Conocimiento y opinión de los estudiantes de Odontología sobre la infección de COVID-19: un estudio multicéntrico.

Dental Students' opinion and knowledge about COVID-19 infection: A multicentric study.

Carmen Martín Carreras-Presas¹, Cintia Micaela Chamorro-Petronacci^{2*}, Emilio Pintor-Holguin³, Bruno Baracco⁴, Antonio López-Sánchez⁵, Enric Jané Salas⁶, María Luisa Somacarrera-Perez⁷ y Mario Pérez-Sayáns⁸

- 1 Facultad de Ciencias Biomédicas. Departamento de Odontología. Universidad Europea de Madrid; <u>carmen.martin2@universidadeuropea.es</u>; 0000-0002-0937-0994.
- 2 Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Santiago de Compostela (IDIS) (Grupo ORALRES); <u>cintiamica.chamo@yahoo.es</u>; 0000-0002-5637-9908.
- 3 Facultad de Ciencias Biomédicas. Departamento de Odontología; <u>emilio.pintor@universidadeuropea.es</u>; 0000-0001-8554-6974.
- 4 Facultad de Odontología. Universidad Rey Juan Carlos; bruno.baracco@urjc.es; 0000-0003-4398-5957.
- 5 Facultad de Odontología. Universidad Rey Juan Carlos; <u>antonio.lopez@urjc.es</u>; 0000-0002-9468-6933.
- 6 Facultad de Odontología. Universidad de Barcelona; <u>enricjanesalas@ub.edu</u>; 0000-0002-3574-4603
- 7 Facultad de Ciencias Biomédicas. Departamento de Odontología. Universidad Europea de Madrid; mluisa.somacarrera@gmail.com; 0000-0003-3408-4671
- 8 Oral Medicine Unit (MedOralRes Group). Faculty of Dentistry, University of Santiago de Compostela. Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Santiago de Compostela (Grupo ORALRES) (IDIS);<u>mario.perez@usc.es</u>; 0000-0003-2196-9868

* Correspondencia a cintiamica.chamo@yahoo.es

Recibido: 7/9/2021; Aceptado: 18/11/2021; Publicado: 25/11/2021

Resumen: El propósito de este estudio fue determinar el conocimiento de los estudiantes de odontología sobre la enfermedad COVID-19 durante la primera ola de la pandemia del SARS-CoV-2. Para ello, realizamos una encuesta transversal anónima, mediante cuestionarios autoadministrados, entre estudiantes de odontología de 4 universidades españolas para evaluar su percepción y conocimiento del COVID-19 entre el 6 y el 15 de abril de 2020. Se recibieron un total de 890 respuestas válidas durante 9 días. La mayoría de los estudiantes, 616 (70,3%), utilizaron múltiples fuentes de información. Sin embargo, 542 (60,9%) creían que las fuentes más fiables eran sitios oficiales como el de la OMS. Casi el 60% (523) creyó haber recibido información insuficiente de su institución. La nacionalidad resultó ser un factor relacionado con la aptitud para el conocimiento. Así, el 39,9% de los encuestados en la Europa ibérica suspendieron la prueba de conocimiento, frente al 20% en América del Norte o el 10% en Europa del Este (X2 = 24.503, p = 0,006). La nacionalidad ibérica europea implica un riesgo (OR) de no superar la prueba de conocimientos de 1.601 (IC 95% 1,008-2,544, p = 0,046). En relación al género, el 69,1% de las mujeres superan la prueba frente al 30,9% de los hombres. (X2 = 18.163, V Cramer = 0.144, p <0.001. Nuestros resultados muestran que los estudiantes de odontología encuestados carecían del conocimiento sobre los síntomas, las vías de infección, la mortalidad y las medidas de protección de la enfermedad COVID-19 durante abril de 2020. Programas educativos específicos sobre la prevención y protección frente al SARS-CoV-2 deberían potencialmente implementarse en el currículo dental a nivel mundial.

Palabras clave: estudiantes de odontología; COVID-19; educación; infección por SARS-CoV-2.

Abstract: The purpose of this study was to determine dental students' knowledge of COVID-19 disease during the first wave of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. An anonymous cross-sectional survey,

using self-administered questionnaires, was conducted among dental students from 4 Spanish Universities to assess their perception and knowledge of COVID-19 between April 6 and April 15, 2020. A total of 890 valid responses were received over 9 days. Most students, 616 (70.3%), used multiple sources of information. However, 542 (60.9%) believed that the most reliable sources were official sites such as the WHO's. Almost 60% (523) believed that they have received insufficient information from their institution. Nationality resulted as a factor related to knowledge aptitude. Thus, 39.9% of respondents in Iberian Europe failed, compared to 20% in North America or 10% in Eastern Europe (X2=24,503, p=0,006). European Iberian nationality implies a risk (OR) of not passing the knowledge test of 1.601 (CI 95% 1.008- 2.544, p=0.046). In relation to gender, 69.1% of women pass the test compared to 30.9% of men. (X2=18.163, V Cramer=0.144, p<0.001. Our results show that surveyed dental students lacked the knowledge regarding symptoms, routes of infection, mortality, and protective measures of COVID-19 disease during April 2020. Specific educational programs on SARS-CoV-2 prevention and protection should potentially be implemented in the dental curriculum worldwide.

Keywords: Dental students; COVID-19; education; SARS-CoV-2 infection.

1. Introduction

The coronavirus pandemic that began in China at the end of 2019, claimed its first confirmed death in Spain at the end of January 2020, where to date more than 4.600.000 deaths have been recorded (1). The manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) infection described in the literature range from mild symptoms like runny nose, fever, dry cough, diarrhea, oral lesions, to the risk of developing serious and life-threatening conditions, such as severe acute respiratory syndrome (2). Recent data revealed that also symptoms as fatigue, hair loss or dysnea, neurological and cardiovascular problems may be long term consequences after COVID-19 (long- COVID) (3,4).

Spain has been severely affected by the COVID-19 outbreak and the majority of dental clinics and university clinics remained closed during the lockdown period between March and June 2020 and only attended emergencies if the entity had the necessary protective measures in place. There was also a national shortage of serological testing for both patients and medical professionals. During this time, both teachers in all dental schools worldwide and dental students had to adapt abruptly to online teaching and training (5).

SARS-CoV-2 transmission occur by exposure to infectious respiratory fluids. Dentists, as well as dental students, and other health care providers are exposed to aerosols and thus are considered to be at a higher risk than other occupational groups (6). The students' basic understanding of COVID-19 disease will be mandatory not only for achieving safe in person-classes education but also because it is a new entity end it will take part of their profession knowledge.

The aim of our study was to investigate the degree of knowledge (symptoms, routes of infection, mortality, and protective measures of COVID-19 disease) and perceptions of COVID-19 disease held by dental students during the first wave of the pandemic, and where they obtained their information from as a primary source. To this end, We designed a survey in order to obtain quantitative information on students' overall knowledge and perceptions regarding SARS-CoV-2 first outbreak between April the 6th and April the 15th, 2020, during the lockdown period in Spain.

2. Methods

2.1 Study design

This cross-sectional study was carried out at the Faculty of Dentistry of the European University of Madrid (UEM) following the recommendations of the STROBE guide for observational studies (7). Subsequent to approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the European University of Madrid (UEM), internal code # CIPI/20/108, all dental students from the 4 base dental schools, European University of Madrid (UEM), Santiago de Compostela (USC), Rey Juan Carlos (URJC) and Barcelona (UB) were approached personally and directed to a secure website where the survey could be completed anonymously and students were informed that their responses were entirely confidential.

In parallel and through student associations, professional associations, academic leagues, course delegates and scientific collaborations of the groups led by the authors, the survey was distributed to other Spanish, Brazilian and Portuguese universities. The survey was launched during the 1st wave of the SARS CoV-2 pandemic, between the 6th and the 15th of April 2020. A total number of 890 dental students volunteered to participate and successfully completed the administered survey. The response rate upon the 4 base universities was 40.6%.

2.2 Questionnaire preparation

The survey included questions which combined Likert Scale questionnaire items, rank the choices style questions, with answer choices including and standard multiple-choice questions, followed by answer choices, "Yes, No, Maybe, and Unsure". The questionnaire consisted of closed questions, with several options, single and/or multiple answers without free format questions.

Validation of the instrument

A pilot survey was tested on a sample of 50 randomly selected students to assess reliability, completeness and time to implement. The average time to complete the survey was 8 minutes. After that, these 50 pilot cases were discarded and the final sample was collected. Cronbach's Alpha test was used to determine the final reliability of the sample. Stratification in thematic blocks was used and the average value for this questionnaire was 0.75. The data allowed us to ensure moderate reliability.

2.3 *Questionnaire description*

We designed a self-applied 18-item survey, through Google Forms application (Google, Madrid, Spain). It was written both in Spanish and in English language. The survey was sent directly to the email of all the students of the 4 base Universities, according to the indications of the UE regarding the fulfillment of the Protection Data Spanish Law Document. In addition, the following were used for national and international dissemination: web pages, social networks (Instagram and Facebook) and digital communication applications (Whatsapp, Telegram and Snapchat). The designed survey was structured in six sections: (1) Sociodemographic data (age, gender, nationality), (2) University profile (University of origin, academic year), (3) Sources of information (use, assessment, perception), (4) General knowledge and perceptions (semiology, infection routes, mortality and treatment), (5) Assessment of knowledge (evaluating the mastery of the fields: symptoms, infection routes, mortality and protective measures).

2.4 Sample size

This survey was promoted and launched from 4 Spanish Universities where the total number of students is 1494. The number of dental students at European University of Madrid is 1000. Universidad of Santiago has 150 students. The number of dental students from Universidad Rey Juan Carlos is 110. The total number of dental students of Barcelona University is 234. A total of 890 dental students volunteered to participate and successfully completed the administered survey. Three hundred and seventy-one (42.2%) of the students were from the European University of Madrid (UEM), 98 (11.2%) students were from University of Barcelona (UB), 127 (14.3%) belonged to Santiago de Compostela University and 13 (1.5%) students were enrolled at Rey Juan Carlos University of Madrid (URJC). If we consider the total number of available students at the 4 bases universities (1494), the response rate was 40.6%. The rest of the sample 234 (30.5%) was composed by dental students from different universities, the majority of them from University of South America 157 (17.9%) (Table 1).

2.5 Statistical analysis

The data was collected in a specifically designed database and entered manually with repeated control to avoid errors. They were statistically analyzed using SPSS v.24.0 (IBM, Statistics, NY, USA). Categorical variables were described by frequencies and percentages and quantitative variables by means and standard deviation. Contingency tables were established to study the relationships between the categorical variables through the chi-square test and the degree of dependence through Cramer's V. The ANOVA test was used to compare knowledge with the demographic and educational variables for comparison of means. Binomial logistic regression analysis was performed to verify the risk of not passing the knowledge test. The significance level was set to $p \le 0.05$.

Variable	N (%)	Variable	N (%)
Gender	233 (26.2)	Do you think your University has given you enough information about	
Female	657 (73.8)	this issue? Yes No	360 (40.4) 530 (59.6)
Age (Years)		Would you like to learn more about	
18-21	264 (29.7)	COVID-19?	
22-25	475 (53.4)		
26-30	102 (11.5)	Yes	746 (83.8)
31-35	25 (2.8)	No	144 (16.2)
36-40	11 (1.2)		
41-45	6 (0.7)		
More than 45	7 (0.8)		
Nationality		Most of the people infected by	
South American	189 (21.1)	COVID-19 will develop a	
North American	10 (1.1)		
African	4 (0.4)	.Mild disease	617 (69.3)
Iberian European	22 (2.5)	.Moderate disease	223 (25.1)
Atlantic European	374 (42)	.Severe disease	45 (5.1)
Central European	148 (16.6)	Unsure	5 (0.6)
Mediterranean European	27 (3)		
Oriental European	77 (8.7)		
Asian	10 (1.1)		

Table 1. Socio-demographic data of students.

Island American	28 (3.1)		
Oceanian	1 (0.1)		
University		If you suspected you had COVID-19.	
América del Sur	159 (17.9)	What would you do first?	
Portugal	25 (2.8)		
CEU	4 (0.4)	Expectant (Wait and see)	268 (30.1)
Complutense	9 (1)	Go to Primary care	24 (2.7)
ŪPV	30 (3.4)	Go to Hospital	44 (4.9)
Sevilla	17 (1.9)	Call 112 Emergency Dept.	552 (62)
Granada	20 (2.2)	Unsure	2 (0.2)
Comillas	2 (0.2)		
UMU	4 (0.4)		
URJC	13 (1.5)		
USC	127 (14.3)		
UEM	377 (42.4)		
UB	100 (11.2)		
UV	2 (0.2)		
Zaragoza	1 (0.1)		
Grade Year		In patients infected by COVID-19	
1st	75 (8.4)	therapy would be based on	
2nd	127 (14.3)	Antibiotics	17 (1.9)
3rd	189 (21.2)	Antiviral Agents	202 (22.7)
4th	242 (27.2)	Supportive measures	322 (36.2)
5th	257 (28.9)	A combination of previous measures	340 (38.2)
		Unsure	9 (1)
Sources of information		Mortality associated to COVID-19 is	
Internet/Social Media	159 (17.9)	estimated to be	
Newspapers	51 (5.7)	< 5%	487 (54.7)
WHO official website	54 (6.1)	5-25%	382 (42.9)
Multiple	626 (70.3)	25-50%	10 (1.1)
		> 50%	11 (1.2)

3. Results

A total of 890 dental students volunteered to participate and successfully completed the administered survey. The sample consisted of 233 men (26.2%) and 657 women (73.8%). In relation to the age group, 469 (53.5%) students were between 22-25 years old, followed by the 18-21 years old group with 258 (29.7%.) participants. In terms of nationality, Iberian European accounted for 42% of the sample (368), followed by South American 21.2% (187) and Atlantic European 16.8% (147), with much lower participation from Central Europe, Mediterranean, Eastern Europe, Asia, North America, Africa, Island American and Oceania. Sources information is clearly represented in figure 1. In Table 1 socio-demographic data is summarized.

Regarding thematic blocks (Table 2), almost 70% (617) of the students considered that most people will develop mild manifestations. In the case of a suspicion of contagion, 542 (62%) would call the emergency services (112), although 266 (30.1%) would maintain an expectant attitude. Some of them 340 (38.2%) considered that the therapeutic approach is a combined one (antibiotics, antivirals and support measures) although there is a diversity of opinions. The 54.7% (487) know data about the mortality of the disease is considered to be less than 5%. Although there is a clear lack of knowledge about the disease, 143 (16.2%) students were not willing to learn more about Covid-19 disease.



Sources reliability



Variable	Total (N=890)	Passed	Failed	p-value
		(N=551)	(N=326)	
Sex				
Male	233 (26.2%)	170 (30.9%)	58 (17.8%)	< 0.001
Female	657 (73.8%)	381 (69.1%)	268 (82.2%)	< 0.001
Nationality				
South American	187 (21.3%)	114 (20.7%)	73 (22.4%)	0.006
North American	10 (1.1%)	2 (0.6%)	8 (1.5%)	0.006
African	22 (2.5%)	9 (1.6%)	13 (4%)	0.006
Iberian European	368 (42%)	221 (40.1%)	147 (45%)	0.006
Atlantic European	147 (16.8%)	105 (19.1%)	42 (12.9%)	0.006
Central European	26 (3%)	17 (3.1%)	9 (2.8%)	0.006
Mediterranean European	75 (8.6%)	54 (9.8)	21 (6.4%)	0.006
Oriental European	10 (1.1%)	9 (1.6%)	1 (0.3%)	0.006
Asian	27 (3.1%)	13 (2.4%)	14 (4.3%)	0.006
Island American	4 (0.5%)	1 (0.2%)	3 (0.9%)	0.006
Oceanian	1 (0.1%)	0	1 (0.3%)	0.006
Age Range				
18-21	258 (29.4%)	147 (26.7%)	111 (34%)	0.257
22-25	469 (53.5%)	299 (54.3%)	170 (52.1%)	0.257
26-30	102 (11.6%)	71 (12.9%)	31 (9.5%)	0.257
31-35	24 (2.7%)	18 (3.3%)	6 (1.8%)	0.257
36-40	11 (1.3%)	7 (1.3%)	4 (1.2%)	0.257
41-45	6 (0.7%)	4 (0.7%)	2 (0.6%)	0.257
More than 45	7 (0.8%)	5 (0.9%)	2 (0.6%)	0.257
University				

 Table 2. Summary of survey results and significance

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
Portugal 25 (2.9%) 14 (2.5%) 11 (3.4%) 0.161 CEU 4 (0.5%) 1 (0.2%) 3 (0.9%) 0.161 Complutense 9 (1%) 7 (1.3%) 2 (0.6%) 0.161 UPV 30 (3.4%) 15 (2.7) 15 (4.6%) 0.161 Granada 20 (2.3%) 8 (1.5%) 12 (3.7%) 0.161 Comillas 2 (0.2%) 1 (0.2%) 1 (0.3%) 0.161 URC 13 (1.5%) 11 (2%) 2 (0.6%) 0.161 USC 125 (14.3%) 75 (13.6%) 50 (15.3%) 0.161 US 235 (0.2%) 2 (0.4%) 0 0.161 UV 2 (0.2%) 2 (0.4%) 30 (9.2%) 0.265 2nd 125 (14.3%) 74 (13.4%) 51 (15.6%) 0.265 2nd 125 (14.3%) 74 (13.4%) 51 (15.6%) 0.265 2nd 125 (14.3%) 74 (13.4%) 51 (15.6%) 0.265 2nd 125 (17.8%) 110 (20%) 75 (23%) 0.265	América del Sur	157 (17.9%)	95 (17.2%)	62 (19%)	0.161
CEÚ 4 (0.5%) 1 (0.2%) 3 (0.9%) 0.161 Complutense 9 (1%) 7 (1.3%) 2 (0.6%) 0.161 UPV 30 (3.4%) 15 (2.7) 15 (4.6%) 0.161 Sevilla 16 (1.8%) 10 (1.8%) 6 (1.8%) 0.161 Cranada 20 (2.3%) 8 (1.5%) 12 (3.7%) 0.161 UMU 4 (0.5%) 3 (0.5%) 1 (0.3%) 0.161 UMU 4 (0.5%) 3 (0.5%) 1 (0.3%) 0.161 USC 125 (14.3%) 75 (13.6%) 50 (15.3%) 0.161 UB 98 (11.2%) 24 (44.6%) 125 (38.3%) 0.161 UV 2 (0.2%) 2 (0.4%) 0 0.161 Caragoza 1 (0.1%) 0 1 (0.3%) 0.265 3rd 185 (21.2%) 110 (20%) 75 (23%) 0.265 Sources of Information 1160 (29%) 95 (29.1) 0.265 Sth 235 (29.1%) 160 (29%) 25 (59.1) 0.265 Sth	Portugal	25 (2.9%)	14 (2.5%)	11 (3.4%)	0.161
Complutense 9 (1%) 7 (1.3%) 2 (0.6%) 0.161 UPV 30 (3.4%) 15 (2.7) 15 (4.6%) 0.161 Granada 20 (2.3%) 8 (1.5%) 12 (3.7%) 0.161 Comillas 2 (0.2%) 1 (0.2%) 1 (0.3%) 0.161 UMU 4 (0.5%) 3 (0.5%) 1 (0.3%) 0.161 URC 125 (14.3%) 75 (13.6%) 50 (15.3%) 0.161 USC 125 (14.3%) 75 (13.6%) 50 (15.3%) 0.161 UB 98 (11.2%) 246 (44.6%) 125 (33.3%) 0.161 UV 2 (0.2%) 2 (0.4%) 0 0 10.61 Zaragoza 1 (0.1%) 0 1 (0.3%) 0.265 2.04 0 0 2.02 1st 74 (8.4%) 44 (8%) 30 (9.2%) 0.265 2.02 2.02 0 0 2.02 0 2.02 0 2.02 0 2.02 0 2.02 0.265 2.02 1.02 0 2.02<	CEU	4 (0.5%)	1 (0.2%)	3 (0.9%)	0.161
ÚPV 30 (3.4%) 15 (2.7) 15 (4.6%) 0.161 Sevilla 16 (1.8%) 10 (1.8%) 6 (1.8%) 0.161 Granada 20 (2.2%) 8 (1.5%) 11 (0.3%) 0.161 UMU 4 (0.5%) 3 (0.5%) 1 (0.3%) 0.161 UMU 4 (0.5%) 3 (0.5%) 1 (0.3%) 0.161 USC 125 (14.3%) 75 (13.6%) 50 (15.3%) 0.161 USC 125 (14.3%) 75 (13.6%) 35 (10.7%) 0.161 UW 2 (0.2%) 2 (0.4%) 0 0.161 UV 2 (0.2%) 2 (0.4%) 0 0.161 Zaragoza 1 (0.1%) 0 10.3%) 0.265 2nd 125 (14.3%) 74 (13.4%) 51 (15.6%) 0.265 5th	Complutense	9 (1%)	7 (1.3%)	2 (0.6%)	0.161
Sevilla 16 (1.8%) 10 (1.8%) 6 (1.8%) 0.161 Granada 20 (2.3%) 8 (1.5%) 12 (3.7%) 0.161 Comillas 20 (2.3%) 1 (0.2%) 1 (0.3%) 0.161 UMU 4 (0.5%) 3 (0.5%) 1 (0.3%) 0.161 URIC 13 (1.5%) 11 (2%) 2 (0.6%) 0.161 USC 125 (14.3%) 75 (13.6%) 50 (15.3%) 0.161 UB 98 (11.2%) 63 (11.4%) 35 (10.7%) 0.161 UV 2 (0.2%) 2 (0.4%) 0 0.161 Zaragoza 1 (0.1%) 0 1 (0.3%) 0.161 Grade Year - - - - 1st 74 (8.4%) 44 (8%) 30 (9.2%) 0.265 2nd 125 (14.3%) 74 (13.4%) 51 (15.6%) 0.265 3rd 185 (21.2%) 110 (20%) 75 (23%) 0.265 4th 238 (27.1%) 13 (5.6%) 20 (6.1%) 0.134 Newspapers <td5< td=""><td>UPV</td><td>30 (3.4%)</td><td>15 (2.7)</td><td>15 (4.6%)</td><td>0.161</td></td5<>	UPV	30 (3.4%)	15 (2.7)	15 (4.6%)	0.161
	Sevilla	16 (1.8%)	10 (1.8%)	6 (1.8%)	0.161
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Comillas & 2 (0.2\%) & 1 (0.2\%) & 1 (0.3\%) & 0.161 \\ UMU & 4 (0.5\%) & 3 (0.5\%) & 1 (0.3\%) & 0.161 \\ URJC & 13 (1.5\%) & 11 (2\%) & 2 (0.6\%) & 0.161 \\ USC & 125 (14.3\%) & 75 (13.6\%) & 50 (15.3\%) & 0.161 \\ UEM & 371 (42.4\%) & 246 (44.6\%) & 125 (38.3\%) & 0.161 \\ UB & 98 (11.2\%) & 63 (11.4\%) & 35 (10.7\%) & 0.161 \\ UV & 2 (0.2\%) & 2 (0.4\%) & 0 & 0.161 \\ \hline UV & 2 (0.2\%) & 2 (0.4\%) & 0 & 0.161 \\ \hline Grade Year & & & & & & & \\ 1 1st & 74 (8.4\%) & 44 (8\%) & 30 (9.2\%) & 0.265 \\ 2 nd & 125 (14.3\%) & 74 (13.4\%) & 51 (15.6\%) & 0.265 \\ 3 rd & 185 (21.2\%) & 110 (20\%) & 75 (23\%) & 0.265 \\ 3 rd & 185 (21.2\%) & 160 (29\%) & 95 (29.1) & 0.265 \\ \hline Sources of Information & & & & & \\ Intermet/Social Media & 156 (17.8\%) & 91 (16.5\%) & 56 (19.9\%) & 0.134 \\ WHO oficial website & 54 (6.1\%) & 28 (5.1\%) & 26 (8\%) & 0.134 \\ WHO oficial website & 54 (6.1\%) & 28 (5.1\%) & 24 (7.4\%) & 0.478 \\ Newspapers & 48 (5.4\%) & 26 (6.5\%) & 24 (7.4\%) & 0.478 \\ Multiple & 616 (70.3\%) & 401 (72.8\%) & 19 (0.1\%) & 0.478 \\ Multiple & 542 (6.0\%) & 335 (60.8\%) & 19 0 (61\%) & 0.478 \\ Multiple & 542 (60.9\%) & 335 (60.8\%) & 190 (61\%) & 0.478 \\ Multiple & 542 (60.9\%) & 323 (58.6\%) & 100 (61\%) & 0.478 \\ Multiple & 542 (60.9\%) & 323 (58.6\%) & 200 (61.3\%) & 0.426 \\ No & 523 (59.6\%) & 323 (58.6\%) & 200 (61.3\%) & 0.426 \\ No & 143 (16.3\%) & 98 (17.3\%) & 45 (13.8\%) & 0.123 \\ I you suspected you had rough information about this issue? & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Granada	20 (2.3%)	8 (1.5%)	12 (3.7%)	0.161
Lumu $4 (0.5\%)$ $3 (0.5\%)$ $1 (0.3\%)$ 0.161 URIC $13 (1.5\%)$ $11 (2\%)$ $2 (0.6\%)$ 0.161 USC $125 (14.3\%)$ $75 (13.6\%)$ $50 (15.3\%)$ 0.161 UEM $37 (42.4\%)$ $246 (44.6\%)$ $125 (88.3\%)$ 0.161 UW $2 (0.2\%)$ $2 (0.4\%)$ 0 0 0.161 UV $2 (0.2\%)$ $2 (0.4\%)$ 0 0 0.161 Zaragoza $1 (0.1\%)$ 0 $1 (0.3\%)$ 0.161 Grade Year $-74 (13.4\%)$ $74 (13.4\%)$ $51 (15.6\%)$ 0.265 2nd $125 (14.3\%)$ $74 (13.4\%)$ $51 (15.6\%)$ 0.265 3rd $185 (21.2\%)$ $110 (29\%)$ $95 (29.1)$ 0.265 $3rd$ $185 (21.2\%)$ $110 (29\%)$ $95 (29.1)$ 0.265 $5th$ $225 (29.1\%)$ $163 (29.6\%)$ $75 (23\%)$ 0.265 $5th$ $255 (29.1\%)$ $163 (29.\%)$ $95 (29.1)$ 0.265 $5th$ $255 (29.1\%)$ $163 (29\%)$ $95 (29.1)$ 0.265 $5th$ $255 (29.1\%)$ $163 (29\%)$ $95 (29.1)$ 0.265 $5th$ $255 (29.1\%)$ $163 (29\%)$ $20 (6.1\%)$ 0.134 Newspapers $51 (15.7\%)$ $31 (5.6\%)$ $20 (6.1\%)$ 0.134 Multiple $54 (16.9\%)$ $28 (5.1\%)$ $26 (5.\%)$ 0.134 Multiple $239 (26.9\%)$ $154 (27.\%)$ 0.478 Newspapers $48 (5.4\%)$ $22 (6.7\%)$ 0.478 Multiple $239 (26.9\%)$ $23 (38.6\%)$	Comillas	2 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.3%)	0.161
URJC 13 (1.5%) 11 (2%) 2 (0.6%) 0.161 USC 125 (14.3%) 75 (13.6%) 50 (15.3%) 0.161 UEM 371 (42.4%) 246 (44.6%) 125 (38.3%) 0.161 UB 98 (11.2%) 2 (0.4%) 0 0.161 Zaragoza 1 (0.1%) 0 1 (0.3%) 0.161 Zaragoza 1 (0.1%) 0 1 (0.3%) 0.265 2nd 125 (14.3%) 74 (13.4%) 51 (15.6%) 0.265 3rd 125 (14.3%) 74 (13.4%) 51 (15.6%) 0.265 3rd 125 (12.3%) 110 (20%) 75 (23%) 0.265 4th 238 (27.1%) 163 (29.6%) 75 (23%) 0.265 5th 225 (29.1%) 160 (29%) 29 (29.1) 0.265 Sources of Information Internet/Social Media 156 (17.8%) 91 (16.5%) 65 (19.9%) 0.134 MUHo oficial website 54 (6.1%) 28 (5.1%) 215 (6%) 0.134 Multiple 61 (6.9%) 36 (6.5%)	UMU	4 (0.5%)	3 (0.5%)	1 (0.3%)	0.161
USC125 (14.3%)75 (13.6%)50 (15.3%)0.161UEM371 (42.4%)246 (44.6%)125 (38.3%)0.161UB98 (11.2%)63 (11.4%)35 (10.7%)0.161UV2 (0.2%)2 (0.4%)000.161Zaragoza1 (0.1%)01 (0.3%)0.161Grade Year1st74 (8.4%)44 (8%)30 (9.2%)0.2652nd125 (14.3%)74 (13.4%)51 (15.6%)0.2653rd185 (21.2%)110 (20%)75 (23%)0.2655th255 (29.1%)160 (29%)95 (29.1)0.265Sources of InformationInternet/Social Media156 (17.8%)91 (16.5%)26 (8%)0.134Newspapers51 (6.7%)31 (5.6%)20 (6.1%)0.134Multiple616 (70.3%)401 (72.8%)215 (6%)0.134Multiple542 (60.9%)335 (60.8%)199 (61%)0.478Newspapers48 (5.4%)26 (4.7%)22 (6.7%)0.478WHO oficial website542 (60.9%)335 (60.8%)199 (61%)0.478Multiple239 (26.9%)154 (27.9%)81 (24.8%)0.478Multiple523 (59.6%)323 (58.6%)200 (61.3%)0.426No523 (59.6%)323 (58.6%)200 (61.3%)0.426No523 (59.6%)323 (58.6%)220 (61.3%)0.426No143 (16.3%)98 (17.8%)45 (13.8%)0.123	URIC	13 (1.5%)	11 (2%)	2 (0.6%)	0.161
UEM 122 (12.4%) 246 (44.6%) 125 (33.3%) 0.161 UB 98 (11.2%) 63 (11.4%) 35 (10.7%) 0.161 UV 2 (0.2%) 2 (0.4%) 0 0.161 Zaragoza 1 (0.1%) 0 1 (0.3%) 0.161 Grade Year - - - - Ist 74 (8.4%) 44 (8%) 30 (9.2%) 0.265 2nd 125 (14.3%) 74 (13.4%) 51 (15.6%) 0.265 3rd 185 (21.2%) 110 (20%) 75 (23%) 0.265 5th 235 (27.1%) 163 (29.6%) 75 (23%) 0.265 5th 255 (29.1%) 160 (29%) 95 (29.1) 0.265 Sources of Information - - - - Internet/Social Media 156 (17.8%) 21 (5.6%) 20 (6.1%) 0.134 WHO oficial website 544 (6.1%) 26 (5.1%) 215 (6%) 0.134 Multiple 616 (70.3%) 401 (72.8%) 216 (6%) 0.478	USC	125 (14.3%)	75 (13.6%)	50 (15.3%)	0 161
UBUB $98 (11.2\%)$ $135 (10.7\%)$ 0.161 UV $2 (0.2\%)$ $2 (0.4\%)$ 0 0.161 Zaragoza $1 (0.1\%)$ 0 $1 (0.3\%)$ 0.161 Grade Year 0 $1 (0.3\%)$ 0.161 Ist $74 (8.4\%)$ $44 (8\%)$ $30 (9.2\%)$ 0.265 $2nd$ $125 (14.3\%)$ $74 (13.4\%)$ $51 (15.6\%)$ 0.265 $3rd$ $185 (21.2\%)$ $110 (20\%)$ $75 (23\%)$ 0.265 $4th$ $238 (27.1\%)$ $163 (29.6\%)$ $75 (23\%)$ 0.265 $5th$ $225 (29.1\%)$ $160 (29\%)$ $95 (29.1)$ 0.265 Sources of Information $160 (29\%)$ $95 (29.1)$ 0.265 Internet/Social Media $156 (17.8\%)$ $91 (16.5\%)$ $65 (19.9\%)$ 0.134 WHO oficial website $54 (6.1\%)$ $28 (5.1\%)$ $20 (6.1\%)$ 0.134 Multiple $616 (70.3\%)$ $40 (72.8\%)$ $215 (6\%)$ 0.134 Newspapers $48 (5.4\%)$ $26 (4.7\%)$ $22 (6.7\%)$ 0.478 WHO oficial website $542 (60.9\%)$ $335 (60.8\%)$ $199 (61\%)$ 0.478 Multiple $239 (26.9\%)$ $154 (27.9\%)$ $81 (24.8\%)$ 0.426 No $523 (59.6\%)$ $323 (58.6\%)$ $200 (61.3\%)$ 0.426 No $523 (59.6\%)$ $323 (58.6\%)$ $200 (61.3\%)$ 0.426 No $143 (16.3\%)$ $98 (17.8\%)$ $45 (13.8\%)$ 0.123 If you suspected you had $COVID-19$ C C C No $143 (16.3\%)$	UEM	371 (42.4%)	246 (44 6%)	125 (38.3%)	0 161
UV $2 (0.2\%)$ $2 (0.4\%)$ $0 (0)$ 0.161 Zaragoza $1 (0.1\%)$ 0 $1 (0.3\%)$ 0.161 Grade Year1st $74 (8.4\%)$ $44 (8\%)$ $30 (9.2\%)$ 0.265 $2nd$ $125 (14.3\%)$ $74 (13.4\%)$ $51 (15.6\%)$ 0.265 $3rd$ $185 (21.2\%)$ $110 (20\%)$ $75 (23\%)$ 0.265 $4th$ $238 (27.1\%)$ $160 (29\%)$ $95 (29.1)$ 0.265 $5th$ $225 (29.1\%)$ $160 (29\%)$ $95 (29.1)$ 0.265 Sources of InformationInternet/Social Media $156 (17.8\%)$ $91 (16.5\%)$ $65 (19.9\%)$ 0.134 Newspapers $51 (5.7\%)$ $31 (5.6\%)$ $20 (6.1\%)$ 0.134 WHO oficial website $54 (6.1\%)$ $28 (5.1\%)$ $22 (6.7\%)$ 0.478 Multiple $616 (70.3\%)$ $401 (72.8\%)$ $215 (6\%)$ 0.478 Newspapers $48 (5.4\%)$ $26 (4.7\%)$ $22 (6.7\%)$ 0.478 WHO oficial website $542 (60.9\%)$ $335 (60.8\%)$ $199 (61\%)$ 0.478 Multiple $239 (26.9\%)$ $154 (27.9\%)$ $81 (24.8\%)$ 0.478 Do you think yourUniversity has given youenough information No $523 (59.6\%)$ $323 (58.6\%)$ $200 (61.3\%)$ 0.426 No143 (16.3\%) $98 (17.8\%)$ $45 (13.8\%)$ 0.123 No <td>UB</td> <td>98 (11 2%)</td> <td>63 (11.4%)</td> <td>35 (10.7%)</td> <td>0.161</td>	UB	98 (11 2%)	63 (11.4%)	35 (10.7%)	0.161
Zaragoza 1 (0.1%) 0 1 (0.3%) 0.161 Grade Year 1 0 1 (0.3%) 0.161 Ist 74 (8.4%) 44 (8%) 30 (9.2%) 0.265 2nd 125 (14.3%) 74 (13.4%) 51 (15.6%) 0.265 3rd 185 (21.2%) 110 (20%) 75 (23%) 0.265 4th 238 (27.1%) 163 (29.6%) 75 (23%) 0.265 5th 255 (29.1%) 160 (29%) 95 (29.1) 0.265 Sources of Information		2 (0.2%)	2(0.4%)	0	0.161
Langeba $1 (0.1\%)$ 0 $1 (0.5\%)$ 0.10° Grade Year 1st $74 (8.4\%)$ $44 (8\%)$ $30 (9.2\%)$ 0.265 $2nd$ $125 (14.3\%)$ $74 (13.4\%)$ $51 (15.6\%)$ 0.265 $3rd$ $125 (14.3\%)$ $74 (13.4\%)$ $51 (15.6\%)$ 0.265 $3rd$ $125 (12.2\%)$ $110 (20\%)$ $75 (23\%)$ 0.265 $5th$ $225 (29.1\%)$ $163 (29.6\%)$ $75 (23\%)$ 0.265 Sources of Information Internet/Social Media $156 (17.8\%)$ $91 (16.5\%)$ $65 (19.9\%)$ 0.134 Newspapers $51 (5.7\%)$ $31 (5.6\%)$ $20 (6.1\%)$ 0.134 MUHO oficial website $54 (6.1\%)$ $28 (5.1\%)$ $26 (8\%)$ 0.134 Multiple $61 (6.9\%)$ $36 (6.5\%)$ $24 (7.4\%)$ 0.478 Newspapers $48 (5.4\%)$ $26 (4.7\%)$ $22 (6.7\%)$ 0.478 MHO oficial website $542 (60.9\%)$ $335 (60.8\%)$ $199 (61\%)$ 0.478 Multiple $239 (26.9\%)$	Zaragoza	1 (0.1%)	0	1 (0 3%)	0.161
Onder Rate 74 (8.4%) 44 (8%) 30 (9.2%) 0.265 2nd 125 (14.3%) 74 (13.4%) 51 (15.6%) 0.265 3rd 185 (21.2%) 110 (20%) 75 (23%) 0.265 4th 238 (27.1%) 163 (29.6%) 75 (23%) 0.265 5th 225 (29.1%) 160 (29%) 95 (29.1) 0.265 Sources of Information Internet/Social Media 156 (17.8%) 91 (16.5%) 65 (19.9%) 0.134 Newspapers 51 (5.7%) 31 (5.6%) 20 (6.1%) 0.134 WHO oficial website 54 (6.1%) 28 (5.1%) 26 (8%) 0.134 Sources reliability Internet/Social Media 61 (6.9%) 36 (6.5%) 24 (7.4%) 0.478 Newspapers 48 (26.0%) 326 (6.8%) 199 (61%) 0.478 WHO oficial website 542 (60.9%) 335 (60.8%) 199 (61%) 0.478 WHO oficial website 542 (60.9%) 323 (58.6%) 200 (61.3%) 0.426 Multiple 239 (26.9%) 323 (58.6%) 200 (6	Crade Vear	1 (0.170)	0	1 (0.070)	0.101
Int If (0.16) If (0.3%) If (0.2%) If (0.2%) <thif (0.2%)<="" th=""> <thif (0.2%)<="" th=""> <thif (0.2<="" td=""><td>1et</td><td>74 (8 4%)</td><td>44 (8%)</td><td>30 (9.2%)</td><td>0.265</td></thif></thif></thif>	1et	74 (8 4%)	44 (8%)	30 (9.2%)	0.265
Ard 125 (12.5%) 17 (13.4%) 37 (13.4%) 137	2nd	125 (14 3%)	74(13.4%)	51 (15.6%)	0.265
3.1d 110 (21.2%) 110 (20.%) 75 (23%) 0.205 4th 238 (27.1%) 163 (29.6%) 75 (23%) 0.265 Sources of Information 110 (20.%) 95 (29.1) 0.265 Sources of Information 156 (17.8%) 91 (16.5%) 65 (19.9%) 0.134 Newspapers 51 (5.7%) 31 (5.6%) 20 (6.1%) 0.134 WHO oficial website 54 (6.1%) 28 (5.1%) 26 (8%) 0.134 Multiple 616 (70.3%) 401 (72.8%) 215 (6%) 0.134 Sources reliability 1 1 1.48 (5.4%) 26 (4.7%) 22 (6.7%) 0.478 Newspapers 48 (5.4%) 26 (4.7%) 22 (6.7%) 0.478 Multiple 239 (26.9%) 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 Multiple 239 (26.9%) 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 Multiple 239 (26.9%) 323 (58.6%) 200 (61.3%) 0.426 No 523 (59.6%) 323 (58.6%) 200 (61.3%) 0.426 N	2rd	125 (14.576)	110(20%)	75(23%)	0.265
411 $255 (27.1\%)$ $165 (27.6\%)$ $75 (23\%)$ 0.205 Sources of Information Internet/Social Media $156 (17.8\%)$ $91 (16.5\%)$ $65 (19.9\%)$ 0.134 Newspapers $51 (5.7\%)$ $31 (5.6\%)$ $20 (6.1\%)$ 0.134 WHO oficial website $54 (6.1\%)$ $28 (5.1\%)$ $26 (8\%)$ 0.134 Multiple $616 (70.3\%)$ $401 (72.8\%)$ $215 (6\%)$ 0.134 Sources reliabilityInternet/Social Media $61 (6.9\%)$ $36 (6.5\%)$ $24 (7.4\%)$ 0.478 Newspapers $48 (5.4\%)$ $26 (4.7\%)$ $22 (6.7\%)$ 0.478 WHO oficial website $542 (60.9\%)$ $335 (60.8\%)$ $199 (61\%)$ 0.478 Multiple $239 (26.9\%)$ $154 (27.9\%)$ $81 (24.8\%)$ 0.478 Do you think your University has given you enough information about this issue? $126 (38.7\%)$ 0.426 Yes $354 (40.4\%)$ $228 (41.4\%)$ $126 (38.7\%)$ 0.426 Would you like to learn more about COVID-19? Yes $734 (83.7\%)$ $453 (82.2\%)$ $281 (86.2\%)$ 0.123 If you suspected you had COVID-19. What would you do first? $266 (30.3\%)$ $184 (33.4\%)$ $82 (25.2\%)$ 0.123 Go to Primary care Go to Primary care $266 (30.3\%)$ $184 (33.4\%)$ $82 (25.2\%)$ 0.123 Go to Hospital Coll Hospital $24 (2.7\%)$ $15 (2.7\%)$ $9 (2.8\%)$ 0.123 Department $542 (61.8\%)$ $223 (58.6\%)$ $219 (67.2\%)$ 0.123 Do to Hospital $24 (2.7\%)$ 1	4th	103(21.270)	110(2076) 162(20.6%)	75 (23%)	0.205
Sources of Information Internet/Social Media $156 (17.8\%)$ $91 (16.5\%)$ $65 (19.9\%)$ 0.134 Mewspapers $51 (5.7\%)$ $31 (5.6\%)$ $20 (6.1\%)$ 0.134 WHO oficial website $54 (6.1\%)$ $28 (5.1\%)$ $26 (8\%)$ 0.134 Multiple $616 (70.3\%)$ $401 (72.8\%)$ $215 (6\%)$ 0.134 Sources reliability $100 (2^{-}, 3\%)$ $22 (6.7\%)$ 0.478 Newspapers $48 (5.4\%)$ $26 (4.7\%)$ $22 (6.7\%)$ 0.478 Newspapers $48 (5.4\%)$ $26 (4.7\%)$ $22 (6.7\%)$ 0.478 WHO oficial website $542 (60.9\%)$ $335 (60.8\%)$ $199 (61\%)$ 0.478 Multiple $239 (26.9\%)$ $154 (27.9\%)$ $81 (24.8\%)$ 0.478 Do you think your university has given you enough information 	5th	255 (27.176)	103(29.0%) 160(29%)	75 (2578) 95 (29.1)	0.205
Sources of information Intermet/Social Media 156 (17.8%) 91 (16.5%) 65 (19.9%) 0.134 Newspapers 51 (5.7%) 31 (5.6%) 20 (6.1%) 0.134 WHO oficial website 54 (6.1%) 28 (5.1%) 26 (8%) 0.134 Multiple 616 (70.3%) 401 (72.8%) 215 (6%) 0.134 Sources reliability Internet/Social Media 61 (6.9%) 36 (6.5%) 24 (7.4%) 0.478 Newspapers 48 (5.4%) 26 (4.7%) 22 (6.7%) 0.478 WHO oficial website 542 (60.9%) 335 (60.8%) 199 (61%) 0.478 Multiple 239 (26.9%) 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 Do you think your Internet/Social Media 542 (60.9%) 323 (58.6%) 200 (61.3%) 0.426 Initeristy has given you Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Yes 354 (40.4%) 228 (41.4%) 126 (38.7%) 0.426 Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Interne	Surray of Information	255 (29.176)	100 (2976)	95 (29.1)	0.205
Internet/Social Netria 150 (17.3 %) 91 (15.3 %) 20 (6.1%) 0.134 Newspapers 51 (5.7%) 31 (5.6%) 20 (6.1%) 0.134 MUHO oficial website 54 (6.1%) 28 (5.1%) 26 (8%) 0.134 Multiple 616 (70.3%) 401 (72.8%) 215 (6%) 0.134 Sources reliability Internet/Social Media 61 (6.9%) 36 (6.5%) 24 (7.4%) 0.478 Newspapers 48 (5.4%) 26 (4.7%) 22 (6.7%) 0.478 WHO oficial website 542 (60.9%) 335 (60.8%) 199 (61%) 0.478 Multiple 239 (26.9%) 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 Do you think your Inversity has given you Internet Sissue? Int	Internet /Social Modia	156 (17 89/)	01 (16 59/)	(10.0%)	0 124
Newspapers $31 (3.7\%)$ $31 (3.0\%)$ $20 (6.1\%)$ 0.134 WHO oficial website $54 (6.1\%)$ $28 (5.1\%)$ $26 (8\%)$ 0.134 Multiple $616 (70.3\%)$ $401 (72.8\%)$ $215 (6\%)$ 0.134 Sources reliabilityInternet/Social Media $61 (6.9\%)$ $36 (6.5\%)$ $24 (7.4\%)$ 0.478 Newspapers $48 (5.4\%)$ $26 (4.7\%)$ $22 (6.7\%)$ 0.478 WHO oficial website $542 (60.9\%)$ $335 (60.8\%)$ $199 (61\%)$ 0.478 Multiple $239 (26.9\%)$ $154 (27.9\%)$ $81 (24.8\%)$ 0.478 Do you think your $239 (26.9\%)$ $154 (27.9\%)$ $81 (24.8\%)$ 0.478 Multiple $239 (26.9\%)$ $154 (27.9\%)$ $81 (24.8\%)$ 0.478 Do you think your Yes $354 (40.4\%)$ $228 (41.4\%)$ $126 (38.7\%)$ 0.426 No $523 (59.6\%)$ $323 (58.6\%)$ $200 (61.3\%)$ 0.426 Would you like to learn Yes $734 (83.7\%)$ $453 (82.2\%)$ $281 (86.2\%)$ 0.123 No $143 (16.3\%)$ $98 (17.8\%)$ $45 (13.8\%)$ 0.123 If you suspected you had Yes Yes $734 (83.7\%)$ $82 (25.2\%)$ 0.123 Go to Primary care $266 (30.3\%)$ $184 (33.4\%)$ $82 (25.2\%)$ 0.123 Go to Hospital $24 (2.7\%)$ $15 (2.7\%)$ $9 (2.8\%)$ 0.123 Call 112 Emergency $43 (4.9\%)$ $28 (5.1\%)$ $15 (4.6\%)$ 0.123 Department $542 (61.8\%)$ $323 (58.6\%)$ $219 (67.2\%)$ <td>Newspapers</td> <td>130(17.0%)</td> <td>91(10.3%)</td> <td>30(19.9%)</td> <td>0.134</td>	Newspapers	130(17.0%)	91(10.3%)	30(19.9%)	0.134
WHO 0inclar website 54 (6.17a) 28 (5.17a) 28 (5.17a) 28 (5.7a) 0.134 Multiple 616 (70.3%) 401 (72.8%) 215 (6%) 0.134 Sources reliability Internet/Social Media 61 (6.9%) 36 (6.5%) 24 (7.4%) 0.478 Newspapers 48 (5.4%) 26 (4.7%) 22 (6.7%) 0.478 WHO oficial website 542 (60.9%) 335 (60.8%) 199 (61%) 0.478 Multiple 239 (26.9%) 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 Do you think your university has given you Yes 354 (40.4%) 228 (41.4%) 126 (38.7%) 0.426 No 523 (59.6%) 323 (58.6%) 200 (61.3%) 0.426 Would you like to learn more about COVID-19? Yes 734 (83.7%) 453 (82.2%) 281 (86.2%) 0.123 If you suspected you had Go to Primary care 266 (30.3%) 184 (33.4%) <td< td=""><td>MHO oficial website</td><td>51(5.776)</td><td>31(3.0%)</td><td>20(0.1%)</td><td>0.134</td></td<>	MHO oficial website	51(5.776)	31(3.0%)	20(0.1%)	0.134
Multiple 616 (70.3%) 401 (72.8%) 215 (6%) 0.134 Sources reliability	WHO official website	54(6.1%)	28(5.1%)	26 (8%)	0.134
Sources reliability Image: Field Diffy Image:		616 (70.3%)	401 (72.8%)	215 (6%)	0.134
Internet/Social Media 61 (6.9%) 36 (6.5%) 24 (7.4%) 0.478 Newspapers 48 (5.4%) 26 (4.7%) 22 (6.7%) 0.478 WHO oficial website 542 (60.9%) 335 (60.8%) 199 (61%) 0.478 Multiple 239 (26.9%) 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 Do you think your 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 university has given you 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 about this issue? 126 (38.7%) 0.426 Yes 354 (40.4%) 228 (41.4%) 126 (38.7%) 0.426 No 523 (59.6%) 323 (58.6%) 200 (61.3%) 0.426 Would you like to learn Yes 734 (83.7%) 453 (82.2%) 281 (86.2%) 0.123 No 143 (16.3%) 98 (17.8%) 45 (13.8%) 0.123 If you suspected you had COVID-19. Fexpectant (Wait and see) Image: Color Primary care 266 (30.3%) 184 (33.4%) 82 (25.2%) 0.123 Go to Primary care 266 (30.3%) 184 (33.4%) 82 (25.2%) 0.123 Go to Primary care 266 (30.3%) <td>Sources reliability</td> <td>(1 ((0)))</td> <td></td> <td>24 (7 40)</td> <td>0.470</td>	Sources reliability	(1 ((0)))		24 (7 40)	0.470
Newspapers 48 (5.4%) 26 (4.7%) 22 (6.7%) 0.478 WHO oficial website 542 (60.9%) 335 (60.8%) 199 (61%) 0.478 Multiple 239 (26.9%) 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 Do you think your 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 University has given you	Internet/Social Media	61 (6.9%)	36 (6.5%)	24 (7.4%)	0.478
WHO official website 542 (60.9%) 335 (60.8%) 199 (61%) 0.478 Multiple 239 (26.9%) 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 Do you think your 239 (26.9%) 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 Do you think your 239 (26.9%) 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 University has given you enough information addition addition addition addition addition about this issue? Fes 354 (40.4%) 228 (41.4%) 126 (38.7%) 0.426 Would you like to learn Ses 734 (83.7%) 453 (82.2%) 200 (61.3%) 0.426 Would you suspected you had Fes 734 (83.7%) 453 (82.2%) 281 (86.2%) 0.123 If you suspected you had Fes 734 (83.7%) 98 (17.8%) 45 (13.8%) 0.123 Go to Primary care 266 (30.3%) 184 (33.4%) 82 (25.2%) 0.123 Go to Hospital 24 (2.7%)	Newspapers	48 (5.4%)	26 (4.7%)	22 (6.7%)	0.478
Multiple 239 (26.9%) 154 (27.9%) 81 (24.8%) 0.478 Do you think your Inversity has given you enough information about this issue? Inversity has given you Inversity has given you Inversity has given you Inversity has given you Yes 354 (40.4%) 228 (41.4%) 126 (38.7%) 0.426 No 523 (59.6%) 323 (58.6%) 200 (61.3%) 0.426 Would you like to learn more about COVID-19? Inversity has given you Inversity has given you Inversity has given you Inversity has given you Yes 734 (83.7%) 453 (82.2%) 281 (86.2%) 0.123 Mot suspected you had Inversity has given you Inversity has given you Intersity has given you Yes 734 (83.7%) 453 (82.2%) 281 (86.2%) 0.123 If you suspected you had Inversity has given you Intersity has given you Intersity has given you Yes 734 (83.7%) 184 (33.4%) 82 (25.2%) 0.123 <	WHO oficial website	542 (60.9%)	335 (60.8%)	199 (61%)	0.478
Do you think your University has given you <td>Multiple</td> <td>239 (26.9%)</td> <td>154 (27.9%)</td> <td>81 (24.8%)</td> <td>0.478</td>	Multiple	239 (26.9%)	154 (27.9%)	81 (24.8%)	0.478
University has given you enough information about this issue? Image: mathematical stress of the stress	Do you think your				
enough information about this issue? Image: State of the state of th	University has given you				
about this issue? 354 (40.4%) 228 (41.4%) 126 (38.7%) 0.426 No 523 (59.6%) 323 (58.6%) 200 (61.3%) 0.426 Would you like to learn 288 (40.4%) 228 (41.4%) 126 (38.7%) 0.426 Would you like to learn 200 (61.3%) 0.426 0.426 Would you like to learn 283 (58.6%) 200 (61.3%) 0.426 Mo 143 (16.3%) 453 (82.2%) 281 (86.2%) 0.123 No 143 (16.3%) 98 (17.8%) 455 (13.8%) 0.123 If you suspected you had 200 (61.3%) 0.123 0.123 COVID-19. What would 28 (51.8%) 282 (25.2%) 0.123 Sepectant (Wait and see) 24 (2.7%) 184 (33.4%) 82 (25.2%) 0.123 Go to Primary care 266 (30.3%) 184 (33.4%) 82 (25.2%) 0.123 Go to Hospital 24 (2.7%) 15 (2.7%) 9 (2.8%) 0.123 Call 112 Emergency 43 (4.9%) 28 (5.1%) 15 (4.6%) 0.123 Department 542 (61.8%) 323 (58.6%) 219 (67.2%) 0.123 Unsure	enough information				
Yes 354 (40.4%) 228 (41.4%) 126 (38.7%) 0.426 No 523 (59.6%) 323 (58.6%) 200 (61.3%) 0.426 Would you like to learn more about COVID-19? 281 (85.2%) 281 (86.2%) 0.123 Yes 734 (83.7%) 453 (82.2%) 281 (86.2%) 0.123 No 143 (16.3%) 98 (17.8%) 45 (13.8%) 0.123 If you suspected you had COVID-19. What would you do first?	about this issue?		000 (41 40/)		0.40
No 523 (59.6%) 323 (58.6%) 200 (61.3%) 0.426 Would you like to learn more about COVID-19? (100)	Yes	354 (40.4%)	228 (41.4%)	126 (38.7%)	0.426
Would you like to learn more about COVID-19?Image: constraint of the second se		523 (59.6%)	323 (58.6%)	200 (61.3%)	0.426
more about COVID-19?Image: COVID-19?Image: COVID-19?Image: COVID-19?Ves734 (83.7%)453 (82.2%)281 (86.2%)0.123No143 (16.3%)98 (17.8%)45 (13.8%)0.123If you suspected you hadImage: COVID-19. What wouldImage: COVID-19. What wouldImage: COVID-19. What wouldImage: COVID-19. What wouldyou do first?Image: COVID-19. What wouldImage: COVID-19. What wouldImage: COVID-19. What wouldImage: COVID-19. What wouldGo to Primary care266 (30.3%)184 (33.4%)82 (25.2%)0.123Go to Primary care266 (30.3%)184 (33.4%)82 (25.2%)0.123Go to Hospital24 (2.7%)15 (2.7%)9 (2.8%)0.123Call 112 Emergency43 (4.9%)28 (5.1%)15 (4.6%)0.123Department542 (61.8%)323 (58.6%)219 (67.2%)0.123Unsure2 (0.2%)1 (0.2%)1 (0.3%)0.123	Would you like to learn				
Yes734 (83.7%)453 (82.2%)281 (86.2%)0.123No143 (16.3%)98 (17.8%)45 (13.8%)0.123If you suspected you had COVID-19. What would you do first?	more about COVID-19?		450 (00 00())	001 (0(00))	0.100
No 143 (16.3%) 98 (17.8%) 45 (13.8%) 0.123 If you suspected you had COVID-19. What would you do first?	Yes	734 (83.7%)	453 (82.2%)	281 (86.2%)	0.123
If you suspected you had If you suspected you had If you suspected you had COVID-19. What would If you do first? If you do first? Expectant (Wait and see) If you do first? If you suspected you had Go to Primary care 266 (30.3%) 184 (33.4%) 82 (25.2%) 0.123 Go to Hospital 24 (2.7%) 15 (2.7%) 9 (2.8%) 0.123 Call 112 Emergency 43 (4.9%) 28 (5.1%) 15 (4.6%) 0.123 Department 542 (61.8%) 323 (58.6%) 219 (67.2%) 0.123 Unsure 2 (0.2%) 1 (0.2%) 1 (0.3%) 0.123	No	143 (16.3%)	98 (17.8%)	45 (13.8%)	0.123
COVID-19. What would you do first? Image: Covid of the set of th	If you suspected you had				
you do hrst?Image: Constraint of the set	COVID-19. What would				
Expectant (Wait and see)184 (33.4%)82 (25.2%)0.123Go to Primary care266 (30.3%)184 (33.4%)82 (25.2%)0.123Go to Hospital24 (2.7%)15 (2.7%)9 (2.8%)0.123Call 112 Emergency43 (4.9%)28 (5.1%)15 (4.6%)0.123Department542 (61.8%)323 (58.6%)219 (67.2%)0.123Unsure2 (0.2%)1 (0.2%)1 (0.3%)0.123	you do first?				
Go to Primary care266 (30.3%)184 (33.4%)82 (25.2%)0.123Go to Hospital24 (2.7%)15 (2.7%)9 (2.8%)0.123Call 112 Emergency43 (4.9%)28 (5.1%)15 (4.6%)0.123Department542 (61.8%)323 (58.6%)219 (67.2%)0.123Unsure2 (0.2%)1 (0.2%)1 (0.3%)0.123	Expectant (Wait and see)		101 (==		a
Go to Hospital24 (2.7%)15 (2.7%)9 (2.8%)0.123Call 112 Emergency43 (4.9%)28 (5.1%)15 (4.6%)0.123Department542 (61.8%)323 (58.6%)219 (67.2%)0.123Unsure2 (0.2%)1 (0.2%)1 (0.3%)0.123	Go to Primary care	266 (30.3%)	184 (33.4%)	82 (25.2%)	0.123
Call 112 Emergency43 (4.9%)28 (5.1%)15 (4.6%)0.123Department542 (61.8%)323 (58.6%)219 (67.2%)0.123Unsure2 (0.2%)1 (0.2%)1 (0.3%)0.123	Go to Hospital	24 (2.7%)	15 (2.7%)	9 (2.8%)	0.123
Department542 (61.8%)323 (58.6%)219 (67.2%)0.123Unsure2 (0.2%)1 (0.2%)1 (0.3%)0.123	Call 112 Emergency	43 (4.9%)	28 (5.1%)	15 (4.6%)	0.123
Unsure 2 (0.2%) 1 (0.2%) 1 (0.3%) 0.123	Department	542 (61.8%)	323 (58.6%)	219 (67.2%)	0.123
	Unsure	2 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.3%)	0.123

Considering a weighted score of 0-10 on the items: symptoms, routes of infection, mortality, and protective measures, 37.2% (327) of the students surveyed do not exceed the

minimum knowledge, obtaining an average score of 5.5 ± 1.6 with a range of 1 to 9.7. Students from 4th and 5th year were more likely to pass than students from the previous years of the degree without statistical significance.

We have found that nationality is a factor related to knowledge aptitude. Thus, 39.9% of respondents in Iberian Europe fail, compared to 20% in North America or 10% in Eastern Europe (X2=24,503, V Cramer=0,167, p=0,006). European Iberian nationality implies a risk (OR) of not passing the knowledge test of 1.601 (CI 95% 1.008-2.544, p=0.046). In relation to gender, 69.1% of women pass the test compared to 30.9% of men. (X2=18.163, V Cramer=0.144, p<0.001). The OR for the male gender is double that of women, OR=2.062, 95% CI 1.473-2.887, p<0.001.

4. Discussion

Our survey was launched during the first pandemic wave and the lockdown period between the 6th and the 15th of April 2020. We attempted to investigate the level of related knowledge, self-reported preferred information sources and risk perception among dental students. The use of online surveys presents a series of advantages as described by Sánchez-Fernández et al (8) where the target population is more accessible, responses are retrieved faster and participants are usually sincerer due to the self-application of the survey. Conversely, some of the disadvantages described in the literature are the difficulty in obtaining a sample frame or in determining response rate and the technical problems due to a bad internet connection (9). Also, some authors warn of the risk of individuals answering the same survey several times, if the survey has not been designed correctly (10). Sánchez-Fernández et al. (8), also describe that the response rate can be improved if the invitation to participate in the survey was sent weekly and personalized. In our research, we only sent the invitation once, using the universities official emails, and also the dental associations published in the social media channels the survey so that students from other universities could participate. Our survey was only admitting responses for 9 days.

To date, some publications have investigated the practice, knowledge and attitude of students of varying ages, nationality and university of origin in the first pandemic outbreak (11–14). The response rate of our survey considering the base universities (UEM, UB, URJC, USC) was 40.6%. This response rate can be considered low and we believe this fact was caused by the situation of general weariness, fear, frustration and despair that our students and society were living during the month of April 2020 as it has been already reported (15) where COVID-19 data reported during the survey period in Spain showed 130.759 infections and 14.652 deaths on the 6th of April and 180.074 infections and 20.604 deaths on the 16th of April 2020 (16). In our study, women participation was significantly higher (73.8%, N=657). This fact could be explained either because classically women tend to participate more in research studies or because in the healthcare degrees nowadays we can find more female students rather than male students.

Our survey was developed in an early stage of the pandemia, revealing the weaknesses of administrations and educational institutions in that moment. The main limitation of our study is the low participation of students in which the lack of motivation was added to the existing overload of work during the academic period in the online modality. The results we present might not be generalized to dental students of other countries, although they are representative of our source population. Given the pandemic situation held responses might present bias.

In addition, even though there is a clear lack of knowledge about the disease, 143 (16.2%) students were not willing to learn more about COVID-19 disease. We believe our students were confined, possibly overwhelmed about the number of dead and infected people by the virus, in a moment of c ollective despair, anxiety, as stated by other authors (5,18) and uncertainty that could have contributed to that lack of motivation to be given more information about COVID-19.

Interestingly only 51 students (5.7%) referred obtaining information from newspapers exclusively and 156 students (17.8%) used exclusively the internet/apps/social networking sites to learn about the status of the pandemic. Internet has become an important source of information for our students. Moreover, they feel more connected to the world due to social media interactions. Most students, 616 (70.3%) used multiple sources of information. However, 542 (60.9%) believed that the most reliable sources were official sites such as the WHO's.

Nearly 7% (61) believed that social media networks provided the most accurate information. In a recent study on the knowledge, perceptions, and attitude of Egyptians towards the Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19), a cross sectional survey revealed that their participants had a good knowledge about the disease and a positive attitude towards protective measures. This knowledge was gained mainly through media channels (17).

We evaluated the knowledge of the surveyed students considering a weighted score of 0-10 on the items: symptoms, routes of infection, mortality, and protective measures, 37.2% of the students surveyed did not exceed the minimum knowledge, obtaining an average score of 5.5 ± 1.6 with a range of 1 to 9.7. These results doesn't match the ones reported by Wee et al. who they stated that 55.2% of their 174 students had good knowledge of COVID-19 with scores above 8.0, while 44.8% possessed moderate knowledge with scores of 6.0-8.0. None of their dental and medical students had a result below 6.0 and the mean averages of knowledge and attitude with associated preventive behaviors of students was 81.5% (SD=6.3) and 85.7% (SD=13.1) respectively highlighting a good level of both (19). The differences with our results could be explained considering that our survey was launched during the 1st wave of the pandemic (early April), and their survey was launched in May 2020 and concluded in June 2020. As a result, their students probably received more information than ours.

In relation to the information provided by the universities of origin, almost 60% (523) believed that they have received insufficient information from their institution. In general lines, we could consider there was not enough information to provide at that moment. According to Iyer et al., dental school administrations are facing a big challenge. Whereas on the one hand, they need to protect students, patients, and faculty safety, on the other, they need to ensure that there was a continuity in the education of dental students. We agree that during the first wave of the pandemic, there was not enough information to be able to issue consensus guidelines on prevention standards that were safe enough to prevent the perpetuation of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic among dental schools all over the world (18).

At this point, we would like to emphasize that possibly both government authorities and universities were trying to adapt according to the newly statistics of the pandemic and the measures that governments were implementing almost weekly. The confusion of the students could be extrapolated to all areas of knowledge and a contingency plan to future situations as COVID-19 pandemic should be elaborated.

5. Conclusions

- Our results show that surveyed dental students lack the knowledge regarding symptoms, routes of infection, mortality, and protective measures of COVID-19 disease during April 2020.
- Dental schools would need to design and implement more effective measures to protect the physical and mental health of students in this pandemic era. Specific educational programs on SARS-CoV-2 hazards and protective measures should be considered and potentially implemented in the dental curriculum worldwide.
- In our opinion, psychological assessment and support should be provided to dental students to help them cope with this pandemic and those that may occur in the coming years.

Funding sources: No funding sources.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank our students that volunteer to participate in this study.

Conflict of interest: Authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard. p. https://covid19.who.int/
- 2 Martín Carreras-Presas C, Amaro Sánchez J, López-Sánchez AF, Jané-Salas E, Somacarrera Pérez ML. Oral vesiculobullous lesions associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Oral Dis. 2021;27:710–2.
- 3 Sousa Neto AR de, Carvalho ARB de, Oliveira EMN de, Magalhães R de LB, Moura MEB, Freitas DRJ de. Symptomatic manifestations of the disease caused by coronavirus (COVID-19) in adults: systematic review. Rev Gauch Enferm. Brazil; 2021;42:e20200205.
- 4 Lopez-Leon S, Wegman-Ostrosky T, Perelman C, Sepulveda R, Rebolledo PA, Cuapio A, et al. More than 50 long-term effects of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2021;11:16144.
- 5 Machado RA, Bonan PRF, Da Cruz Perez DE, Martelli Júnior H. COVID-19 pandemic and the impact on dental education: Discussing current and future perspectives. Braz Oral Res. 2020;34:1–6.
- 6 Peng X, Xu X, Li Y, Cheng L, Zhou X, Ren B. Transmission routes of 2019-nCoV and controls in dental practice. Int J Oral Sci [Internet]. Springer US; 2020;12:1–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41368-020-0075-9
- 7 von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. [The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies]. Rev Esp Salud Publica. Spain; 2008;82:251–9.
- 8 Sánchez Fernández J, Muñoz Leiva F, Montoro F. ¿Cómo mejorar la tasa de respuesta en encuestas on line? Rev Estud Empres Segunda Época. 2009;1:45–62.
- 9 Fricker S, Galesic M, Tourangeau R, Yan T. An experimental comparison of web and telephone surveys. Public Opin Q. 2005;69:370–92.
- 10 Göritz AS. Incentives in web studies: Methodological issues and a review. Int J Internet Sci [Internet]. 2006;1:58–70. Available from: http://www.ijis.net/ijis1_1/ijis1_1_goeritz.pdf
- 11 Abdelhafiz AS, Mohammed Z, Ibrahim ME, Ziady HH, Alorabi M, Ayyad M, et al. Knowledge, Perceptions, and Attitude of Egyptians Towards the Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19). J Community Health [Internet]. Springer US; 2020;45:881–90. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-020-00827-7
- 12 Rao LN, Shetty A, Latha Senthilkumar P, Shetty KS, Shetty B, Natarajan S, et al. Knowledge attitude and practice of dental students and practitioners during the early days of Covid 19 pandemic in India: a cross sectional study. Int J Clin Pract. England; 2021;e14858.
- 13 Elagib MFA, Baldo SMH, Tawfig A, Alqarni MA, Ghandour IA, Idris AM. Knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding infection control measures among dental students during COVID-19 pandemic. Arch Environ Occup Health. United States; 2021;1–13.

- 14 Sharanesha RB, Aljuai BK, Alfaifi TA, Shukr AM, Bamuqadm MA, Alhoti AA. Knowledge and Attitude Regarding COVID-19 among Students from Multiple Professional Background in Riyadh Region, Saudi Arabia: A Cross-sectional Study. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2021;13:S251–6.
- 15 Sahu P. Closure of Universities Due to Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): Impact on Education and Mental Health of Students and Academic Staff. Cureus. 2020;2019:4–9.
- 16 <u>https://covid19.who.int/region/euro/country/es</u>
- 17 Shehata MH, Abouzeid E, Wasfy NF, Abdelaziz A, Wells RL, Ahmed SA. Medical Education Adaptations Post COVID-19: An Egyptian Reflection. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520951819.
- 18 Iyer P, Aziz K, Ojcius DM. Impact of COVID-19 on dental education in the United States. J Dent Educ. United States; 2020;84:718–22.
- 19 Geen Wee E, Sanchiya Giri M, Kalyana Sundram T, Vinosha Venudran C. Thiruppathy Kalyana Sundram Manipal Academy of Higher Education COVID-19: Knowledge, Attitude and Preventive Behaviours of Medical and Dental Students. Int J Biomed Clin Sci [Internet]. 2020;5:236–56. Available from: http://www.aiscience.org/journal/ijbcshttp://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



© 2021 Universidad de Murcia. Enviado para su publicación en acceso abierto bajo los términos y condiciones de la licencia Creative Commons Reconocimiento-NoComercial-Sin Obra Derivada 4.0 España (CC BY-NC-ND) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).