1	
2	
3	
4 5	© <2021r>. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY- NC-ND 4.0 license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>
6 7 8	This document is the Submitted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in Chemosphere. To access the final edited and published work see http:// doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131588

10 NON-TARGETED ANALYSIS BY DLLME-GC-MS FOR THE MONITORING 11 OF POLLUTANTS IN THE MAR MENOR LAGOON

Rosa Peñalver, Alberto Ortiz, Natalia Arroyo-Manzanares, Natalia Campillo Ignacio López-García, PilarViñas

- 14
- 15

16 **ABSTRACT**

Water from the Mar Menor lagoon (SE Spain) was analysed for the presence of 17 organic pollutants resulting from agricultural and industrial practices, plastics and 18 pharmaceutical products. The impact of rain on the input of 54 toxic chemical 19 compounds and their distribution close to the shores of the Mar Menor lagoon 20 21 was assessed in three sampling campaigns (a total of 42 samples). The combination of a miniaturised sample treatment based on dispersive liquid-liquid 22 microextraction (DLLME) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) 23 24 allowed the determination of the pollutants at low levels. Six deuterated compounds were used as internal standards for the quantification of different 25 26 group of analytes. A total of nine targeted pollutants, dibutyl phthalate, diisobutyl phthalate, diethyl phthalate, bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, anthracene, 1-27 methylnaphthalene, hexachlorocyclopentadiene, bis(2-ethylhexyl) adipate and 28 oleamide were detected and quantified in the samples at concentrations that 29 ranged from 3 to 264 μ g L⁻¹ for bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate and dibutyl phthalate, 30 respectively. 31

On the other hand, the use of MS allowed the identification of nine putative compounds, (2,4-diterbutyl phenol, butyl citrate, triethyl phosphate, phthalic anhydride, ethyl oleate, erucamide, Irganox 1076, lidocaine, and oxandrolone) based on MS libraries. It was statistically shown that both targeted and untargeted

- pollutants are affected by rainy conditions. However, there were no significant
 differences in the compounds content concerning the sampling location.
- 38

39 *Keywords:* organic pollutants, targeted analysis, untargeted analysis, seawater,

40 DLLME, GC-MS

- 41 **1. Introduction**
- 42

One of the most critical environmental problems nowadays is the presence 43 of toxic compounds in aquatic environments because of their persistence, long-44 45 range transportability and potentially negative effects on any form of aquatic life. The input of pollutants into the aquatic systems occurs mainly through river 46 discharges, air deposition, wastewater effluents of industrial and urban origin, 47 48 groundwaters and surface overflows (Carratalá et al., 2017). The more important anthropogenic sources of pollutants include waste from farmlands, pulp mills and 49 wood treatment facilities, accidental spillage during loading and transportation, 50 and leachates from waste sites and landfills (Baker, 2005; Yusof et al., 2009). 51 52 Unfortunately, conventional wastewater treatment practices face problems in 53 removing certain pollutants that may otherwise be released into rivers and the sea (Happonen et al., 2016; Gómez-Gutiérrez et al., 2006; Steen et al., 2001). 54 Among the different organic pollutants, of particular concern are phenolic 55 compounds such as phenol itself, nitrophenols (NPs) and chlorophenols (CPs), 56 phthalate esters (PAEs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polycyclic 57 aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). CPs and NPs are important intermediates in the 58 production of pesticides, preservatives and dyes (Faludi et al., 2015). CPs are 59 toxic and carcinogenic compounds, which have been shown to bioaccumulate 60

and be resistant to degradation, with negative effects on humans and animals 61 (Xu et al., 2017). NPs, which are mainly used in the manufacture of paints, 62 adhesives, explosives, pesticides and pharmaceutical products, are also toxic to 63 humans, their effects including irritation of the eyes, skin and respiratory tract 64 (Pastor-Belda et al., 2018). PAEs are a class of synthetic chemical compounds 65 widely used as plasticisers in common household products, cosmetics, 66 detergents, flame retardants, plastics, inks, adhesives and medical devices. Their 67 entry into surface waters may come directly from the production of plastics or 68 from leaching from PAEs contained in materials such as plastics and consumer 69 70 products (Net et al., 2014).

This study focuses on an ecosystem of high biological and social value 71 that has been severely degraded in the last decades, the Mar Menor lagoon 72 (Murcia, SE Spain), which is one of the largest (135 km²) Mediterranean coastal 73 lagoons. The effects observed in the flora and fauna of the Mar Menor in recent 74 75 years are signs of trophic changes and ecosystem deterioration. In 2001 it was officially acknowledged as susceptible area. Due to its ecological importance, 76 several studies have been performed with the objective to improve the lagoon 77 protection state (Bayo et al., 2019; Carratalá et al., 2017; Erena et al., 2019; 78 Jiménez-Martínez et al., 2016). There have also been published several studies 79 concerning the occurrence and evaluation of pesticides, endocrine-disrupting 80 compounds (bisphenol A and alkylphenols), PAHs and pharmaceuticals in the 81 Mar Menor lagoon and other areas around the Mediterranean Sea (Moreno-82 83 González et al., 2014; Salgueiro-González et al., 2019; Carratalá et al., 2017; Desbiolles et al., 2018; Gros et al., 2012). 84

Liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-85 86 MS/MS) (Gómez-Gutiérrez et al., 2006; Moreno-González, et al., 2015; Moreno-González et al., 2014) and the combination of gas chromatography with mass 87 spectrometry (GC-MS) (Campillo et al., 2013; Moreno-González et al., 2013) are 88 the most common methodologies used for pollutant determination in waters. 89 Regarding sample preparation, different approaches have been applied, ranging 90 from conventional techniques such as liquid-liquid extraction (Haiba, 2009) and 91 solid-phase extraction (Moreno-González et al., 2014) to miniaturised techniques 92 like stir bar sorptive extraction (Campillo et al. 2013; León et al., 2018), solid-93 94 phase microextraction (Huang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017) and dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction (DLLME) (Salgueiro-González et al., 2019; Chormey 95 et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2018). The use of techniques of sample preparation 96 97 applying liquid phase microextraction strategies in analytical chemistry is continuously increasing due to its simplicity and economy in terms of time and 98 solvents used (Campillo et al., 2017). 99

This study evaluates the levels and distribution of a wide range of targeted 100 toxic compounds (a total of 54) from different chemical families and different 101 102 origins, including phenols, NPs, CPs, PAEs, PCBs, PAHs, aromatic, heterocyclic and aliphatic compounds, in the Mar Menor lagoon in different rainy conditions 103 by means of GC-MS after a easy to apply preconcentration step using DLLME. 104 105 Considering the wide range of compounds studied, the selection of adequate internal standards was considered important to achieve an accurate and precise 106 quantification of the different species. Moreover, untargeted pollutants were 107 identified in the studied samples, simultaneously with the targeted analysis, using 108 MS libraries (NIST and Willey). 109

110

- 111 **2. Materials and methods**
- 112
- 113 2.1. Materials and reagents
- 114

The standard analyte mixture of 4-methylphenol, N-nitroso-di-n-115 propylamine, hexachloroethane, nitrobenzene, 3,5,5-trimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-116 2-nitrophenol, 2,4-dimethylphenol, bis(2-chloroethyl) ether, 2,4-117 one, 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene, 4-chloroaniline, 118 dichlorophenol, naphthalene, 119 hexachlorocyclopentadiene (CICP), 4-chloro-3-methylphenol, 1methylnaphthalene (1-MeNP), 2-methylnaphthalene (2-MeNP), cyclopentadiene, 120 2,4,6-trichlorophenol, 2,4,5-trichlorophenol, 1-chloronaphthalene, 3-nitroaniline, 121 1,4-dinitrobenzene, dimethyl phthalate, biphenylene, 1,2-dinitrobenzene, 122 dibenzofuran, 2,4-dinitrotoluene, diethyl phthalate (DEP), fluorene, 123 124 diphenylamine, azobenzene, bromophenoxy benzene, hexachlorobenzene, 2,3,4,6-tetrachlorophenol, 2,3,4,5-tetrachlorophenol, phenanthrene, anthracene, 125 carbazole, diisobutyl phthalate (DIBP), di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP), fluoranthene, 126 pyrene, bisphenol A, oleamide, benzyl butyl phthalate, bis(2-ethylhexyl) adipate 127 (DHEA), benzo(a)anthracene, triphenylene, bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DHEP), 128 di-n-octyl benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, 129 phthalate, benzo(g,h,i)perylene, and 1,2,7,8-dibenzophenanthrene at 1000 μ g mL⁻¹ in 130 dichloromethane, as well as an internal standard (IS) mixture of 1,4-131 132 dichlorobenzene- d_4 , naphthalene- d_8 , acenaphthene- d_{10} , phenanthrene- d_{10} , chrysene- d_{12} and perylene- d_{12} at 4000 µg mL⁻¹ were purchased from Restek 133

(Bellefonte, PA, USA). Working solutions at 1 µg mL⁻¹ were prepared by dilution
of concentrated solutions in dichloromethane and kept at 4 °C in amber vials.

Organic solvents as methanol, acetonitrile, carbon tetrachloride, dichloromethane and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane (chromatographic grade) were obtained from Sigma (Steinheim, Germany). Ethanol (96% v/v) was obtained from Fisher Scientific (Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and 37% v/v hydrochloric acid from Panreac (Barcelona, Spain). A Milli-Q system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) was used for water purification. Potassium dibasic phosphate anhydrous and sodium chloride purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) were used.

In order to avoid PAEs contamination, glassware materials were used in
the laboratory instead of plastic devices, being cleaned by rising with distilled
water and acetone. After that, the materials were dried in an oven at 60 °C. Blanks
were carried out between sample sets.

147

148 2.2. Apparatus and software

149

An Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany) 150 151 connected to an Agilent 5973 quadrupole mass spectrometer with an inert ion source was used. Helium was used as mobile phase with a 1 mL min⁻¹ constant 152 flow. Splitless injection (2 µL) was used. The samples were placed in 2 mL vials 153 154 with microinserts of 250 µL with polymeric feet. The analyses were performed using an Agilent HP-5MS (5% diphenyl-95% dimethylpolysiloxane) capillary GC 155 column (30 m x 0.25 mm I.D., 0.25 µm film thickness). The GC initial temperature 156 was 50 °C and then it was increased to 175 °C (3 min), at 15 °C min⁻¹. Then, the 157 temperature was raised to 250 °C with a speed of 10°C min⁻¹. Finally, temperature 158

increased to 270 °C at 20 °C min⁻¹. The compounds were eluted with retention times ranging from 5.11 to 16.15 min (Table 1). The analysis time was around 20 min. The quadrupole, ion source and transfer line were set at temperatures of 150, 230 and 300 °C, respectively. The electron-impact (EI) mode at 70 eV was used for the mass spectrometer. Chromatograms were obtained in full scan mode at m/z 35-500.

The identification of the compounds was carried out using the Wiley and NIST mass spectra libraries. The software programs used were the MSD Chemstation Data Analysis application, Version G1701EA, revision E.02.02.SP2, Minitab 19 and Simca-P 14.1 (Umetrics, Malmö, Sweden).

For the sample treatment, an LLG-uniTEXER vortex mixer (LLG-Labware,
Constantí, Tarragona), an EBA 20 (Hettich, Tuttlingen, Germany) centrifuge and
an IKA-KS-130-Basic orbital shaker (IKA, Staufen, Germany) were used. An
AS220/C/2 balance from Radwag Wagi Elektroniczne (Radom, Poland) was used
as well. Cellulose acetate filters (0.6 μm) were used for sample filtration (FilterIab, Barcelona, Spain).

175

176 2.3. Sampling sites and sample collection

177

A total of 42 samples were obtained throughout three sampling campaigns. Samples were collected on 19 October 2019 (day 1, after a flood event), 22 November 2019 (day 2, rainy day) and 18 January 2020 (day 3, dry day) from various sites around the Mar Menor lagoon using 250 mL capacity lowdensity polyethylene bottles which were completely filled. The bottles were prerinsed with ultrapure water and the seawater sample before the sample collection.

The samples were collected at around 0.5 metres depth approximately 5 metres 184 185 from the shoreline. The rainfall measurements obtained for the five days preceding each of the sampling times were 0.6, 5.2, and 0 mm for days 1, 2 and 186 3 (data obtained from the National Meteorology Agency, 2020). At each sampling 187 188 site, duplicate water samples were taken close to the same site. All the samples were stored at 4 °C before analysis, which was carried out normally within 48 h 189 190 following their arrival in the laboratory. Seven sampling sites were selected for this study along the Mar Menor lagoon as shown in Fig. 1. Some of these 191 sampling locations have been described as potentially contaminated areas by 192 193 other authors (Salgueiro-González et al., 2019).

These sampling sites were selected to obtain information for the three 194 195 main areas into which the Mar Menor lagoon may be divided. The northern region 196 includes sampling points 1, 2 and 3, which are the nearest to the connexion area with the Mediterranean Sea; the southern area (the most confined) which 197 includes sampling points 6 and 7; and the intermediate region (sampling points 4 198 and 5). In the intermediate region (a coastal resort town) there is a wastewater 199 200 treatment plant (WWTP), whose effluent discharges into the Mar Menor lagoon 201 through the Albujón watercourse.

202

203 2.4. Analytical procedure

204

Seawater samples were filtered through 0.6 μ m cellulose acetate filters. For the DLLME preconcentration stage, 1 mL of ethanol (disperser solvent) and 125 μ L of chloroform (extractant solvent) were mixed and injected quickly with a microsyringe into a 10 mL sample of the seawater containing the internal

standard mixture at 50 μ g L⁻¹ previously placed in a 15 mL screw-cap glass centrifuge tube with conical bottom. The cloudy solution resulting from the dispersion of the fine organic droplets through the aqueous solution was centrifuged for 3 min at 600 g for deposition of chloroform droplets. This solvent was collected and positioned in a GC microvial insert and submitted to GC-MS.

The recovery study was performed using two seawater samples spiked with the standards at two concentration levels (5 and 50 μ g L⁻¹) and the internal standard mixture at 50 μ g L⁻¹.

The spiked samples were homogenised and left to rest for 1 h to allow the analytes to disseminate regularly in the sample interacting with the matrix substances, before conducting the analytical procedure.

- 220
- 221 3. Results and discussion
- 222

3.1. Optimisation of chromatographic conditions

224

The separation parameters were optimized using a 1 μ g mL⁻¹ standard 225 226 mixture dissolved in chloroform, and injecting into the GC system a volume of 2 µL, which corresponded to the maximum allowed for this solvent. Several 227 temperature programs were studied, and the finally selected started at 50 °C, 228 increasing to 175 °C (3 min) at 15 °C min⁻¹. A ramp of 10 °C min⁻¹ led the 229 temperature to 250 °C, and finally to 270 °C at 20 °C min⁻¹, being the analysis 230 time 19.8 min. The carrier gas flow was assessed from 0.5 to the highest value 231 recommended by the manufacturer (1 mL min⁻¹). Best results were obtained with 232 a helium flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹, allowing a good monitorization of the 54 233

pollutants in less than 20 min. The temperature of the injection port was varied in
the 240-300 °C range, to achieve analyte vaporization preventing retention on the
glass liner. The analytical signals were greater at 280 °C because higher
temperatures produce thermal degradation of some compounds.

238

3.2. Optimisation of DLLME conditions

240

The first DLLME step was to optimize the nature of the extractant solvent 241 by adding 150 µL of different extractant solvents to 10 mL of a spiked seawater 242 243 sample (100 µg L⁻¹) along with 1 mL of acetonitrile as disperser solvent. Chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, dichloromethane and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane 244 245 (with higher density than water) and 1-octanol (less dense than water) were 246 proved. Fig. S1 (Supplementary Fig. S1) shows the results obtained for eight selected analytes representing the different chemical families. 1-Octanol, which 247 is the only tested extractant lighter than water, provided a low microextraction 248 efficiency. However, the chlorinated solvents permitted good extraction of the 249 250 analytes, and, as the extraction efficiency of chloroform was slightly higher than 251 that of carbon tetrachloride, it was selected.

Several solvents (acetonitrile, acetone and ethanol) which are all soluble in the acceptor and donor phases were evaluated as disperser agents. The study was carried out by using a 1 mL volume of the dispersant solvent and 150 μ L of chloroform. Ethanol was selected as the optimum dispersant agent since it gave the best results in terms of sensitivity and cleanness of the enriched extracts.

To study the influence of the factors extractant and disperser volumes and pH of the aqueous phase (each studied at three levels) on DLLME efficiency, a

full factorial experimental design method was carried using a 10 mL of a spiked 259 seawater sample, at a concentration of 100 μ g L⁻¹ for each analyte. The volume 260 of the extractant and disperser solvents were varied in the 50-200 µL and 0.5-1.5 261 262 mL ranges, respectively and the effect of pH was studied between 3 and 8, for a total of 10 runs. The variation in pH did not affect the response, while the volume 263 264 of the extractant and disperser solvents had a significant effect on the analyte 265 signals. Then, a response surface design was carried out to determine optimal conditions. As shown in Fig. 2, the highest extraction efficiency expressed as the 266 total area of all the compounds was obtained with 1 mL of ethanol and 150 µL of 267 268 chloroform. As regards the pH, the best results were obtained when the pH was left unmodified, corresponding therefore to that of the samples, which was around 269 6. 270

271

272 3.3. Validation of the method

273

Calibration curves were obtained for standard solutions prepared at six 274 concentrations, ranging from 2.5 to 400 μ g L⁻¹ (with the IS mixture at 50 μ g L⁻¹), 275 276 by least-squares linear regression analysis of the analyte peak area ratio with respect to IS area versus analyte concentration. Each IS was selected for the 277 quantification of chemical compounds showing similar retention times. In 278 279 addition, for use in the MS detector, the deuterated compounds were selected as IS, which can be easily discriminated from the standards based on their mass 280 spectra. Therefore, the following six deuterated compounds were used. 1,4-281 Dichlorobenzene- d_4 (retention time (RT)= 5.20 min) was applied for the 282 guantification of the compounds with RTs up to 6.5 min. Naphthalene- d_8 (RT= 283

6.45 min) and acenaphtene- d_{10} (RT= 8.10 min) were applied for the quantification of compounds eluting in the 6.5-8.13 and 8.2-9.68 min ranges, respectively. Phenanthrene- d_{10} (RT= 9.60 min) and chysene- d_{12} (RT= 12.84 min) were added for the quantification of compounds eluting from 9.7 to 12 min and from 12 to 14 min, respectively. Finally, perylene- d_{12} (RT=14.05 min) was used for the compounds eluting above 14 min.

290 The standard additions method was applied to two different seawater samples in order to evaluate any potential matrix effect. The slopes obtained by 291 the application of the standard addition method for the seawater samples and 292 293 those obtained by the aqueous standard calibration graphs did not show significant differences at 95% confidence level, as confirmed by an ANOVA test, 294 295 when the "p" values obtained were higher than 0.05 for all the compounds. 296 Therefore, quantification of the seawater samples was carried out against aqueous standards. 297

The sensitivity of the method was evaluated from the limits of detection 298 (LODs) and quantification (LOQs), which were calculated using signal-to-noise 299 ratios (S/N) of 3 and 10, respectively. LODs ranged from 0.008 to 1.5 μ g L⁻¹ and 300 LOQs from 0.025 to 5.0 μ g L⁻¹ (Table 1). To evaluate the precision of the method, 301 seawater samples fortified at 50 µg L⁻¹ with the analytes and the ISs were 302 submitted to ten consecutive DLLME with GC-MS analyses, which provided the 303 average relative standard deviation (RSD). The RSD values were between 5.0 304 and 12%, corresponding to fluoranthene and triphenylene, respectively 305 (Supplementary Table S1). 306

307 Since no reference materials were available, in order to evaluate the 308 accuracy of the method, experiments were carried out by fortifying two seawater

samples at two levels, 5 and 50 μ g L⁻¹, for each compound and adding the IS mixture at 50 μ g L⁻¹. The recovery values for all pollutants were in the 94-105% range, the average values being 97±1% (n=216) and 97±1% (n=216) for the lowest and highest recovery levels, respectively.

Fig. 3 shows the chromatogram for a seawater sample fortified at 50 μ g L⁻ 1 for all the compounds using the optimised DLLME and GC-MS method.

As can be observed in the chromatogram, the first forty compounds eluted between 5 and 10 min. The overlapping of certain peaks in the initial part of the chromatogram (from 5 to 6 min) was solved by checking the characteristic m/zions of each analyte. From 10 min to the end, there was almost no overlapping of the signals in the chromatogram.

320

321 3.4. Analysis of samples

322

323 3.4.1. Targeted analysis

The procedure was applied to the analysis of 42 seawater samples. Identification of the fifty-four targeted compounds was based on their MS spectrum libraries and retention times. The MS detector was worked in SCAN mode to allow the simultaneous determination of targeted and untargeted compounds, avoiding the need to carry out two different chromatographic runs. The analytes were quantified using the ratio of the peak area of their characteristic m/z ions (Table 1) and the peak area of their corresponding IS.

A total of nine targeted compounds were detected and quantified in the lagoon samples: five PAEs (DBP, DEHA, DIBP, DEP, DEHP), two PAHs (1-MeNP and anthracene), one halogenated aromatic compound (CICP) and

oleamide. The concentration of these targeted compounds ranged from 2.9 to 264 μ g L⁻¹ for DEHP and DBP, respectively, as shown in Table 2.

As can be observed in Fig. 4, of the nine targeted pollutants found in the samples, the PAEs and oleamide were the most frequently found, with DEP and DIBP present in all the samples. However, PAHs were found in low percentages in positive samples (in a third of the total) from the study area.

340 Anthracene is included in the list of priority water pollutants by Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament on environmental quality standards in 341 the field of water policy (European Commision, 2008). The maximum permitted 342 343 concentration for this compound in surface waters is 0.4 μ g L⁻¹. The results showed that this contaminant reached higher levels than those permitted in the 344 345 Mar Menor on the dry sampling day (day 3). The main sources of contamination 346 of this compound are industrial and urban activities, transport and chemical products used in the maintenance of boats. PAHs have been detected at lower 347 levels (ng L⁻¹) by other authors in several Mar Menor water samples (Moreno-348 González et al., 2013), while average anthracene concentrations were 3.5 ng L⁻¹ 349 in waters sampled in 2010 by Campillo et al. (2013). A study carried out by Haiba 350 351 (2019) identified the presence of the 16 priority PAHs in the River Nile, where they ranged from 14.8 to 648 ng L^{-1} . 352

The DBP levels observed in the samples on sampling day 1 (10.2-264 μ g L⁻¹) exceeded the environmental risk limit (10 μ g L⁻¹) proposed by Van Wezel et al. (2000). The higher concentration of this compound on sampling day 1 may be have been due to lixiviation of this pollutant following a strong storm that had occurred a few days before that sampling day. DBP, as well as the other PAEs found in the samples, are mainly used as plastic additives, so that one of main

sources of contamination of the Mar Menor would be plastic residues. Plastic contamination of the lagoon has previously been detected by Bayo et al. (2019), who quantified microplastics throughout the Mar Menor lagoon. For their part, Zhang et al. (2017) found PAEs in the Changjiang River Estuary, with DBP present in the 46.6-2412 ng L⁻¹ range. Recently, four PAEs were detected in several aquatic environments in different countries, DEHP being present in the 0.02-28.4 μ g L⁻¹ range in some river waters of China (Heo et al. 2020).

366

367 3.4.2. Spatial distribution of targeted contaminants and effect of rain in different 368 parts of the Mar Menor lagoon

The mean overall concentration of the targeted pollutants in the lagoon 369 (seven sampling sites) on three different sampling days was statistically analysed 370 using one-way ANOVA (Supplementary Table S2). The results showed that 371 sampling day influenced the concentration of most of the organic pollutants ($p \le p$ 372 373 0.05) except for oleamide and DIBP. In addition, sampling day 3 was significantly 374 different from sampling days 1 and 2 ($p \le 0.05$). The lower concentration found on the rainy days (1 and 2) compared with those found on the dry sampling day 375 376 (3), except in the case of DBP and oleamide, showed that pollutant concentrations are affected by rainfall. 377

However, the results indicated that sampling location does not affect the concentration of any compound in the lagoon ($p \ge 0.05$ in all cases).

Multivariate statistics using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was also carried out on the raw data to evaluate the influence of co-variables on the observed levels and patterns of the contaminants.

Positions assigned in the PCA to each analysed pollutant along the two 383 384 main factor axes appear in Fig. 5 (t1 vs. t2), where it can be observed that seawater from sampling day 3 (red) was clearly differentiated from the pollutant 385 concentrations on sampling days 1 and 2 (green and blue, respectively). The 386 location of sampling day 3 is due to the high levels of anthracene, DEHA, DEP, 387 1-MeNP and CICP and low levels of oleamide and DBP. By contrast, the location 388 of sampling days 1 and 2 is due to the high levels of oleamide and DPB and low 389 levels of anthracene, DEHA, DEP, 1-MeNP and CICP (Supplementary Fig. S2). 390

Other authors have evaluated the effect of seasonal inputs on the pollutant 391 392 concentrations in seawater samples, reaching several conclusions. For example, Heo et al. (2020) indicated that PAEs concentrations in the seawater near 393 beaches are sensitive to rainfall events being the effect different depending on 394 395 each PAE analyte. Huang et al. (2015) found that the effect of rain on the PAH concentrations in the Pearl River Estuary was of no significance due to the 396 different sources of this type of pollutant. It has been concluded that the input of 397 the surface water environment depends 398 contaminants into on the physicochemical characteristics of the environment (soils, pH, rainfall level) and 399 the pollutant properties. Therefore, it is difficult to generalise about the 400 conclusions (Heo et al., 2020; Moreno-González et al., 2013). 401

The spatial distribution of contaminants did not vary statistically between different sites of the Mar Menor. However, the concentration of DBP, oleamide and DIBP was higher in areas close to the WWTP (location 4) at the rainy day (day 2). These results agree with the ANOVA statistical data shown in this section (*3.4.2*) (**Supplementary Table S2**). Other authors have found that the distribution

407 of several PAEs in seawater near coastal areas was not homogeneous (Heo et408 al., 2020).

409

3.4.3. Untargeted analysis. Spatial distribution and climate effect of putative compounds along the Mar Menor watercourse

412 A database including other potential contaminants based on the literature 413 was created (Supplementary Table S3). All these compounds were checked in 414 the studied seawater samples by reference to their characteristic m/z ions, using the Wiley and NIST MS libraries for identification. A total of 9 putative compounds 415 416 were detected in several samples: triethyl phosphate (TEP), 2,4-ditertbutyl phenol (DP), lidocaine, phthalic anhydride (PA), butyl citrate (BC), oxandrolone, 417 ethyl oleate, erucamide and Irganox 1076 (Table 3). Most of these compounds 418 are used as additives in the production of plastic devices (TEP, PA, BC, ethyl 419 oleate, erucamide and Irganox 1076) or their degradation compounds (DP). 420 421 These results showed plastic products to be one of the main sources of the 422 lagoon's pollution. On the other hand, lidocaine and oxandrolone are species related to pharmaceutical products, which could reach the lagoon mainly in 423 424 wastewaters.

A similar statistical study was carried out to evaluate the effect of the sampling location and rainy conditions on the untargeted pollutants. These compounds were not quantified because of the lack of standards for them, so peak area values were used as observational response for the multivariate statistical evaluation.

430 The mean overall peak area for the untargeted pollutants for the different 431 points of the lagoon on the three sampling days was statistically analysed using

one-way ANOVA (**Supplementary Table S4**). The results showed that the sampling day influenced the concentration of most of the untargeted pollutants (p ≤ 0.05) except BC, lidocaine and oxandrolone. By contrast, sampling location did not affect the concentration of any compound in the lagoon (p ≥ 0.05 in all cases). Therefore, targeted and untargeted pollutants showed similar tendencies.

In the multivariate PCA analysis, as can be observed in Fig. 6, seawater 437 438 samples from sampling day 3 (red) were clearly differentiated from the those of sampling days 1 and 2 (green and blue, respectively). The location of sampling 439 day 3 is due to the high levels of PA, ethyl oleate, and oxandrolone and low levels 440 441 of DP, erucamide, TEP and Irganox 1076 (Supplementary Fig. S3). By contrast, most of the samples from sampling days 1 and 2 showed high levels of DP, 442 erucamide, TEP and Irganox 1076, and low levels of PA, ethyl oleate, and 443 oxandrolone. 444

445 As regards sampling location, no differences were observed among 446 seawater samples collected in the Mar Menor.

447

448 **4. Conclusions**

449

A sensitive DLLME with GC-MS method has been proposed for evaluation of the effect of rain on the input of toxic chemical compounds and their distribution in the coastal surface waters the Mar Menor lagoon. This allowed the simultaneous quantification of 54 targeted pollutants (those expected to be present in surface water) and the identification of untargeted species that may also act as potential contaminants in surface water environments. The targeted compounds were mainly PAEs and PAHs. Among the untargeted compounds,

the nine putative contaminants identified were DP, BC, TEP, PA, ethyl oleate, 457 458 erucamide, Irganox 1076, lidocaine and oxandrolone. The statistical analyses carried out (ANOVA and PCA) showed that rainy conditions affect the 459 concentration of pollutants at the different points of the lagoon sampled. However, 460 461 the location of the different sampling points had no effect in this respect. 462 **Declaration of Competing Interest** 463 464 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. The manuscript was 465 approved for publication by all the authors. 466

467

468 Acknowledgements

469

The authors wish to thank the Comunidad Autónoma de la Región de Murcia (CARM, Fundación Séneca, Project 19888/GERM/15), the Spanish MICINN (PGC2018-098363-B-100) and the European Commission (FEDER/ERDF) for their funding.

474

475 **References**

476

477 Agencia Estatal de Meteorología (2020). <u>www.aemet.es</u>. Last access in
478 September 2020

- Baker, A. (2005). Fluorescence tracing of diffuse landfill leachate contamination
 in rivers. Water Air Soil Pollut. 163(1-4), 229-244. doi:10.1007/s11270005-0279-9
- 482 Bayo, J., Rojo, D., Olmos, S. (2019). Abundance, morphology and chemical 483 composition of microplastics in sand and sediments from a protected

484 coastal area: The Mar Menor lagoon (SE Spain). Environ. Pollut. 252,
485 1357-1366. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2019.06.024

Campillo, J. A., Albentosa, M., Valdés, N. J., Moreno-González, R., León, V. M.
(2013). Impact assessment of agricultural inputs into a Mediterranean
coastal lagoon (Mar Menor, SE Spain) on transplanted clams (*Ruditapes decussatus*) by biochemical and physiological responses. Aquat. Toxicol.
142-143, 365-379. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.09.012

Campillo, N., Viñas, P., Sandrejová, J., Andruch, V. (2017). Ten years of
dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction and derived techniques. Appl.
Spectrosc. Rev. 52(4), 267-415. doi:10.1080/05704928.2016.1224240

Carratalá, A., Moreno-González, R., León, V. M. (2017). Occurrence and
seasonal distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and legacy and
current-use pesticides in air from a Mediterranean coastal lagoon (Mar
Menor, SE Spain). Chemosphere 167, 382-395.
doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.09.157

Chormey, D.S., Öztürk Er, E., Erarpat, S., Özzeybek, G., Arı, B., Bakirdere, S.
(2018). A novel analytical approach for the determination of parathion
methyl in water: quadrupole isotope dilution mass spectrometry-dispersive
liquid–liquid microextraction using multivariate optimization. Analyst 143,
1141-1146. doi:10.1039/c7an02014g

Desbiolles, F., Malleret, L., Tiliacos, C., Wong-Wah-Chung, P., Laffont-Schwob, 504 505 (2018). Occurrence and ecotoxicological Ι. assessment of pharmaceuticals: Is there a risk for the Mediterranean aquatic 506 507 environment? Sci. Total Environ. 639, 1334-1348. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.351 508

509 Erena, M., Domínguez, J. A., Aguado-Giménez, F., Soria, J., García-Galiano, S. (2019). Monitoring coastal lagoon water quality through remote sensing: 510 511 The Mar Menor as а case study. Water 11(7), 1468. doi:10.3390/w11071468 512

513 European Commission (2008). Directive 2008/105/EC of the European 514 Parliament and of the Council 16 December 2008 on environmental quality 515 standards in the field of water policy, amending and subsequently 516 repealing Council Directives 82/176/EEC, 83/513/EEC, 84/156/EEC,

- 51784/491/EEC, 86/280/EEC and amending Directive 2000/60/EC of the518European Parliament and of the Council.
- Faludi, T., Balogh, C., Serfozo, Z., Molnar-Perl, I. (2015). Analysis of phenolic
 compounds in the dissolved and suspended phases of Lake Balaton water
 by gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Environ. Sci. Pollut.
 Res. Int. 22(15), 11966-11974. doi:10.1007/s11356-015-4734-x
- Gómez-Gutiérrez, A. I., Jover, E., Bodineau, L., Albaigés, J., Bayona, J. M.
 (2006). Organic contaminant loads into the Western Mediterranean Sea:
 Estimate of Ebro River inputs. Chemosphere 65(2), 224-236.
 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.02.058
- Gros, M., Rodríguez-Mozaz, S., Barceló, D. (2012). Fast and comprehensive
 multi-residue analysis of a broad range of human and veterinary
 pharmaceuticals and some of their metabolites in surface and treated
 waters by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to
 quadrupole-linear ion trap tandem mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A
 1248, 104-121. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2012.05.084
- Haiba, N. S. A. (2019). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the River
 Nile, Egypt: occurrence and distribution. Polycyclic Aromat. Compd. 39(5),
 425-433. doi:10.1080/10406638.2017.1340314
- Happonen, M., Koivusalo, H., Malve, O., Perkola, N., Juntunen, J., Huttula, T.
 (2016). Contamination risk of raw drinking water caused by PFOA sources
 along a river reach in south-western Finland. Sci.Total Environ. 541, 7482. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.09.008
- Heo, H., Choi, M., Park, J., Nam, T., Cho, J. (2020). Anthropogenic occurrence
 of phthalate esters in beach seawater in the Southeast coast region, South
 Korea. Water 12, 122. doi:10.3390/w12010122
- Huang, S.,He, S., Xu, H., Wu, P., Jiang, R., Zhu, F. et al. (2015). Monitoring of
 persistent organic pollutants in seawater of the Pearl River Estuary with
 rapid on-site active SPME sampling technique. Environ. Pollut. 200, 149158. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2015.02.016
- Jiménez-Martínez, J., García-Aróstegui, J. L., Hunink, J. E., Contreras, S.,
 Baudron, P., Candela, L. (2016). The role of groundwater in highly human modified hydrosystems: a review of impacts and mitigation options in the

- Campo de Cartagena-Mar Menor coastal plain (SE Spain). Environ.
 Reviews 24(4), 377-392. doi:10.1139/er-2015-0089
- León, V.M., García, I., González, E., Samper, R., Fernández-González, V.,
 Muniategui-Lorenzo, S. (2018). Potential transfer of organic pollutants
 from littoral plastics debris to the marine environment. Environ. Pollut. 236,
 442-453. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.114
- Moreno-González, R., Campillo, J., León, V. (2013). Influence of an intensive 556 agricultural drainage basin on the seasonal distribution of organic 557 558 pollutants in seawater from a Mediterranean coastal lagoon (Mar Menor, Pollut. Bull. 559 SE Spain). Mar. 77, 400-411. 560 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.09.040
- Moreno-González, R., Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., Gros, M., Barceló, D., León, V. M.
 (2015). Seasonal distribution of pharmaceuticals in marine water and
 sediment from a mediterranean coastal lagoon (SE Spain). Environ. Res.
 138, 326-344. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2015.02.016
- Moreno-González, R., Rodríguez-Mozaz, S., Gros, M., Pérez-Cánovas, E.,
 Barceló, D., León, V. M. (2014). Input of pharmaceuticals through coastal
 surface watercourses into a Mediterranean lagoon (Mar Menor, SE Spain):
 sources and seasonal variations. Sci. Total Environ. 490, 59-72.
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.04.097
- Net, S., Dumoulin, D., El-Osmani, R., Rabodonirina, S., Ouddane, B. (2014).
 Case study of PAHs, Me-PAHs, PCBs, phthalates and pesticides
 contamination in the Somme River water, France. Int. J. Environ. Res.
 8(4), 1159-1170. doi:000344126300031
- Pastor-Belda, M., Sánchez-López, M. J., Campillo, N., Viñas, P., HernándezCórdoba, M. (2018). Determination of nitrophenols in environmental
 samples using stir bar sorptive extraction coupled to thermal desorption
 gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Talanta 189, 543-549.
 doi:10.1016/j.talanta.2018.07.043
- Salgueiro-González, N., Campillo, J. A., Viñas, L., Beiras, R., López-Mahía, P.,
 Muniategui-Lorenzo, S. (2019). Occurrence of selected endocrine
 disrupting compounds in Iberian coastal areas and assessment of the
 environmental risk. Environ. Pollut. 249, 767-775.
 doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2019.03.107

- Steen, R., van der Vaart, J., Hiep, M., Van Hattum, B., Cofino, W. P., Brinkman,
 U. A. T. (2001). Gross fluxes and estuarine behaviour of pesticides in the
 Scheldt Estuary (1995-1997). Environ. Pollut. 115(1), 65-79.
 doi:10.1016/s0269-7491(01)00085-9
- Van Ael, E., Covaci, A., Blust, R., Bervoets, L. (2012). Persistent organic
 pollutants in the Scheldt estuary: Environmental distribution and
 bioaccumulation. Environ. Int. 48, 17-27. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2012.06.017
- Van Wezel, A. P., Van Vlaardingen, P., Posthumus, R., Crommentuijn, G. H.,
 Sijm, D. T. (2017). Environmental risk limits for two phthalates, with special
 emphasis on endocrine disruptive properties. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.
 46(3), 305-321. doi:10.1006/eesa.2000.1930
- Wu, C., Li, Y., Chang, S., Chen, Y., Diyun, C., Gong, J. et al. (2018).
 Determination of phthalates in water by dispersive liquid-liquid
 microextraction based on solidification of floating organic drop followed by
 high performance liquid chromatography. Chin. J. Chromatogr. 36, 452457. doi:10.3724/SP.J.1123.2017.11060
- Xu, M., Gui, L., Peng, S. C., Chen, T. H., Wang, J. Z. (2017). Determination of
 chlorophenols in sediment using ultrasonic solvent extraction followed by
 solid-phase extraction, derivatization, and GC-MS analysis. Water Qual.
 Res. J. Can. 52(2), 90-98. doi:10.2166/wqrj.2017.044
- Yusof, N., Haraguchi, A., Hassan, M. A., Othman, M. R., Wakisaka, M., Shirai,
 Y. (2009). Measuring organic carbon, nutrients and heavy metals in rivers
 receiving leachate from controlled and uncontrolled municipal solid waste
 (MSW) landfills. Waste Manag. 29(10), 2666-2680.
 doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2009.05.022
- Zhang, Z., Zhang, H., Li, J., Yang, G. (2017). Determination of phthalic acid
 esters in seawater and sediment by solid-phase microextraction and gas
 chromatography-mass spectrometry. Chinese J. Anal. Chem. 45, 348356. doi:10.1016/S1872-2040(17)60999-X.
- 613
- 614
- 615

616 Legends for the figures

617

Fig. 1. Map showing the sampling sites in the Mar Menor lagoon (1-7) and nearby

areas. Image Source: Google maps

Fig. 2. Surface plot of the average area of the total compounds *versus* dispersantand extractant volumes.

622 Fig. 3. Chromatogram of a seawater sample spiked with the compounds and the internal standard mixture at 50 µg L⁻¹. The numbers on the peaks are related to 623 the different analytes, as numbered in Table 1. The peaks named A (1,4-624 625 dichlorobenzene- d_4), В (naphthalene-d₈), С $(acenaphtene-d_{10}),$ D (phenanthrene-d₁₀), E (chysene- d_{12}) and F (perylene-d₁₂) correspond to the 626 627 internal standard compounds.

Fig. 4. Percentage of positive samples of the nine targeted compounds detectedin the samples during the study period.

Fig. 5. Principal component analysis for the nine targeted pollutants defining absolute contaminant concentration at a given sampling site and in specific weather conditions. Cxy identifiers mean "x" sampling day (1-3) and "y" sampling location (1-7).

Fig. 6. Principal component analysis for the nine untargeted pollutants, defining contaminant peak area at a given sampling site and in specific weather conditions. Cxy identifiers mean "x" sampling day (1-3) and "y" sampling location (1-7).

638