FEMS Microbiology Letters

This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in FEMS Microbiology Letters. To access the final edited and published work see

https://academic.oup.com/femsle/article-abstract/367/20/fnaa164/5936555?redirectedFrom=fulltext



FEMS Microbiology Letters

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/fems

An ideal spacing is required for the control of Class II CRPdependent promoters by the status of CRP K100

Journal:	FEMS Microbiology Letters
Manuscript ID	FEMSLE-20-06-0296.R4
Manuscript Type:	Research Letter
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Sep-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Écija Conesa, Ana ; University of Murcia Faculty of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and Immunology Gallego-Jara, Julia; University of Murcia Faculty of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and Immunology Lozano Terol, Gema; University of Murcia Faculty of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and Immunology Browning, Douglas; University of Birmingham, School of Biosciences Busby, Steve; University of Birmingham, School of Biosciences Wolfe, Alan; Loyola University Chicago, Microbiology and Immunology Canovas, Manuel; Universidad de Murcia, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and Immunology (B) de Diego Puente, Teresa; University of Murcia Faculty of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and Immunology
Keywords:	<i></i> Escherichia coli, Cyclic AMP receptor protein (CRP), Transcription activation, Class II CRP-dependent promoters
Please select the most appropriate subject section for your submission from the drop down list. For full Section descriptions please refer to the Author guidelines at https://academic.oup.com/femsle/pages/instructions_for_authors:	Physiology & Biochemistry (Editor: Jana Jass)
	•



43x44mm (300 x 300 DPI)

ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100

2						
3 4	1	RESEARCH LETTER				
5	2	An ideal spacing is required for the control of Class II CRP-dependent promoters by the status of CRP				
6 7	3	K100				
8	4					
9 10	5	Ana Écija-Conesa ¹ , Julia Gallego-Jara ¹ , Gema Lozano Terol ¹ , Douglas F. Browning ² , Steve J. W.				
11 12	6	Busby ² , Alan J. Wolfe ³ , Manuel Cánovas Díaz ¹ and Teresa de Diego Puente ^{1*} .				
12	7					
14 15	8	¹ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and Immunology (B), Faculty of Chemistry,				
16	9	University of Murcia, Campus of Espinardo, Regional Campus of International Excellence "Campus				
17 18	10	Mare Nostrum'', P.O. Box 4021, Murcia E-30100, Spain.				
19	11	² School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK.				
20 21	12	³ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Stritch School of Medicine, Health Sciences Division,				
22	13	Loyola. University Chicago, Maywood, IL 60153, USA.				
23 24	14					
25 26	15	*Corresponding author:				
20 27	16	Teresa de Diego Puente				
28 29	17	Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and Immunology (B), Faculty of Chemistry,				
30	18	University of Murcia, Campus of Espinardo, Regional Campus of International Excellence "Campus				
31 32 33 34 35	19	Mare Nostrum", P.O. Box 4021, Murcia E-30100, Spain.				
	20	Tel.: +34 868887395; Fax: +34 968364148				
	21	E-mail: <u>tdp@um.es</u>				
36	22					
37 38	23	Keywords: Escherichia coli, cyclic AMP receptor protein (CRP), transcription activation, class II				
39 40	24	CRP-dependent promoters.				
41	25	7				
42 43	26	Abstract				
44	27	Transcription activation by the Escherichia coli CRP at Class II promoters is dependent on direct				
45 46	28	interactions between RNA polymerase and CRP, therefore the spatial proximity between both proteins				
47 48	29	plays a significant role in the ability of CRP to activate transcription. Using both in vivo and in vitro				
40 49	30	techniques, here we demonstrate that the CRP K100 positive charge, adjacent to AR2, is required for				
50 51	31	full promoter activity when CRP is optimally positioned. Accordingly, K100 mediated activation is				
51 52 53 54	32	very position-dependent and our data confirm that the largest impact of the K100 status on				
	33	transcription activation occurs when the spacing between the CRP binding site and the A2 of the -10				
55	34	element is 22 bp. From the results of this study and the progress in the understanding about open				
56 57	35	complex DNA scrunching, we propose that CRP-dependent promoters should now be numbered by				
58 59 60	36	the distance from the centre of the DNA site for CRP and the most highly conserved base at position 2				
	37	of the -10 hexamer in bacterial promoters.				
		•				

1 ว					
3	38				
4 5	39	Introduction			
6	40	CRP (cAMP receptor protein or catabolite activator protein, CAP) is a global regulator in Escherichia			
7 8	41	coli (E. coli) that controls the expression of a large number of genes in response to changes in cAMP			
9 10	42	levels, which in turn are modulated by glucose. Different regions of CRP interact with RNA			
11	43	polymerase (RNAP) depending on the specific class of CRP-dependent promoter . Activating region 1			
12 13	44	(AR1) of CRP, composed of amino acid residues 156 to 164, interacts with specific surface			
14	45	determinant, 287 of the C-terminal of the alpha subunit (alpha-CTD) of the RNAP in both Class I and			
15 16 17 18	46	Class II promoters. The 265 determinant of alpha -CTD interacts with A-T-rich sequences in DNA,			
	47	particularly with the UP element, in CRP-dependent promoters. The interaction at Class II CRP-			
19	48	dependent promoters also involves interaction between a second CRP region called AR2 (H19, H21,			
20 21	49	E96, and K101) and the N-terminal domain (alpha -NTD) of the RNAP alpha-subunit {Formatting			
22	50	Citation}. A third surface patch, known as AR3 of CRP (amino acid residues 52 to 55 and 58), has			
23 24	51	been shown to be important in CRP-dependent Class II promoter activity (Busby and Ebright 1999;			
25 26	52	Harman 2001). Recently, we demonstrated that the positive charge of lysine 100 (K100) promotes			
20	53	CRP activity at Class II promoters (Davis et al. 2018). Thus, CRP K100 lost of possitive charge by			
28 29	54	acetylation acts downregulating Class II promoter activation. In that work, we proposed that CRP			
30	55	K100 acetylation required for full promoter activity could be a fine-tuning of gene expression			
31 32	56	dynamics in stress response.			
33 34	57	Furthermore, it is well known that for Class II promoters, the DNA target site for CRP is located			
35	58	upstream of the DNA site for RNAP, centred at position -41.5 or at various distances upstream from			
36 37	59	the transcription start point (from -39.5 to -46.5 bp), being the DNA target site for CRP overlapping			
38	60	with the target site for RNAP. In consequence, there is considerable variation in the location of			
39 40	61	binding sites of CRP at Class II promoters. We hypothesized that the effect of CRP K100 will depend			
41 42	62	on the length of the spacer relative to the transcription start site (TSS) and therefore on the proximity			
42 43	63	between CRP and the RNAP alpha-NTD. In this work, using semi-synthetic promoters containing			
44 45	64	different lengths of the spacer relative to the TSS, as well as natural Class II promoters in the presence			
46	65	of wild type (WT) or K100 mutants CRP, we evidence that the K100 impact on CRP activation is very			
47 48	66	position-dependent.			
49 50	67				
50 51	68	Materials and methods			
52 53	69	Bacterial strains, plasmids and promoter constructs			
54	70	The bacterial strains, plasmids, promoter fragments and the primers used in this study are listed in			
55	71	Table 1. Standard methods were employed for the isolation and manipulation of DNA fragments			

- Table 1. Standard methods were employed for the isolation and manipulation of DNA fragments. 71
- 72 Different derivatives of pDCRP encoding mutant crp genes, were made by site directed mutagenesis 57
- 58 and donated by A. J Wolfe. The well-characterized CRP-dependent semi-synthetic CC(-41.5) 73 59

60 promoter (Gaston et al. 1990) was manipulated to construct a set of spacing mutants that have varying 74

Page 5 of 24

1

2	
3	
1	
-	
5	
6	
7	
8	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
1.4	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
22	
33	
34	
35	
36	
20	
5/	
38	
39	
40	
41	
 ⊿⊃	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
10	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	

lengths between the CRP binding site and TSS: CC (-39.5), CC (-40.5), CC (-41.5) and CC (-42.5) by 75 76 inserting one or more cytosine residues between the -21 and -22 positions. All constructed promoters 77 include the synthetic consensus, 5'-TGTGATGTACATCACA-3' where CRP strongly binds (Morita 78 et al. 1988; Ebright, Ebright and Gunasekera 1989). For promoter activity assays, CC(-41.5), 79 derivatives and naturals promoters fragments were cloned into the lacZ expression vector, pRW50. To 80 generate templates for *in vitro* transcription assays and, as a source of DNA fragments for gel 81 retardation assays, EcoRI-HindIII promoter fragments were cloned into pSR as described previously 82 (Browning et al. 2004).

84 Protein preparation

83

88

Purified RNA polymerase was purchased from New England Biolabs. The purification of WT and
K100 mutants of CRP was carried out by affinity chromatography on cAMP-agarose as described
previously (Ghosaini, Brown and Sturtevant 1988).

89 β-Galactosidase assays

To assay the activity of semi-synthetic promoter fragments containing spacing mutants and natural 90 91 promoters (listed in Table 1), they were cloned into the low-copy-number lac expression vector, 92 pRW50, placing the lac genes. The resulting plasmids were transformed into E. coli M182 Δcrp cells carrying pDCRP derivatives encoding wild type or K100 mutants CRP and β-galactosidase levels 93 were determined as described previously (Beatty et al. 2003) using the Miller protocol (1972) (Miller 94 95 1972). In all cases, the doubly transformed cells were grown aerobically in Luria–Bertani (LB) broth at 37 °C to measure β -galactosidase. Control experiments indicated that semi-synthetics promoters 96 expression was completely dependent on CRP (14.15±0.88 Miller units). The empty pDU9 vector 97 serves as a negative control. Each value is the average of three independent experiments. 98

99 2 2

100 Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs)

101 Electromobility shift assays were performed with each of the EcoRI-HindIII fragments, end-labelled with $[\gamma 32P]$ -ATP, as described in (Lloyd, Busby and Savery 1998) with varying amounts of purified 102 WT CRP or mutants derivatives in the absence or presence of RNA polymerase (New England Biolabs). 103 EMSA reactions (10 µl) contained 0.5 nM of [32P]-labeled fragments, 20mM Hepes (pH 8), 5 mM 104 MgCl₂, 50 mM Potassium L-glutamate, 1 mM DTT, 25 µg/mL sonicated herring-sperm DNA, 0.5 105 106 mg/mL BSA, 200 nM AMPc and 5% glycerol. Mixtures of protein and DNA were incubated for 20 min 107 at 37 °C. The gel running buffer was 0.25 x Tris/borate/EDTA and 200 µM AMPc containing 2% glycerol, and samples were run on 6% acrylamide gels containing 7.5% glycerol and 0.25 x 108 57 Tris/borate/EDTA using the Protean II system (Bio-Rad). DNA was visualized using dried gels to 109 58 59 expose a phosphor storage screen and the data were analysed by densitometry. EMSA gel quantitative 110 60

analysis was performed using Quantity One software v4.4 (Bio-Rad)to measure the different intensity of each shifted band. Relative intensity quantification for the ternary complex band was calculated based on the sum of the intensities of the two bands in each vertical lines (binary complex + ternary complex) expressed in terms of percentages where the band intensity for binary complex in lane without RNAP corresponds at 100% for each assay. For each lane, the program subtracts the background that is automatically estimated using the average intensities. In vitro transcription assays All semi-synthetic promoter fragments and *gatY* promoter were cloned into pSR plasmid, placing each promoter upstream of the λ oop transcriptional terminator. In vitro transcription assays were initiated by incubating each pSR derivative with purified WT or mutants CRP and RNAP. In these experiments, the 105-nucleotide RNA I transcript, encoded by pSR, served as an internal transcriptional control. In vitro transcription reactions (20 µl) were performed in a buffer containing 100 mM KCl, 40 mM Tris-acetate (pH 7.9), 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 100 µg/mL of bovine serum albumin, 200 nM cAMP, 500 µM ATP, 500 µM CTP, 500 µM GTP, 5 µM UTP, and 5 µCi of [α-32P]UTP (Perkin Elmer). pSR plasmids containing promoter fragments DNA tested was added at a final concentration of 10 nM. Reactions were initiated by the addition of RNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) to a final concentration 50 nM and incubated at 37 °C for 20 min. Samples were analysed by denaturing gel electrophoresis and quantified with Quantity One software v4.4 (Bio-Rad). The transcription level was expressed as the ratio of intensities bands corresponding to the transcript promoter and RNA I transcript control Insert Table 1 **Results and discussion** First, to confirm if side chain of lys-100 adjacent to CRP AR2, is critical on CRP activation, alanine substitution of K100 was performed. Then, to evaluate the impact of the K100 positive charge, substitution of lys-100 with glutamine and arginine was also carried out. The arginine residue would mimic the electrostatic charge of a lysine residue (K100R) and the glutamine residue would mimic the loss of positive charge (K100Q). In order to examine the effect of the spacer length on CRP activation we generated a set of artificial promoters with various spacing lengths: CC (-39.5), CC (-40.5), CC (-

- ⁵⁵ ⁵⁶ 144 41.5) and CC (-42.5). Therefore, the lengths of the spacer between the CRP site and the adenine
- ⁵⁷ 145 residue (A) at position 2 (A2) of the -10 element of RNAP binding site were changed from 20 to 23 bp
- 59 146 (see Supplementary Figure S1). β -Galactosidase assays were used to analyze the expression of semi-
- ⁶⁰ 147 synthetic promoters-lacZ fusions.

FEMS Microbiology Letters

The results illustrated in Fig. 1A show that the expression profiles of semi-synthetic promoters moving the CRP site were similar between the K100 mutants and WT CRP with the highest activity at the 41.5 length. However, these results display that when K100A or K100Q CRP were positioned at -41.5, resulted in about 2-fold decrease in promoter activity. In contrast, the loss of the positive charge in 100 residue had little effect on promoter activity moving the CRP site to -42.5, -40.5 and -39.5 positions. Furthermore, the decrease in the activity of K100Q CRP compared to K100R CRP at CC (-41.5) seems to be the same as the defect with K100A CRP compared to WT CRP. These results suggested a preferred position of WT CRP for class II promoters according to the following criterion: -41.5, -42.5, -40.5 and -39.5 and further that the effect of the K100 positive charge of CRP on promoter activity was also position-dependent.

In order to investigate the effect of the substitutions of K100 on the ternary complex formation, EMSA assays were carry out employing purified WT or K100 mutants of CRP and ³²P end-labelled semi-synthetic promoter fragments and RNAP (see Supplementary Fig. S2). In all cases, when increasing concentrations of RNAP, a supershifted complex was observed for all fragments except for the CC (-39.5) promoter. EMSA gel quantitative analysis results are shown in supplementary Figure S2 B. When the WT or K100R CRP were incubated with the CC (-41.5) promoter fragments and 150 nM RNAP, a maximum in the formation of supershifted complex was reached (see Supplementary Fig.S2B2). However, when K100Q mutant was employed, an 85% of the ternary complex was formed and the single shifted band was still detected (see Supplementary Fig. S2B3). In the same way, the K100Q mutant was also defective on the ternary complex formation using 75 nM RNAP. In contrast, K100 substitution played little or no role in the formation of the ternary complex when both mutants were positioned at - 42.5. Our results confirm that, when CRP was suboptimally positioned, it was compromised in its ability to activate transcription, in accordance with previous results from Rossiter et al 2015 (Rossiter et al. 2015), that showed that when CRP is bound at position -40.5, there was an inefficient recruitment of the RNAP. In the same way, CRP-dependent promoter activity was strongly affected by a 1 bp insertion between the CRP binding site and the -10 region of the promoter, in accordance with previous results from Gaston et al. 1990 (Gaston et al. 1990). Nevertheless, apart from this, our results suggested that a positive charge at position 100 would help in the ternary complex formation when CRP was positioned at -41.5.

To analyse the contribution of K100 positive charge on transcription activation, in vitro transcription assays were carried out. The results illustrated in supplementary Figure S3 confirm that when WT or K100 mutants of CRP were positioned at -39.5 and at -40.5, transcripts were not observed. In contrast, the results shown in Fig. 1B display that when CRP is positioned at -41.5, a K100-dependent transcript was observed. The increasing WT or K100R CRP concentrations led to an increase in transcription level, reaching a maximum transcription level at 150 nM, while the K100Q substitution always reduced expression. However, when CRP was positioned at -42.5 (Fig. 1C), a lower level of transcription was reached with either WT or K100 mutants CRP, resulting in a similar transcription

185 profile between them. These results corroborate those shown in Fig 1 indicating that both the positive
 186 charge of K100 and the CRP position at -41.5 were required for full CRP activation.

6 187 Insert Figure 1

Taken together, the results demonstrate the full CRP activation and the positive charge of Lys-100 impact occurs with an "ideal spacing" between the CRP site and RNAP α -NTD, equivalent to 22 bp between the DNA site for CRP and the A2 base of the -10 element. The CRP-binding site for most natural Class II CRP dependent promoters is centred on -41.5 (34% of a total of 85 promoters, although for almost 50% of these there is not any experimental evidence). However not all of them have the same number of base pairs between the DNA site for CRP and the A2 base of the -10 element. Therefore, twenty fivesigma70 Class II CRP-dependent promoters were selected from Regulon DB (Boyle et al. 2012) to evaluate their sequence and architecture. Validated CRP binding sequence by strong evidence (binding of purified protein and site mutation experiments) was the selection criteria for these promoters (Salgado et al. 2013). The architecture of these promoters is shown in Supplementary Table S1. Fig. 2 shows Class II CRP-dependent promoters distribution according to the distance between the CRP binding site and the TSS (Fig. 2A) or even considering the distance between the A2 of the -10 element and the CRP site as the ruler of spacing (Fig. 2B). Surprisingly, most of the promoters are grouped in the "ideal spacing". Insert Figure 2 To assess the effect of lysine 100 of CRP on Class II natural promoters, we generated eight natural promoter:lac fusions. The natural promoters were examined based on the distance between the centre of the DNA binding site of CRP and the TSS: -40.5 for focA and gatY, -41.5 for malX and mglB, -42.5 for *fepA* and -43.5 for *dadA* and *nupG* and also considering the distance between the CRP site and the A2 of the -10 element: 22 bp for the focA, gatY, malX, mglB and dadA promoters and 23 bp for the *fepA* and *nupG* promoters. The *fucP* promoter was also analysed but no clear dependence on CRP activation was detected when comparing promoter activity with and without WT CRP (18.12 ± 0.58 and 18.67 ± 0.49 , respectively). Insert Figure 3

The results depicted in Fig. 3 confirm that alanine substitution of K100 significantly reduced

213 expression from the *focA*, *gatY*, *malX*, *mglB* and *dadA* promoters but not from the *fepA* and *nupG*

49 214 promoters. These results suggested that the K100 influence was dependent on the bp spacing between

 $_{51}$ 215 the CRP binding site and the A2 of the -10 element.

⁵² 216 To evaluate K100 positive charge on natural Class II promoters activation, β -galactosidase activity

54 217 assays were also performed using K100R or K100Q mutant CRP. However, differences in expression

between all mutant CRP proteins compared to the wild type protein reached statistical significance

57 219 only in case *gatY* promoter in wthe K100Q substitution reduced the expression of this promoter

59 220 Insert Figure 4.

Page 9 of 24

FEMS Microbiology Letters

To deepen the analysis of the impact of bp spacing on transcription activation, -40.5 gatY promoter was selected. Moreover, *gatY* promoter has the same spacing between the DNA site for CRP and the A2 base of the-10 element as CC (-41.5) promoter with 22 bp, although it is conventionally classified as -40.5 promoter, considering the distance to TSS (Fig. 4A). Then, to evaluate the RNAP-CRP-gatY ternary complex formation we also used EMSA assays employing purified wild-type and K100 mutants CRP, ³²P end-labelled gatY promoter fragment and RNAP (Fig. 4B and C). When increasing the concentrations of RNAP a supershifted complex was observed. However, less ternary complex was detected using the K100Q mutant compared with WT and K100R mutant CRP. These results also corroborate that the positive K100 charge favoured the ternary complex formation with gatY natural promoter. Additionally, differences in the transcription level shown in Fig. 4D and E demonstrate that the status of the K100 charge affected to gatY promoter activity. However, Glutamine substitution of K100 resulted in a decrease in the gatY promoter activity, whereas the positive charge of K100 increased transcriptional activation. Besides, WT and K100R mutant CRP showed the same level of transcription. These results also confirm that "ideal" CRP binding site for gatY promoter was the same as that for the -41.5 semi-synthetic promoter. By convention, the CC(-n) numbering system classification of CRP-dependent promoters is based on the distance between CRP binding site and TSS. However, recent findings show that TSS can vary due to pre-initiation scrunching of the transcription 'bubble' that is driven by the core recognition element (CRE), and also, in a few cases, by initiator NTP levels (Vvedenskaya et al. 2015; Fishburn, Galburt and Hahn 2016; Winkelman and Gourse 2017; Yu et al. 2017). The data in Figure 2 emphasise the importance of the positioning of the DNA site for CRP with respect to the -10 hexamer element, and our experiments underscore that this is the crucial consideration. Thus CRP-dependent promoters should now be numbered by the distance between the DNA site for CRP and the most highly conserved base at position 2 of the -10 hexamer, because the principal role of CRP is to hold RNAP in place so that formation of the transcription 'bubble', that initiates at the -10 hexamer element, can occur (Harley and Reynols 1987; Helmann and DeHaseth 1999; Chen et al. 2020).. Considering the reversible modification of K100 by acetylation in response to dynamic metabolic state of the cells, the result may help understand why 34% of natural Class II CRP dependent promoters are centred on -41.5

Insert Figure 5

Furthermore, CRP might activate transcription in two ways: interacting directly with RNAP and acting upon DNA to change its structure. The electrostatic interaction between both proteins decrease linearly in strength with the increasing distance, destabilizing the transition state and impairing the optimal transcription activation (Niu et al. 1996). When CRP binds, the DNA is bent 90°, which likely significantly affects protein-protein and protein-DNA interactions in promoter regions (Lee and Borukhov 2016). Fig. 5 shows the crystal structure of CRP. In this structure, K101 (AR2) point down the DNA, so even if the site rotates around the DNA (1 bp corresponds to 34° rotation angle), it points

1 ว		
3	258	down the helix to interact with the alpha NTD. Likewise, K100 points off to the side and it is likely
4 5	259	that moving up and down the helix by 1 bp, leads to have a greater effect on its ability to interact with
6	260	the alpha NTD. Additionally, in a previous work we show that K101, the closest AR2 neighbour to
7 8	261	K100, was not required to promote Class II activity by K100 (Davis et al., 2018). This would explain
9 10	262	the results reported in this work, showing why K100 mediated activation was very position-dependent.
11	263	This proposition is also correlated this open complex formation model in which A2 is a first melted
12 13	264	nucleotide interacting with the aromatic pocket of sigma-factor.
14	265	This work unveils an additional transcription regulation by the positive charge of CRP K100 whose
15 16	266	impact depends on the optimal position of CRP. The K100 charge status, reversibly modified by
17 19	267	acetylation, would serve as a fine-tuning mechanism in response to the metabolic state of the cells.
19	268	This work contributes to increase our knowledge on the complex machinery within transcription
20 21	269	regulatory motifs in a model organism as E. coli.
22	270	
23 24	271	Funding
25 26	272	This work was supported by the Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities, the State Research
27	272	Agency and the European Regional Development Fund (RTI2018-094393-B-C21-
28 29	273	MCILI/A FI/FEDER LIE) and the Seneca Foundation (20786/PI/18)
30	274	
31 32	275	
33 34	276	Acknowledgements
35	277	We thank Prof. J.C. García-Borrón for his generous gift of specific material for in vitro transcription
36 37	278	experiments.
38	279	
39 40	280	Conflict of interest
41 42	281	The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
43	282	
44 45	283	
46		
47 48		
49 50		
51		
52 53		
54		
55 56		
57 58		
59		
60		

1		
2	281	References
4	204	References
5 6	285	Each orighing of a set of the set
7	280	Escherichia coli acsP2 Promoter by a Synergistic Class III Mechanism. J Bacteriol 2003;185:5148–57.
8	287	Boyle AP, Hong EL, Hariharan M <i>et al.</i> Annotation of functional variation in personal genomes using
9 10	288	RegulomeDB. Genome Research 2012, 22(9):1/90-1/97. PMID: 22955989. <i>Genome Res</i> 2012; 22 :1/90-7.
11	289	Browning DF, Beatty CM, Sanstad EA <i>et al.</i> Modulation of CRP-dependent transcription at the Escherichia coli
12 13	290	acsP2 promoter by nucleoprotein complexes: Anti-activation by the nucleoid proteins FIS and IHF. <i>Mol</i>
14	291	<i>Microbiol</i> 2004; 51 :241–54.
15	292	Busby S, Ebright RH. Transcription activation by catabolite activator protein (CAP). J Mol Biol 1999;293:199–
16 17	293	213.
18	294	Chen J, Chiu C, Gopalkrishnan S et al. Stepwise Promoter Melting by Bacterial RNA Polymerase. Mol Cell
19 20	295	2020; 78 :275-288.e6.
20 21	296	Davis R, Écija-Conesa A, Gallego-Jara J et al. An acetylatable lysine controls CRP function in E. coli. Mol
22	297	<i>Microbiol</i> 2018; 107 :116–31.
23 24	298	Ebright RH, Ebright YW, Gunasekera A. Consensus DNA site for the Escherichia coli catabolite gene activator
25	299	protein (CAP): CAP exhibits a 450-fold higher affinity for the consensus DNA site than for the E.coli lac
26	300	DNA site. Nucleic Acids Res 1989;17:10295–305.
27 28 29	301	Fishburn J, Galburt E, Hahn S. Transcription start site scanning and the requirement for ATP during
	302	transcription initiation by RNA polymerase II. J Biol Chem 2016;291:13040-7.
30 31	303	Gaston K, Bell A, Kolb A et al. Stringent spacing requirements for transcription activation by CRP. Cell
32	304	1990; 62 :733–43.
33 24	305	Ghosaini LR, Brown AM, Sturtevant JM. Scanning Calorimetric Study of the Thermal Unfolding of Catabolite
34 35	306	Activator Protein from Escherichia coli in the Absence and Presence of Cyclic Mononucleotides.
36	307	Biochemistry 1988;27:5257–61.
37 38	308	Harley C, Reynols RP. Analysis of E. coli promoter sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 1987;15:465-75.
39	309	Harman JG. Allosteric regulation of the cAMP receptor protein. Biochim Biophys Acta - Protein Struct Mol
40	310	<i>Enzymol</i> 2001; 1547 :1–17.
41	311	Helmann JD, DeHaseth PL. Protein-nucleic acid interactions during open complex formation investigated by
43	312	systematic alteration of the protein and DNA binding partners. <i>Biochemistry</i> 1999; 38 :5959–67.
44 45	313	Lee J, Borukhov S. Bacterial RNA polymerase-DNA interaction-The driving force of gene expression and the
46	314	target for drug action. Front Mol Biosci 2016:3. DOI: 10.3389/fmolb.2016.00073.
47 49	315	Llovd GS Busby SJW Savery NJ Spacing requirements for interactions between the C-terminal domain of the
40 49	316	a subunit of Escherichia coli RNA polymerase and the cAMP receptor protein <i>Biochem J</i> 1998: 330 :413–
50	317	
51 52	318	Miller I Experiments in Molecular Genetics Cold Spring Harbor I aboratory NY 1972
53	310	Morita T. Shigesadal K. Kimizuka F <i>et al.</i> Regulatory effect of a synthetic CRP recognition sequence placed
54 55	320	downstream of a promoter. Nucleic Acids Res 1989:16:7260-85
56	320	Niu W Kim V. Tau G at al. Transcription activation at class II CAP dependent promotors: Two interactions
57	321	between CAP and RNA polymerase. Call 1006.87.1122_34
58 59	272	Desciter AE Codfrey DE Connolly IA at al Expression of different heaterial systematics is controlled by two
60	525	RUSSHELAE, COULTEY RE, CONTOURY JA et al. Expression of different dacterial cytotoxins is controlled by two

2		
3	324	global transcription factors, CRP and Fis, that co-operate in a shared-recruitment mechanism. Biochem J
4 5	325	2015; 466 :323–35.
6	326	Salgado H, Peralta-Gil M, Gama-Castro S et al. RegulonDB v8.0: Omics data sets, evolutionary conservation,
7 8	327	regulatory phrases, cross-validated gold standards and more. Nucleic Acids Res 2013;41:203-13.
9	328	Vvedenskaya IO, Zhang Y, Goldman SR et al. Massively Systematic Transcript End Readout, "MASTER":
10	329	Transcription Start Site Selection, Transcriptional Slippage, and Transcript Yields. Mol Cell 2015;60:953-
11	330	65.
13	331	Winkelman JT, Gourse RL. Open complex DNA scrunching: A key to transcription start site selection and
14 15	332	promoter escape. 2017; 39 :1–12.
16	333	Yu L, Winkelman JT, Pukhrambam C et al. The mechanism of variability in transcription start site selection.
17	334	<i>Elife</i> 2017; 6 :1–22.
18 19	335	
20		
21 22		
23		
24 25		
25 26		
27		
28 29		
30		
31 32		
33		
34		
35 36		
37		
38 30		
40		
41		
42 43		
44		
45 46		
47		
48 40		
49 50		
51		
52 53		
54		
55 56		
50 57		
58		
59 60		
00		





60



Fig. 2. Class II CRP-dependent promoters distribution according the CC(-n) numbering system classification (A) or bp spacing (B).

55x24mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Fig. 3. Expression of promoter: lac fusions in cells expressing wild-type or mutant CRP. The bar charts illustrate measured β-galactosidase activities in M182 Δcrp cells containing pRW50 derivatives encoding different promoter: lacZ fusions, as shown in each panel, and pDCRP encoding wild-type or mutant CRP proteins as indicated. For each promoter, the none control corresponds to cells carrying an empty pDU9 vector. Statistical analysis by one-way ANOVA was carried out in order to evaluate differential significance between the WT and K100 mutants CRP (p-value < 0.0001 (****), < 0.001 (***), < 0.05(*).

87x46mm (300 x 300 DPI)

ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100



Fig 4. A. gatY promoter sequence. The locations of the CRP binding sites are shaded in grey. The bp spacing between the A2 base of the -10 element (in bold) and the CRP site is indicated (22 bp). The - 35 and -10 sequences are underlined and the TSS is indicated as +1. B. Ternary complex formation by electrophoretic mobility shift assays: end-labelled gatY fragments were incubated with increasing concentrations of RNAP in the presence of 100 nM purified WT CRP and K100R and K100Q mutants (lanes 14-16 show the RNAP controls that confirm the non-interaction between RNAP and gatY fragment in the absence of CRP). C. EMSA gel quantitative analysis for RNAP concentration dependence of ternary complex formation for WT CRP (■) and K100R (■) and K100Q (■) mutants. Each value derives from the analysis of the gel image shown but three independent experiments were carried out with standard deviation of inter-assay less than 10%. D. Autoradiography of a gel used for in vitro transcription. pSR plasmids containing gatY promoter fragments were incubated with purified WT or mutants CRP in the presence of 50 nM RNAP. RNA I transcript, encoded by the pSR vector, was used as an internal control. E. Quantitative analysis for in vitro transcription assays expressed as the ratio of intensities bands corresponding to the transcript promoter and RNA I transcript control. Each value derives from the analysis for in vitro transcript were independent experiments were corresponding to the transcript promoter and RNA I transcript control. Each value derives from the analysis of the image shown but three independent experiments were carried out with standard deviation of inter-assay less than 10%.

72x43mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Fig. 5. The crystal structure of CRP (pdb code 1CGP). Front image (A) and 90° rotated image (B).

92x44mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure legends.

Fig. 1. **A**. β-galactosidase activities in M182 Δ*crp* cells containing pRW50 derivatives encoding different semi-synthetic promoter:lacZ fusions and WT, K100A, K100R or K100Q CRP. Data represent the means and standard deviations from three separate experiments. **B** and **C**. Left panel: Autoradiography of gels used for *in vitro* transcription: pSR plasmids containing semi-synthetic promoter fragments CC(-41.5) and CC(-42.5) were incubated with WT and K100 mutants CRP in the presence of 50 nM RNAP. RNA I transcript, encoded by the pSR vector, was used as an internal control. **Right panel**: Quantitative analysis for *in vitro* transcription assays expressed as the ratio of intensities bands corresponding to the transcript promoter and RNA I transcript control using promoter fragments CC(-41.5) and CC(-42.5). Each value derives from the analysis of the images shown, but three independent experiments were carried out with standard deviation of inter-assay less than 10%.

Fig. 2. Class II CRP-dependent promoters distribution according the CC(-n) numbering system classification (A) or bp spacing (B).

Fig. 3. Expression of promoter: lac fusions in cells expressing wild-type or mutant CRP. The bar charts illustrate measured β -galactosidase activities in M182 Δcrp cells containing pRW50 derivatives encoding different promoter: lacZ fusions, as shown in each panel, and pDCRP encoding wild-type or mutant CRP proteins as indicated. For each promoter, the none control corresponds to cells carrying an empty pDU9 vector. Statistical analysis by one-way ANOVA was carried out in order to evaluate differential significance between the WT and K100 mutants CRP (p-value < 0.0001 (****), < 0.001 (****), < 0.05(*).

Fig 4. A. *gatY* promoter sequence. The locations of the CRP binding sites are shaded in grey. The bp spacing between the A2 base of the -10 element (in bold) and the CRP site is indicated (22 bp). The - 35 and -10 sequences are underlined and the TSS is indicated as +1. **B**. Ternary complex formation by electrophoretic mobility shift assays: end-labelled *gatY* fragments were incubated with increasing concentrations of RNAP in the presence of 100 nM purified WT CRP and K100R and K100Q mutants (lanes 14-16 show

the RNAP controls that confirm the non-interaction between RNAP and *gatY* fragment in the absence of CRP). C. EMSA gel quantitative analysis for RNAP concentration dependence of ternary complex formation for WT CRP (\blacksquare) and K100R (\blacksquare) and K100Q (\blacksquare) mutants. Each value derives from the analysis of the gel image shown but three independent experiments were carried out with standard deviation of inter-assay less than 10%. D. Autoradiography of a gel used for *in vitro* transcription. pSR plasmids containing *gatY* promoter fragments were incubated with purified WT or mutants CRP in the presence of 50 nM RNAP. RNA I transcript, encoded by the pSR vector, was used as an internal control. E. Quantitative analysis for in vitro transcription assays expressed as the ratio of intensities bands corresponding to the transcript promoter and RNA I transcript control. Each value derives from the analysis of the image shown but three independent experiments were carried out with standard deviation of assays expressed as the ratio of intensities bands corresponding to the transcript promoter and RNA I transcript control. Each value derives from the analysis of the image shown but three independent experiments were carried out with standard deviation of inter-assay less than 10%.

Fig. 5. The crystal structure of CRP (pdb code 1CGP). Front image (A) and 90° rotated image (B).

	stomoter fragments and primers.	
Strain, promoter, plasmid, or primer	Relevant characteristic	Source
Bacterial strains		
E. coli M182 Δcrp	Δcrp derivative of M182	(Busby, Kotlarz and Buc 1983)
Plasmids		
pDCRP	pBR322 derivative encoding wild type <i>crp</i>	(West et al. 1993)
pDU9	Derivative of pDCRP with <i>crp</i> gene deleted	(Bell et al. 1990)
pDCRPK100R	pBR322 derivative encoding <i>crp</i> gene carrying the KR 100 substitution	Donated by A.J. Wol
pDCRPK100Q	pBR322 derivative encoding <i>crp</i> gene CRP carrying the KQ 100 substitution	Donated by A. J. Wo
pDCRPK100A	pBR322 derivative encoding <i>crp</i> gene CRP carrying the KA 100 substitution	Donated by A. J. Wo
pRW50	Broad host range low copy lac expression vector for cloning EcoRI–HindIII promoter fragments	(Lodge et al. 1992)
pRW50/CC(-39.5)	pRW50, CC(-39.5) semi-synthetic promoter	Donated by S. Busby
pRW50/CC(-40.5)	pRW50, CC(-40.5) semi-synthetic promoter	Donated by S. Busby
pRW50/CC(-41.5)	pRW50, CC(-41.5) semi-synthetic promoter	(West et al. 1993)
pRW50/CC(-42.5)	pRW50, CC(-42.5) semi-synthetic promoter	Donated by S. Busby
pRW50/gatY	pRW50, gatY promoter	(Hollands, Busby and Lloyd 2007)
pRW50/focA	pRW50, <i>focA</i> promoter	This study
pRW50/malX	pRW50, <i>malX</i> promoter	(Hollands, Busby and Lloyd 2007)
pRW50/mglB	pRW50, <i>mglB</i> promoter	(Hollands, Busby and Lloyd 2007)
pRW50/fepA	pRW50, <i>fepA</i> promoter	This study
pRW50/dadA	pRW50, <i>dadA</i> promoter	This study
pRW50/nupG	pRW50, <i>nupG</i> promoter	This study
pRW50/fucP	pRW50, <i>fucP</i> promoter	This study
pSR	pBR322 derivative, vector for in vitro transcription	(Kolb et al. 1995)
pSR/gatY	pSR, <i>gatY</i> promoter	This study
pSR/CC(-39.5)	pSR, CC(-39.5) semi-synthetic promoter	This study
pSR/CC(-40.5)	pSR, CC(-40.5)semi-synthetic promoter	This study
pSR/CC(-41.5)	pSR, CC(-41.5) semi-synthetic promoter	(Savery et al. 1998)
pSR/CC(-42.5)	pSR, CC(-42.5) semi-synthetic promoter	This study
Promoter fragments		
CC(-41.5)	<i>E. coli melR</i> promoter derivative with consensus DNA site for CRP centred at -41.5	(Gaston <i>et al.</i> 1990)
CC(-40.5)	<i>CC</i> (-41.5) with 1 bp deleted between the DNA site for CRP and -10 element	(West et al. 1993)
CC(-39.5)	<i>CC(-41.5)</i> with 2 bp deleted between the DNA site for CRP and -10 element	(West et al. 1993)
CC(-42.5)	<i>CC</i> (-41.5) with 1 bp inserted between the DNA site for CRP and -10 element	(West et al. 1993)

gatY	EcoRI–HindIII fragment carrying gatY promoter	(Hollands, Busby and Lloyd 2007)
fepA	EcoRI–HindIII fragment carrying <i>fepA</i> promoter	This study
malX	EcoRI–HindIII fragment carrying <i>malX</i> promoter	(Hollands, Busby and Lloyd 2007)
nupG	EcoRI–HindIII fragment carrying <i>nupG</i> promoter	This study
focA	EcoRI–HindIII fragment carrying focA promoter	This study
mglB	EcoRI–HindIII fragment carrying mglB promoter	(Hollands, Busby and Lloyd 2007)
fucP	EcoRI-HindIII fragment carrying fucP promoter	This study
dadA	EcoRI-HindIII fragment carrying dadA promoter	This study
Primers		
Seq. pSR (F)	CAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAA	This study
pRW50-EcoRI (F)	AAGTTTCCAACGCGAGCCATT	This study
pRW50-HindIII (R)	CAGGTCGTTGAACTGAGCCT	This study

Supplementary material



Fig. S1. Semi-synthetic promoter fragments containing different lengths of the spacer relative to the TSS designated +1. The bp spacing between the A2 of the -10 element (in bold) and the CRP site is indicated (20, 21, 22 and 23 bp). The locations of the CRP binding sites are shaded in grey. The inserted cytosine residues and the - 35 and -10 sequences are underlined. The relevant restriction sites are also shown, EcoRI, E and HindIII, H.



Fig. S2. A. Ternary complex formation by electrophoretic mobility shift assays. End-labelled CC(-39.5), CC(-40.5), CC(-41.5) and CC(-42.5) fragments were incubated with increasing concentrations of RNAP in the presence of 100 nM WT (**A1**), K100R (**A2**) and K100Q (**A3**) mutants of CRP. **B.** EMSA gel quantitative analysis from WT, K100R and K100Q mutants based on the CRP binding site: -40.5 bp (**B1**), -41.5 bp (**B2**) and -42.5 bp (**B3**). Each value derives from the analysis of the gel images shown above, but three independent experiments were carried out with standard deviation of inter-assay less than 10%.

ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100



Fig. S3. Autoradiography of gels used for in vitro transcription. pSR plasmids containing semi-synthetic promoter fragments, CC(-39.5), CC(-40.5) were incubated with WT and K100 mutants CRP in the presence of 50 nM RNAP. RNAI transcript, encoded by the pSR vector, was used as an internal control.

Table S1. Class II CRP-dependent promoters with validated CRP binding sequence (Salgado et al. 2013).

Promoter	Distance [CRP-+1] ¹	bp spacing ²	Promoter sequence
deoCp2	-40.5	21	GATTTCCTTAAT TGTGA TGTGTA TCGAA GTGTGTGTGCGGAGTAGATGT <u>TAGAAT</u> ACTAACAAACTCGCAAGGTGAATTTTA
focAp1	-40.5	22	AGCCAGGCGAGA TATGA TCTATA TCAAT TTCTCATCTATAATGCTTTGT <u>T</u> AGTATCTCGTCGCCGACTTAATAAAGAGAGA
fucPp	-40.5	21	CTAGCTAATAAG TGTGA CCGCCG TCATA TTACAGAGCGTTTTTTATTT <u>G</u> AAAATGAATCCATGAGTTCATTTCAGACAGGC
gatYp	-40.5	22	ATTGTCGTTTT TGTGA TCGTTA TCTCGATATTTAAAAACAAATAATTT<u>C</u>ATTATATTTT<mark>G</mark>AAATCGAAAACAAACGACAG
glpABCp	-40.5	22	AATGTTCAAAAT GACGC ATGAAA TCACGTTTCACTTTCGAATTATGAGC<u>G</u>AATATGCGCG<mark>A</mark>AATCAAACAATTCATGTTTT
mhpRp1	-40.5	22	ACTCGGACAAAA TGTCG TTGCGCGC GCACA GTACAGCGCAACTTATTTTGT <u>TAAAAAA</u> CATGTAAATGATTTTTTATTGTGCGC
nupGp	-40.5	21	TTGCAATTATT TGCCA CAGGTA ACAAA AAACCAGTCCGCGAAGTTGA <u>T</u> AGAATCCCATC <mark>A</mark> TCTCGCACGGTCAAATGTGC
tsxp2	-40.5	21	AATGATAGAAC TGTGA AACGAA ACATA TTTTTGTGAGCAATGATTTT <u>TATAAT</u> AGGCTC <mark>C</mark> TCTGTATACGAAATATTTAG
galEp1	-41.5	22	GATTCCACTAA <mark>TTTAT</mark> TCCATG TCACA CTTTTCGCATCTTTGTTATGC <u>TATGGT</u> TATTTCATACCATAAGCCTAATGGAGC
glpTQp	-41.5	22	ATTTAATAATG TGTGC GGCAAT TCACA TTTAATTTATGAATGTTTTCT <u>TAACAT</u> CGCGGCAACTCAAGAAACGGCAGGTTC
malXp	-41.5	22	TCGTTGCGTAA <mark>TGTGA</mark> TTTATG CCTCACTAAAATTTGATAAAACGTTT<u>TATCTT</u>CTCGCG<mark>C</mark>AATTTACTGAATCCAGATTG
melRp	-41.5	22	AGGGTGAAAACCCGTGCTCCCCACTCGCAGTCATCCTCCCTC
mglBp	-41.5	22	CGCTTTCAATC TGTGA GTGATT TCACA GTATCTTAACAATGTGATAGC <u>TATGAT</u> TGCACCGTTTTAACGTTGTAACCCGTA
rpoHp5	-41.5	22	GCATTGAACT <mark>TGTGG</mark> ATAAAA TCACGGTCTGATAAAACAGTGAATGA<u>TAACCT</u>CGTTGC<mark>T</mark>CTTAAGCTCTGGCACAGTTG
udpP	-41.5	22	ATTTGCGTCAT GGTGA TGAGTA TCACG AAAAAATGTTAAACCCTTCGG <u>TAAAGT</u> GTCTTTTTGCTTCTTGACTAAACCG
ychHp	-41.5	22	AGGGTTGTAAT TGTGA TCACGC CCGCA CATAACCCACTGGGTGTTGTC <u>TATACT</u> TTACACATAAGGAAGAGGGGTATTCCC
araFp	-42.5	22	AATTCTGCGA TGTGA TATTGC TCTCC TATGGAGAATTAATTTCTCGC <u>TAAAAC</u> TATGTCAACACAGTCACTTATCTTTAG
cddp	-42.5	22	GCATAATTAA TGAGA TTCAGA TCACA TATAAAGCCACAACGGGTTCG <u>TAAACT</u> GTTATCC <mark>C</mark> ATTACATGATTATGAGGCAA
cyaRp	-42.5	22	TGGAAAATTC <mark>TTAGA</mark> AACCGA TCACA TACAGCTGCATTTATTAAGGT <u>TATCAT</u> CCGTTTC <mark>G</mark> CTGAAAAACATAACCCATAA
ppiAp2	-42.5	23	CATTTTAAGA <mark>GGTGA</mark> TTTTGA TCACG GAATAAAAAGTGATCGTCAGGT <u>T</u> ACATATATTTC <mark>A</mark> GATACGTAAAATTAGGTAAA
yhfAp	-43.5	23	GCACGGTAA <mark>TGTGA</mark> CGTCCT TTGCA TACATGCAGTACATCAATGTAT <u>TACTGT</u> AGCATCC <mark>T</mark> GACTGTTTTAGCATAGCTTT
dadAp1	-43.5	22	TCAGGGAGA <mark>TGTGA</mark> GCCAGC TCACCATAAAAAAGCCGCATGTTGAA<u>T</u>AATATTTTCAACT<mark>G</mark>AGTTATCAAGATGTGATTAG
mallp	-43.5	22	AAATTTTAG TGAGG CATAAA TCACA TTACGCAACGATAATAGCGGG <u>T</u> ATAAGATAAATAAAAGGTAAAACGTTTTATCTGT
tdcAp	-43.5	23	AAGTTAATT TGTGA GTGGTC GCACA TATCCTGTTCATTTCATTTTGA <u>T</u> ACACTTCATGCC <mark>G</mark> TCAATGAGGTAATTAACGTA
cpdBp	-43.5	23	TGCGCCAAC TGTGA TAGTGT CATCA TTTTCAAAGCGTAAAATTGTGG <u>C</u> ATTCTTCACTGT <mark>T</mark> CTATAAGTAAGACGTTTATT

¹ Distance from the TSS (+1) to the central position of CRP site.

² bp spacing between the CRP site (shaded in grey and in bold) and the A2 of the -10 hexamer (underlined), highlighted in light green shading.