Palermo
7	Male	CUS Palermo
8	Male	IPAK Institute
9	Female	SSOI Rijeka
10	Male	Arda FK
11	Female	OAC
12	Male	OAC
13	Male	MAS Aris Peteinoy
14	Female	Spor Istambul
15	Female	Spor Istambul
16	Female	BDSA
17	Male	Sport Evolution Alliance
18	Female	EIIR

The organisations Lithuanian Sporto Universitetas (Lithuania), KEFI Athinon (Greece) excused their absence, while the organisation Svenka Ungdom League (Sweden) indicated that they do not want to participate in the project as they are involved in different projects and cannot attend their participation in the sport event.

3. Comments on the sessions

A brief discussion of each session is given below:

- 1. <u>Welcome speech</u>: The project leaders José María López Gullón and Arturo Díaz Suárez welcome all the attendees, making a presentation of the project and its objectives and the future collaboration of the organisations through their participation in the sport event.
- 2. <u>Short presentations</u>: The different organisations made a presentation of their organisations, their activities and their work in Europe between 5 and 10 minutes long. Salvador Angosto moderated the participation of the organisations according to the order established in the initial agenda.
- 3. <u>Project structure and work packages</u>: Salvador Angosto gave a brief presentation of the general information on the project, objectives, audience groups, activities and work programme. He then went into more detail on the structure of each work package of the project.
- 4. <u>International congress/Workshop</u>: Guillermo Felipe López Sánchez gave a brief presentation of the structure of the congress and the workshops that comprise it to the attendees and the initial proposal of different presentations and workshops.
- 5. Project budget and financial issues: María Ángeles Martínez Cegarra, technician in charge of the UMU project, gave a presentation on administrative, management and financial issues. The role of the organisations as associate members of the consortium, which was not entitled to a grant according to the Erasmus+ programme regulations, was highlighted and it was urged that the beneficiary would be responsible with the budget to cover all costs associated with the travel, accommodation and meals of the delegations during their attendance and participation in the programme activities.

- 6. **Open discussion**: Once the presentations were finished, a space was opened to resolve questions and doubts from the organisations. The following questions were asked:
 - a) Petra Thaller (OAC) commented that it was discriminatory to talk about women as a target group and not about families, as there are cultures such as the Moroccan one where women are in the background and parents often do not allow girls to participate freely if they are not there watching over them.

Response: It was indicated that the intention of the University of Murcia is to promote female participation in sport as there are certain cultures, such as the Moroccan one, where women are left in the background and the intention of the project is to promote the participation of ethnic minorities together with the importance of physical activity for people with cancer and physical activity in the family.

- b) Rui Vieira (SEA) asked about gender equality in the event delegations.
 - **Response**: He was advised that delegations should be composed of 50% men and 50% women.
- c) Enes Dermitas (Spor Istanbul) asked about the number of people and volunteers that could be taken.
 - **Response**: It was indicated that initially the delegations will consist of 11-12 members according to the initial budget. It was also stated that depending on the budget adjustments that may occur, we will try to bring as many members as possible from each delegation.
- d) Rui Vieria (SEA) asked about information sharing and what costs "subsistence" referred to.

Response: It was noted that a folder would be created on Google Drive to share all the information. Subsistence costs were specified to be meals.

4. Meeting quantitative evaluation

- 1. Please make your evaluation on the components in the column below. Mark with the sign "x" a suitable column
 - 1- Completely dissatisfied
 - 2- Somewhat dissatisfied
 - 3- Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
 - 4- Somewhat satisfied
 - 5- Very satisfied


Items	Score
The clarity and understandability of the topics presented on the kickoff	4.5
meeting.	4,3
The quality and clarity of project presentation.	
Presentation skills of the speakers.	
The quality of the response to questions of participants.	
General evaluation of the kick off meeting	


- 2. How satisfied are you with the location of the kick off meeting?
 - 1- Completely dissatisfied
 - 2- Somewhat dissatisfied
 - 3- Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
 - 4- Somewhat satisfied
 - 5- Very satisfied

Item	Score	
Adequate connectivity.	4,5	
Sound accuracy.	4	
Quality of image.	5	

5. Kick of meeting photos







Sport4Cancer

Erasmus+ 2022

Project ID: 101050018



Co-funded by the European Union



